



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 1 SPECIAL MEETING FOR 2009-10 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS 2 3 MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2009, 9:30 A.M. 5 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'D LIKE TO CALL THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET DELIBERATIONS MEETING TO ORDER, PLEASE. THE 8 9 OUIETNESS SORT OF FITS THE MOOD. LET'S BEGIN WITH THE C.E.O.'S 10 REPORT. 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I HAVE TO MAKE SURE I'M ON. OKAY. THANK YOU 12 VERY MUCH. GOOD MORNING. TODAY, WE'RE HERE TO PASS OUR BUDGET 13 14 FOR FISCAL YEAR '09/'10. UNLIKE SOME ENTITIES, THE COUNTY, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, ALWAYS SENDS FORWARD A FINAL BUDGET THAT'S 15 BALANCED THAT ALSO MEETS OUR MOST CRITICAL NEEDS. I DON'T 16 THINK WE'VE EVER, IN THE HISTORY OF THE COUNTY, EVER MISSED A 17 18 DEADLINE FOR PASSING A FINAL BUDGET. THE FIRST ITEM ON TODAY'S 19 AGENDA, I'M GOING TO JUST ASK THAT WE APPROVE THE ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, THAT'S AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 1, 20 21 PLEASE. AND THEN I'LL GET INTO SOME COMMENTS AS WE MOVE 22 FORWARD TO DISCUSS THE FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR '09/'10. 23 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. JUST SO THAT EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS 25 THAT WE WILL BE APPROVING THE ADJUSTMENTS ON ITEM NUMBER 1. 26 THEN THERE WILL BE OTHER DISCUSSION ITEMS AND OPPORTUNITY FOR



- 1 MEMBERS TO BRING IN VARIOUS MOTIONS UNDER ITEM NUMBER 5, I
- 2 BELIEVE IT IS. WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON SOME OF THE ITEMS AS
- 3 WELL, TOO. SO WITH THAT, THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON
- 4 ITEM NUMBER 1. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. THE CHAIR WILL
- 5 SECOND IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 2.

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I HAVE SOME VERY BRIEF
- 8 COMMENTS, BUT I ALSO WANT TO TAKE THE TIME TO PUT THIS, WHAT
- 9 WE'RE DOING TODAY, IN CONTEXT. BUT FIRST AND FOREMOST, BEFORE
- 10 I MOVE FORWARD, SOME OF YOU MAY OR MAY NOT KNOW THAT DEBBIE
- 11 LIZZARI, WHO HAS BEEN OUR BUDGET CHIEF FOR MANY YEARS, HAS
- 12 BEEN PART OF THE -- FIRST THE C.A.O., THEN THE C.E.O.'S
- 13 OFFICE, WILL BE RETIRING LATER THIS YEAR. AND I THINK WE NEED
- 14 TO START THESE DELIBERATIONS, I THINK, I FEEL STRONGLY, BY
- 15 FIRST RECOGNIZING DEBBIE AND THANK HER FOR EVERYTHING SHE'S
- 16 DONE AS SHE'S BEEN THAT GOOD SHEPHERD, THE PERSON WHO HAS
- 17 HELPED DEAL WITH OUR MANY FISCAL CHALLENGES OVER THE YEAR AND
- 18 ALSO THE C.E.O. BUDGET STAFF. BUT FOR DEBBIE, THANK YOU VERY
- 19 MUCH. [APPLAUSE] AND AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH TODAY'S
- 20 DISCUSSION, IN ADDITION TO OUR FOLKS, THE BUDGET STAFF AND
- 21 YOUR OFFICES, I ALSO NEED TO RECOGNIZE THE DEPARTMENT HEADS
- 22 AND THEIR STAFF. THIS IS THE SECOND OF TWO VERY, VERY
- 23 DIFFICULT YEARS. OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS, WE'VE CUT 494
- 24 MILLION FROM OUR COUNTY BUDGET. WE'VE ALSO, ON AVERAGE, CUT
- 25 7.4% FROM EVERY BUDGET. NOW, IT HASN'T BEEN JUST ACROSS THE



- 1 BOARD. WE'VE TRIED TO GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS AS CAREFULLY AS
- 2 POSSIBLE TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT, BUT THE BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW
- 3 THAT ALTHOUGH IT'S AN AVERAGE OF 7.4% FOR ALL COUNTY
- 4 DEPARTMENTS, THERE ARE SOME DEPARTMENTS WHO TOOK A MUCH LARGER
- 5 CUT. FOR EXAMPLE, THE TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR HAS TAKEN AN
- 6 18% CUT. OUR PARKS AND REC -- I'M SORRY. OUR PROBATION, 10%.
- 7 MENTAL HEALTH, 10%. DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 10%. COUNTY COUNSEL,
- 8 10%, AND IT GOES ON AND ON, YET OUR DEPARTMENT OF REC AND
- 9 PARKS, WHO PROVIDES SOME OF THE MOST CRITICAL PROGRAMS THAT WE
- 10 HAVE AT THE COMMUNITY LEVEL HAS TAKEN A 5.7% CUT. TODAY'S
- 11 BUDGET RECOMMENDS \$105 MILLION AND VARIOUS SOLUTIONS TO
- 12 BALANCE OUR PROJECTED SHORTFALLS. THE 105 MILLION WILL BE
- 13 ADDRESSED THROUGH A \$53 MILLION, OR 2%, BUDGET CURTAILMENT
- 14 WITH THE REMAINING \$47 MILLION TO BE ACHIEVED THROUGH A
- 15 SAVINGS FROM CONTINUING THE HIRING FREEZE, WHICH IN ITSELF IS
- 16 VERY DIFFICULT FOR DEPARTMENTS TO DEAL WITH. BUT ALSO, WE'RE
- 17 GOING TO ALSO AGGRESSIVELY MOVE FORWARD TO DEAL WITH OR TO
- 18 IMPLEMENT SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS'S MOTION ON LOOKING AT OUR
- 19 CONTRACTS. AND WITH THAT, FINDING REDUCTIONS OR SAVINGS AND
- 20 WORKING CLOSELY WITH THAT CONTRACT COMMUNITY. WE ALSO HAVE
- 21 \$1.5 MILLION IMBEDDED IN OUR BUDGET FOR THE TELEPHONE LINE
- 22 AUDIT. ON THAT NOTE, REAL QUICK NOTE, I THINK KUDOS NEEDS TO
- 23 GO OUT TO TOM TINDALL BECAUSE, AS YOU KNOW, TOM HAS BEEN OUR
- 24 PERMANENT DIRECTOR OF I.S.D. FOR A VERY, VERY SHORT TIME. AND
- 25 AS SOON AS HE CAME ON BOARD, HE'S GONE THROUGH THIS WHOLE



- 1 DEPARTMENT, AND WITH THAT, THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY I.S.D.,
- 2 AND LOOKED AT WAYS TO FIND EFFICIENCIES, INCLUDING THIS REVIEW
- 3 OF TELEPHONE LINES THAT COULD SAVE US UP TO \$3 MILLION. OR
- 4 MORE IMPORTANTLY, HE HAS A VERY AGGRESSIVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY
- 5 INITIATIVE GOING FORWARD RIGHT NOW. OUR RECOMMENDATIONS ALSO
- 6 INCLUDE AN ELIMINATION OF 36 ADDITIONAL POSITIONS. I SAY
- 7 ADDITIONAL BECAUSE IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET, WE IDENTIFIED 1,684
- 8 POSITIONS TO BE ELIMINATED. THIS BRINGS THE TOTAL TO 1,720.
- 9 THIS IS ONE OF OUR MANY STEPS TO LOOK AT WHAT WE'VE
- 10 CHARACTERIZED AS A STRUCTURAL DEFICIT IN OUR BUDGET. YOU HAVE
- 11 A STRUCTURAL IMBALANCE WHEN YOUR REVENUES DO NOT MEET YOUR
- 12 EXPENDITURES. AND WE'RE TAKING AGGRESSIVE ACTIONS TO ELIMINATE
- 13 THAT. AS I SAID, DEPARTMENTS HAVE BEEN ASKED TO TAKE, ON
- 14 AVERAGE, 7.4% FROM THEIR RESPECTIVE BUDGETS. BUT IT HAS BEEN,
- 15 AGAIN, HIGHER FOR A LOT OF THE DEPARTMENTS. ANY OTHER
- 16 REDUCTIONS WILL RESULT IN LAYOFFS AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT
- 17 SERVICE REDUCTIONS. AT THIS POINT IN TIME, AND, OF COURSE,
- 18 THIS IS ABSENT FURTHER ACTIONS BY THE STATE, BUT AT THIS POINT
- 19 IN TIME, WE DO NOT HAVE ANY LAYOFFS IN OUR BUDGET. BUT AS WE
- 20 KNOW, WE'RE STILL WAITING FOR THE STATE TO RESOLVE ITS VERY
- 21 SIGNIFICANT BUDGET GAP BEFORE WE CAN FINALIZE OUR PARTICULAR
- 22 BUDGET. NOW, WE ALL KNOW THAT IN ADDITION TO THE STATE, OUR
- 23 OWN LOCAL REVENUE HAS DROPPED. THIS IS THE RESULT OF THE
- 24 HOUSING MARKET, OTHER PROBLEMS AT NOT ONLY THE STATE BUT ALSO
- 25 THE FEDERAL LEVEL. TO PUT IT IN CONTEXT, OUR PROPOSITION 172,



- OR PUBLIC SAFETY SALES TAX, HAS DECLINED 61 MILLION FROM
- 2 FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007, FOR ALMOST A 10% REDUCTION. OUR DEED
- 3 TRANSFER TAX HAS GONE FROM 88 MILLION TO 35 MILLION, A DECLINE
- 4 OF ALMOST 60% FROM THE SAME PERIOD. OUR V.L.F. AND SALES TAX
- 5 ALIGNMENT HAS GONE FROM 1.3 BILLION DOLLARS IN '06/'07 TO 1.2
- 6 BILLION, OR AN 11% DROP, WHICH EQUALS 156 MILLION. AS WE WATCH
- 7 OUR PROPERTY TAX, KNOW THAT IN THE BUDGET WE HAVE A MINUS
- 8 1.06% PROJECTION. OUR ASSESSOR HAS SAID THAT IS IMPROVING AND
- 9 COULD ONLY BE A HALF PERCENT. I STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT WE DO
- 10 NOT INCORPORATE THAT MONEY TODAY, IN TODAY'S PROCEEDINGS,
- 11 BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT COME MAYBE WITHIN THE NEXT 30 DAYS, THE
- 12 STATE'S GOING TO TAKE AN ACTION THAT WILL FURTHER ERODE OUR
- 13 REVENUE AND, WITH THAT, OUR BUDGET. AND SO WHAT WE NEED TO DO
- 14 IS TO CAREFULLY MONITOR THE STATE SITUATION AND WE'LL COME
- 15 BACK TO AS WE DEAL WITH OUR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET LATE IN
- 16 SEPTEMBER WITH FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS. AND KNOW THAT BECAUSE
- 17 THE PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT ROLE MAY BE IMPROVING, WE ALSO
- 18 KNOW THAT SUPPLEMENTAL TAXES ARE DROPPING SIGNIFICANTLY. TODAY
- 19 WE'RE GOING TO ASK THAT YOU APPROVE THE CONTINUATION OF THE
- 20 HARD HIRING FREEZE AND ALSO THE FREEZE ON NONESSENTIAL
- 21 SERVICES AND SUPPLIES AND FIXED ASSETS. WE KNOW THIS HAS
- 22 PLACED A SIGNIFICANT BURDEN ON DEPARTMENTS, BUT, AGAIN,
- 23 BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTY WITH WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THE
- 24 STATE BUDGET, WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD PRUDENTLY. AGAIN, WE'LL
- 25 BE COMING BACK TO YOU AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME, PROBABLY IN THE



- 1 SUPPLEMENTAL, TO TELL YOU WHEN, OR AT LEAST TO RECOMMEND WHEN
- 2 WE SHOULD LIFT THIS FREEZE. THE CONTRACT TERMINATION ISSUE,
- 3 COUPLED WITH SOME OTHER THINGS I WANT TO TALK ABOUT SHORTLY,
- 4 AND THAT DEALS WITH SOME OF THE EFFICIENCY MEASURES WE HAVE,
- 5 WILL HELP US CLOSE THE \$47 MILLION GAP. WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 6 STATE ISSUE, WE HAVE TWO RECOMMENDATIONS IN FRONT OF YOU. ONE
- 7 IS TO DELEGATE THE AUTHORITY TO OUR OFFICE TO MAKE THE
- 8 NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS AS THE CONSEQUENCE OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY
- 9 THE STATE. BUT THEN ALSO PROVIDING YOUR BOARD WITH 14 DAYS
- 10 NOTICE BEFORE TAKING ACTION. ANOTHER OPTION IS TO HAVE A
- 11 STANDING AGENDA ITEM ON YOUR BOARD'S AGENDA. NOW, BOTH IS
- 12 REALLY -- I THINK IT FOLLOWS TO YOUR DISCRETION. THE ONE THING
- 13 I'D CAUTION, THOUGH, IS NOT KNOWING HOW MUCH TIME THE STATE
- 14 WILL GIVE US AS THEY MOVE FORWARD WITH THEIR CUTS SHOULD THEY
- 15 MAKE THEIR CUTS, SAY, LATER IN THE FISCAL YEAR, IN AUGUST,
- 16 WITH A JULY IMPLEMENTATION DATE. ANY DELAY THAT WE TAKE IN
- 17 CURTAILING THE NECESSARY PROGRAMS OR SERVICES OR THE AFFECTED
- 18 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WILL MEAN THAT THE BURDEN CAN FALL ON
- 19 OUR OWN GENERAL FUND. WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH TODAY IS NOT
- 20 GOING TO END BY THE END OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR AND PROBABLY NOT
- 21 BY THE END OF NEXT CALENDAR YEAR. WE ALSO HAVE A BUDGET THAT'S
- 22 BALANCED WITH AT LEAST \$115 MILLION IN ONE-TIME MONEY AND \$78
- 23 MILLION IN FEDERAL STIMULUS MONEYS. SOME OF THAT ONE-TIME
- 24 MONEY, YOU KNOW, IS TIED TO OUR GENERAL RELIEF PROGRAM WHICH
- 25 WE SHOWED YOU IS TRACKING STEP BY STEP, SIDE BY SIDE WITH THE



- 1 UNEMPLOYMENT SITUATION, AND WE ALL KNOW THAT AS THE EMPLOYMENT
- 2 PICTURE IMPROVES, OUR GENERAL RELIEF POPULATION WILL REDUCE
- 3 AND WITH THAT, OUR FINANCIAL OBLIGATION TOWARDS THAT
- 4 POPULATION. SO THAT'S WHY WE'VE RECOMMENDED USING SOME ONE-
- 5 TIME MONEY FOR THAT. BUT BECAUSE OF WHAT'S HAPPENING,
- 6 ESPECIALLY AT THE STATE LEVEL, I'D ASK THAT WE CAREFULLY
- 7 CONSIDER IT AND, WITH THAT, NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH ANY PROGRAM
- 8 RESTORATIONS AT THIS TIME UNTIL WE KNOW WITH SOME DEGREE OF
- 9 CERTAINTY WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS. NOW,
- 10 WITH RESPECT TO THE ONE-TIME MONEY, WE, AND TO A LARGE EXTENT
- 11 FOR THE FIRST TIME, WE'RE AGGRESSIVELY PURSUING A NUMBER OF
- 12 EFFICIENCIES THAT I FEEL WILL HELP US DEAL WITH OUR STRUCTURAL
- 13 PROBLEM. IT INCLUDES OUR COURT IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE. WE HAVE
- 14 THE COOPERATION AND INVOLVEMENT IN ENTIRE JUSTICE TEAM
- 15 INCLUDING THE SHERIFF, THE D.A., PUBLIC DEFENDER, ALTERNATE
- 16 PUBLIC DEFENDER, PROBATION, AND THE COURTS WORKING SIDE BY
- 17 SIDE TO IMPROVE OUR COURT PROCESSES. I MENTIONED THE ENERGY
- 18 IMPROVEMENTS LED BY OUR I.S.D. WE HAVE IMPROVEMENTS WE'RE
- 19 GOING TO SEND FORWARD AND HOPEFULLY DISCUSS TODAY THAT DEALS
- 20 WITH THE G.R. PROGRAM. WE FEEL AS WE MOVE THAT FORWARD, WE CAN
- 21 SAVE UP TO \$22 MILLION NEXT YEAR ALONE. WE HAVE A VERY
- 22 COMPREHENSIVE PROCESS GOING ON NOW TO IDENTIFY AND IMPLEMENT
- 23 IMPROVEMENTS IN HUMAN RESOURCES. WE'RE GOING TO COME TO YOU,
- 24 AND WE HAVE ONE IN THE BUDGET, WE'LL COME TO YOU IN THE FUTURE
- 25 TO SPEAK TO PROGRAM CONSOLIDATIONS, INCLUDING REDUNDANT -- I



- 1 SHOULDN'T SAY REDUNDANT -- INCLUDING OUR COMMISSIONS THAT
- 2 OFTEN TIMES PERFORM A SIMILAR ROLE. WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT
- 3 PROCUREMENT PRACTICES, WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT A COMPREHENSIVE
- 4 LEGAL BILL REVIEW PROCESS. WE KNOW THAT OUR REVIEW PROCESS,
- 5 OUR BILL REVIEW PROCESS NEEDS TO BE STRENGTHENED. AND I NEED
- 6 TO HIGHLIGHT WHAT'S HAPPENING IN CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES UNDER
- 7 STEVEN GOLIGHTLY'S LEADERSHIP. HE HAS A COUPLE OF INDIVIDUALS
- 8 WHO REVIEW EVERY SINGLE BILL AND HAS MADE SUBSTANTIAL
- 9 IMPROVEMENTS IN THE AMOUNT OF -- THE DOLLAR AMOUNT ASSOCIATED
- 10 WITH THE LEGAL SERVICES. WE HAVE A PHARMACEUTICAL IMPROVEMENT
- 11 PROGRAM THAT WILL BE WORKING -- WHERE D.H.S. WILL WORK WITH
- 12 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND WE EXPECT SOME SIGNIFICANT
- 13 SAVINGS THERE. AND LASTLY, WE'RE LOOKING AT OPPORTUNITIES IN
- 14 OUR CAPITAL PROGRAM TO SEE IF WE CAN USE THE FINANCING OPTIONS
- 15 THAT ARE CURRENTLY IMBEDDED IN THE STIMULUS PROGRAM, INCLUDING
- 16 BUILD AMERICA BONDS PROGRAM AND THEN THE RECOVERY BONDS. WHAT
- 17 IS NECESSARY NOW, WITH THIS DOWNTURN IN THE ECONOMY, WITH ALL
- 18 OF THE CHALLENGES THAT WE'RE FACING EVERY SINGLE DAY CAUSED BY
- 19 NOT ONLY OUR LOCAL REVENUES BUT WHAT'S AT THE STATE LEVEL,
- 20 THIS PRESENTS AN EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE COUNTY TO MOVE
- 21 FORWARD AND TO LOOK AT PROGRAMS AND SERVICES TO DETERMINE WHAT
- 22 CAN BE DONE BETTER AND WHAT WE SHOULD BE ELIMINATING AND ALSO
- 23 WHAT PRACTICES ARE REDUNDANT. AND MAYBE WE CAN DEAL WITH THIS
- 24 ON NOT ONLY A SHORT-TERM BASIS BUT A LONG-TERM BASIS. THAT'S
- 25 MY COMMENTS. I'M GOING TO MOVE FORWARD THROUGH THE REST OF THE



- 1 AGENDA. AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 3. THESE WERE ISSUES RAISED AT THE
- 2 PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT AT THIS POINT IN
- 3 TIME, WE RECEIVE AND FILE THIS ITEM. ONLY NUMBER 3.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: (OFF MIC)

6

7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OKAY.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: (OFF MIC) THREE SHOWED UP. AM I MISSING
- 10 SOMEONE?

11

- 12 KAREN MORRIS: I'M SORRY. WE HAD SIGNED UP PATRICIA HARRIS AND
- 13 STEVE DOMINGUEZ IS HERE JUST AS SUPPORT, HE'S NOT GOING TO BE
- 14 SPEAKING.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

17

18 KAREN MORRIS: SORRY ABOUT THAT, AND UNDERSTAND.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO PROBLEM.

- 22 KAREN MORRIS: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS KAREN
- 23 MORRIS, S.E.I.U. 721. I'M REPRESENTING -- I'M HERE TO ADVOCATE
- 24 FOR THE SEVERELY MENTALLY ILL SERVED BY OUR DEPUTY PUBLIC
- 25 GUARDIANS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH'S OFFICE OF THE



- 1 PUBLIC GUARDIAN LANTERMAN-PETRIS-SHORT PROGRAM. SUPERVISORS,
- 2 EVERY DAY, DEPUTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN STAFF LIKE ALTA POSTAR AND
- 3 STEVE DOMINGUEZ ARE ASSIGNED TO SERVE AS PROXY FAMILY MEMBERS
- 4 TO 2,200 SEVERELY MENTALLY ILL INDIVIDUALS PLACED UNDER THEIR
- 5 CARE BY THE COURTS. CURRENTLY, SOME 30 DEPUTIES CARRY A
- 6 CASELOAD OF 80 TO 100 GRAVELY DISABLED INDIVIDUALS EACH.
- 7 PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DEEMED EITHER A DANGER TO THEMSELVES, TO
- 8 OTHERS, OR INCAPABLE OF PROVIDING FOR THEIR BASIC NEEDS.
- 9 SUPERVISORS, THEY DO NOT TAKE THEIR COURT-APPOINTED MANDATE
- 10 LIGHTLY, AND THAT IS WHY WE ARE HERE AGAIN BEFORE YOU TO
- 11 ADVOCATE FOR THE SEVERELY MENTALLY ILL UNDER THE PUBLIC
- 12 GUARDIANS' CHARGE. WE COME HERE TODAY WELL AWARE OF THE
- 13 SERIOUS FISCAL AND BUDGETARY CONSTRAINTS THAT THIS COUNTY IS
- 14 UNDER, THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH IS UNDER. AS
- 15 ELECTED LEADERS OF THIS COUNTY, WE DO NOT ENVY YOU THE
- 16 DIFFICULT CHOICES THAT ARE BEFORE YOU. BUT, SUPERVISORS,
- 17 DEPUTY PUBLIC GUARDIANS ARE ALL TOO FAMILIAR WITH LIMITED
- 18 RESOURCES, DIFFICULT DECISIONS, AND, YES, IMPOSSIBLE MANDATES.
- 19 WE ARE ALSO AWARE OF THE TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE COSTS OF
- 20 UNTREATED PSYCHIATRIC ILLNESS, COST TO FAMILIES, TO SOCIETY,
- 21 AND, YES, TO COUNTY. SUPERVISORS, ON ANY GIVEN MONTH, THERE
- 22 ARE AT LEAST 1,700 ADMISSIONS TO COUNTY-RUN PSYCHIATRIC
- 23 EMERGENCY SERVICES. AND ON TOP OF PSYCH E.R. VISITS, THE
- 24 COUNTY IS ALSO SHOULDERED WITH THE COSTS OF PROVIDING NEARLY
- 25 50,000 PSYCHIATRIC IN-PATIENT DAYS A YEAR. THIS CARE IS



- 1 COSTLY. WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES SHOWS UP
- 2 AT A COUNTY-RUN PSYCH E.R. AND IS PLACED ON A 72-HOUR HOLD AND
- 3 THEN ADMITTED, THE TAB FOR THEIR CARE APPROXIMATES \$30,000 PER
- 4 ADMISSION. THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PUBLIC GUARDIAN
- 5 IDEALLY SHOULD BE STEMMING THE TIDE OF THESE ADMISSIONS. BUT
- 6 LIMITED RESOURCES MAKE THIS A DIFFICULT TASK. CAN I SHARE
- 7 THEIR TIME? THANK YOU. SUPERVISORS, WHO ARE THE 22 SEVERELY
- 8 MENTALLY ILL INDIVIDUALS UNDER THEIR CARE? THEY REPRESENT ALL
- 9 ETHNICITIES, 96% ARE OVER THE AGE OF 25. THE MAJORITY ARE IN
- 10 WHAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED THE PRIME OF THEIR LIVES. THREE OUT
- 11 OF FOUR HAVE A PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA. ONE IN
- 12 SEVEN WERE HOMELESS, ZEV, AT THE TIME OF THEIR COURT
- 13 APPOINTMENT. ONE IN FOUR ARE KNOWN TO HAVE A CRIMINAL HISTORY.
- 14 ONE IN THREE DISCLOSE A LONGSTANDING PROBLEM OF SUBSTANCE
- 15 ABUSE. 85% HAVE CHRONIC LONG NEGLECTED MEDICAL ISSUES, AND
- 16 MOST HAVE A HISTORY OF CYCLING IN AND OUT OF PSYCHIATRIC
- 17 EMERGENCY AND IN-PATIENT SETTINGS. WHEN PLACED ON COURT
- 18 ORDERED CONSERVATORSHIP, THEY ARE NOT ON THE STREET, BUT THEY
- 19 LIVE IN TREATMENT SETTINGS. 30% IN CONVALESCENT HOMES, SOME OF
- 20 THEM LOCKED, I.M.D.S, 20%. 25% ARE IN BOARDING CARE
- 21 FACILITIES, 15% ARE IN STATE, PRIVATE AND COUNTY HOSPITALS,
- 22 AND SOME ARE IN COUNTY FACILITIES AWAITING APPOINTMENT. ONCE
- 23 PLACED, A PORTION OF CONSERVATEES ARE WHAT WE WOULD CLASSIFY
- 24 AS HIGHLY MOBILE. SO WE HAVE 2,200 CONSERVATESS. AND FOR
- 25 EXAMPLE, THIS LAST YEAR, REQUESTS FOR APPROVAL OF TRANSFER



- 1 WERE MADE FOR 533 INDIVIDUALS. MOST REQUESTS WERE FOR A HIGHER
- 2 LEVEL OF CARE. 65% OF THESE ENDED UP BEING PEOPLE WHO HAD TO
- 3 BE READMITTED TO A PSYCH FACILITY. 90 OF THE 365 WERE
- 4 REHOSPITALIZED MULTIPLE TIMES, BETWEEN TWO AND SEVEN TIMES.
- 5 THESE 90 INDIVIDUALS ARE UNDER CONSERVATORSHIP. IMAGINE HAVING
- 6 TO KEEP TRACK OF THIS VERY MOBILE POPULATION. SUPERVISORS, I
- 7 WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO SAY THAT ALL ARE INAPPROPRIATE
- 8 SETTINGS, BUT IN TRUTH, A LACK OF BEDS AND COMPLEX CASE
- 9 HISTORIES SUCH AS A HISTORY OF VIOLENCE, FIRE STARTERS,
- 10 PREGNANCY, A.I.D.S., SEXUAL PREDATORS, HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE,
- 11 NONCOMPLIANCE, MAKE PLACEMENT DECISIONS AND PLACEMENT
- 12 AGREEMENTS ESPECIALLY DIFFICULT. SUPERVISORS, IT IS TIME TO
- 13 ALIGN OUR RESOURCES TO REFLECT NEED. THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL
- 14 HEALTH'S OWN INTERNAL ANALYSIS INDICATED A NEED TO DOUBLE ITS
- 15 L.P.S. STAFF IN ORDER TO EFFECTIVELY INVESTIGATE AND MANAGE
- 16 L.P.S. CASES AS THE COURTS MANDATE. WITH SUFFICIENT STAFFING
- 17 IN THE L.P.S. PROGRAM, THE DEPARTMENT CAN HELP MINIMIZE THE
- 18 COSTLY AND AVOIDABLE HOSPITALIZATIONS, UNNECESSARY COSTS OF
- 19 INCARCERATION THAT THIS COUNTY INCURS. IN THIS FISCAL
- 20 ENVIRONMENT, NET COUNTY COST OBVIOUSLY MUST BE USED PRUDENTLY.
- 21 SO KINDLY CONSIDER OUR REQUEST FOR MORE STAFF TO BETTER SERVE
- 22 THOSE MOST IN NEED. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANYONE ELSE
- 2 SIGNED UP UNDER THAT PARTICULAR ITEM? AND THE RECOMMENDATION
- 3 ON NUMBER 3 WAS JUST TO RECEIVE AND FILE? WAS THAT IT?

4

5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES. RECEIVE AND FILE, PLEASE.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO ORDERED.

8

9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 4, CAN WE HOLD THAT ITEM, PLEASE?

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ABSOLUTELY.

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SAME WITH NUMBER -- I GUESS WE CAN MOVE
- 14 FORWARD ON NUMBER 5 RIGHT NOW, BUT THIS ALSO WILL BE TIED TO
- 15 NUMBER 4. WOULD YOU LIKE TO MOVE 4 WITH NUMBER 5 RIGHT NOW?

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE CAN DO THAT. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF
- 18 PEOPLE THAT ARE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 5. WE'LL
- 19 CALL THEM. I KNOW THERE WERE SOME MOTIONS, BUT FIRST OF ALL,
- 20 BART DIENER. WE HAVE 11 SPEAKERS. MICHAEL ALEXANDER, JULIA
- 21 RODRIGUEZ-ELLIOTT AND SONIA DE LEON DE VEGA. AND THAT WILL BE
- 22 THE FIRST FOUR. AND THEN AS YOU'RE FINISHED WITH YOUR
- 23 TESTIMONY, IF YOU WOULD RETURN TO YOUR SEATS AND THEN I'LL
- 24 CALL UP OTHERS TO JOIN US. SO THE FIRST FOUR SPEAKERS, IF
- 25 YOU'D COME FORWARD, PLEASE. BART DIENER, MICHAEL ALEXANDER,



- 1 JULIA RODRIGUEZ-ELLIOTT, SONIA DE LEON DE VEGA. IF I'VE CALLED
- 2 YOUR NAME, PLEASE COME FORWARD. THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.

3

- 4 MICHAEL ALEXANDER. SORRY, I WAS LOOKING FOR A DOWNBEAT, BEING
- 5 FROM THE ARTS.

6

- 7 >>SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IF YOU'RE LOOKING FOR THE DRUMS,
- 8 THEN...

9

10 MICHAEL ALEXANDER: I'M SORRY.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE'VE GOT A BASS DRUM UP HERE.

- 14 MICHAEL ALEXANDER: I KNOW YOU CAN PLAY ONE. I'M MICHAEL
- 15 ALEXANDER, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF GRAND PERFORMANCES. AND
- 16 I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE ARTS INTERN PROGRAM RUN BY
- 17 THE COUNTY ARTS COMMISSION. I'M ALSO THE STATE ASSEMBLY'S
- 18 APPOINTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA ARTS COUNCIL, WHERE I AM THE
- 19 IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR AND I ALSO SERVE ON THE BOARD OF ARTS FOR
- 20 L.A. I HAVE BEEN INTIMATELY INVOLVED IN THE ARTS INTERN
- 21 PROGRAM SINCE IT BEGAN. AND MY ORGANIZATION HAS HOSTED
- 22 WORKSHOPS EACH YEAR FOR THE INTERNS, SO I CAN TELL YOU THAT
- 23 THIS PROGRAM IS ONE EACH OF YOU SHOULD BE PROUD OF. IT IS
- 24 SERVING YOUNG ADULTS FROM THE MANY COMMUNITIES THAT MAKE UP
- 25 LOS ANGELES WHILE EXPANDING THEIR HORIZONS ABOUT CAREERS IN



- 1 LOS ANGELES'S IMPORTANT NONPROFIT ARTS SERVICE SECTOR. I'M
- 2 PROUD OF MY COLLEAGUES WORKING THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY WHO HAVE
- 3 GIVEN INVALUABLE ART WORK EXPERIENCES TO YOUNG PEOPLE
- 4 REFLECTING THE TRUE DIVERSITY OF LOS ANGELES. AND I URGE YOU
- 5 TO CONTINUE TO FUND THIS INNOVATIVE JOB TRAINING PROGRAM.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

- 9 SONIA DE LEON DE VEGA: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
- 10 SONIA MARIE DE LEON DE VEGA, AND I'M THE FOUNDER AND DIRECTOR
- 11 OF THE SANTA CECILIA ORCHESTRA, ORCHESTRA SANTA CELILIA. OUR
- 12 SPECIFIC MISSION IS TO PROVIDE MUSIC TO THE LATINO COMMUNITIES
- 13 OF LOS ANGELES. EACH YEAR, WE PROVIDE OUR NATIONALLY ACCLAIMED
- 14 MUSIC EDUCATION PROGRAM TO MORE THAN 20,000 LATINO CHILDREN
- 15 AND THEIR FAMILIES. A HEALTHY COMMUNITY IS A COMMUNITY THAT
- 16 INCLUDES OPPORTUNITIES FOR ITS RESIDENTS TO SEPARATE
- 17 THEMSELVES FROM THE VERY REAL STRESSES THAT THEY FACE EVERY
- 18 DAY. A HEALTHY COMMUNITY IS ALSO A COMMUNITY THAT INCLUDES
- 19 OPPORTUNITIES FOR ITS RESIDENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ARTS AND
- 20 CULTURE. MANY COMMUNITY-BASED NONPROFITS, ARTS ORGANIZATIONS
- 21 PROVIDE THESE ENJOYABLE AND EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES AND IN
- 22 DOING SO, BRING FAMILIES AND COMMUNITIES CLOSER TOGETHER. YOUR
- 23 SUPPORT OF 125 PAID INTERNSHIPS THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 24 WILL AMPLIFY OUR ABILITY TO SERVE OUR COMMUNITIES AND YOUR
- 25 CONSTITUENTS. WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONTINUE TO MAKE THIS



- 1 STRATEGIC INVESTMENT IN OUR COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 2 CONTINUED SUPPORT.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

5

- 6 JULIA RODRIGUEZ-ELLIOTT: HELLO. GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JULIA
- 7 RODRIGUEZ-ELLIOTT, AND I'M THE FOUNDER, ARTISTIC CO-DIRECTOR
- 8 OF A NOISE WITHIN. AND WE HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE ARTS
- 9 INTERNSHIP PROGRAM ALMOST SINCE ITS INCEPTION. AND I WOULD
- 10 LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE WORK FORCE READINESS VALUE OF THE
- 11 PROGRAM. IT IS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO EXPOSE
- 12 STUDENTS TO THE UNIQUE REQUIREMENTS OF WORKING FOR A NONPROFIT
- 13 ORGANIZATION. AND I KNOW I'M NOT ALONE IN THIS, IN JUST THE
- 14 LAST YEAR, OUR ORGANIZATION HIRED A STUDENT WHO HAD BEEN A
- 15 PAST PARTICIPANT IN THE PROGRAM, AND I KNOW THAT THIS IS
- 16 HAPPENING THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
- 17 TIME.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK THE C.E.O., ON THE INTERNSHIP
- 22 PROGRAM, THIS IS A SUMMER INTERNSHIP PROGRAM. IS THAT CORRECT?
- 23 BOY, I'M NOT USED TO SUCH QUICK RESPONSES AROUND HERE. IS THE
- 24 SUMMER INTERNSHIP FOR THIS SUMMER IS FUNDED. AM I CORRECT, OR
- 25 AM I MISTAKEN ON THAT?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NO, IT'S NOT FUNDED AT THIS POINT IN TIME. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR THIS COMING SUMMER? 4 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TRUE. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T YOU COME UP HERE? WHY DON'T YOU COME UP HERE SO WE CAN ALL HEAR YOU. 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THOUGHT IT WAS FUNDED THIS SUMMER. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET'S FIND OUT FROM THE HORSE'S MOUTH HERE. 14 EMIKO ONO: HELLO, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS EMIKO ONO, I'M THE 15 16 DIRECTOR OF GRANTS OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY ARTS COMMISSION. THE CURRENT SUMMER THAT WE'RE 17 18 EXPERIENCING RIGHT NOW, 2009, IS FULLY FUNDED. WE'RE TALKING 19 ABOUT FUNDING FOR SUMMER 2010. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. 21 22 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. 24

SUP. MOLINA: (OFF MIC) -- IS CARRIED OUT?

22

23

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 EMIKO ONO: CORRECT. BECAUSE THE PAYMENTS GO OUT TO OUR 2 3 COMMUNITY BEFORE THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR. 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. 8 EMIKO ONO: THANK YOU. 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. JULIA RODRIGUEZ-ELLIOTT, LUIS 11 12 RODRIGUEZ, AMANDA HARRIS, JULIUS HAMPTON, IF YOU'D COME 13 FORWARD, PLEASE. 14 LUIS RODRIGUEZ: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS LUIS RODRIGUEZ, AND 15 16 I'M WITH THE TIA CHUCHA CENTRO CULTURAL IN THE NORTHEAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. WE HAVE A PHILOSOPHY AT TIA CHUCA THAT REAL 17 18 COMMUNITY HAS TO HAVE ARTS AT THE HEART OF IT. ARTS ALLOWS FOR 19 BIG IDEAS, A VITALITY OF INVENTIVENESS AND IMAGINATION. IT ALLOWS FOR PEOPLE TO BREAK THROUGH WHATEVER CONSTRAINTS MIGHT 20 21 HOLD THEM DOWN, ESPECIALLY IN VERY POOR, WORKING-CLASS

COMMUNITIES. WE FEEL THAT THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM IS VERY

IMPORTANT FOR WHAT WE DO. WE HAVE THE ONLY CULTURAL SPACE

BOOKSTORE FOR 450,000 PEOPLE IN THE NORTHEAST VALLEY. AND WITH

AN INTERN, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO DRAW FROM THE COMMUNITY YOUNG

7

9



- 1 PEOPLE, PARTICULARLY THIS ONE PERSON WHO IS WORKING FOR US
- 2 THIS SUMMER. AT THE SAME TIME TRAINING HER AND ALLOWING HER TO
- 3 GO BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY AND ENGENDER THE KIND OF ARTS
- 4 PROGRAMMING THAT WE DO AND THAT WE HOPE CAN EXPAND. SO I'M
- 5 JUST SPEAKING IN FAVOR OF WHATEVER FUNDING TO KEEP THE INTERNS
- 6 IN OUR COMMUNITIES AND IN OUR ARTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. NEXT?
- 10 AMANDA HARRIS: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
- 11 AMANDA HARRIS AND I AM THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT FOR THE
- 12 FRIENDS OF ARTS EDUCATION AT THE CERRITOS CENTER. I ALSO SERVE
- 13 AS AMBASSADOR FOR THE CERRITOS REGIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.
- 14 THE ARTS INTERNSHIP PROGRAM IS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY THAT
- 15 ALLOWS YOUNG PEOPLE TO MAKE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE CLASSROOM
- 16 AND THE WORKPLACE THROUGH HANDS-ON EXPERIENCE. THE CAREFULLY
- 17 STRUCTURED PROGRAM PROVIDES YOUNG ADULTS WITH AN OPPORTUNITY
- 18 TO INCREASE THEIR KNOWLEDGE, TO ENHANCE THEIR SKILLS, TO
- 19 OBTAIN PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE, AND TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE
- 20 WORKFORCE IN VERY MEANINGFUL WAYS. WHILE INTERNS ARE PROVIDED
- 21 GUIDANCE AND FEEDBACK, THEIR LEARNING EVOLVES FROM THEIR OWN
- 22 ACTION AND REFLECTION. THE STUDENTS TAKE INITIATIVE, MAKE
- 23 DECISIONS, AND ARE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE RESULTS. THROUGH THE
- 24 HANDS-ON PROGRAM, INTERNS DEVELOP A HOST OF PROFESSIONAL
- 25 SKILLS, AS WELL AS INCREASED SENSE OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND



- 1 RESPONSIBILITY FROM THEIR PLACEMENT IN THE NONPROFIT SECTOR.
- 2 AT THE FRIENDS, WE HAD SUCH AN OUTSTANDING EXPERIENCE WITH
- 3 THIS PROGRAM THAT WE HIRED BACK THE PAST ARTS INTERN TO ASSIST
- 4 US WITH A FUNDRAISING EVENT WHILE SHE IS COMPLETING HER DEGREE
- 5 AT U.C.L.A. AS A MEMBER OF THE REGIONAL ARTS EDUCATION
- 6 PROVIDER THAT RECOGNIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF EXPERIENTIAL
- 7 LEARNING, I CANNOT EMPHASIZE ENOUGH HOW THE ARTS INTERNSHIP
- 8 PROGRAM FACILITATES A TYPE OF LEARNING THAT CANNOT BE
- 9 DUPLICATED IN THE CLASSROOM. AS A FORMER MENTOR, YOUTH
- 10 ADVISOR, AND EMPLOYEE IN THE FIELD OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
- 11 SERVICES, I CANNOT EMPHASIZE ENOUGH HOW AN INVESTMENT IN YOUTH
- 12 DEVELOPMENT IS RETURNED EXPONENTIALLY TO THE COMMUNITY. I
- 13 THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY AND HOPE THAT TODAY YOU WILL
- 14 CHOOSE TO CONTINUE TO MAKE AN INVESTMENT IN OUR YOUTH THROUGH
- 15 THIS EXCEPTIONAL PROGRAM. THANK YOU.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

18

- 19 JULIUS CROW-HAMPTON: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JULIUS CROW-
- 20 HAMPTON.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: JULIUS, BEFORE WE START YOUR TIME, I'M
- 23 GOING TO ASK JAMES HERR, STEPHEN VOSS, AND DUANE DENNIS TO
- 24 JOIN US, PLEASE. OKAY. THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.



- 1 JULIUS CROW-HAMPTON: MY NAME IS JULIUS CROW-HAMPTON, AND
- 2 THANKS TO YOU, I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SERVE AS AN ARTS
- 3 INTERN LAST SUMMER BY WORKING AT THE NONPROFIT ARTS ADVOCACY
- 4 ORGANIZATION, ARTS FOR L.A. THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM OPENED MY
- 5 EYES TO ALL THE RICH, CULTURAL AND ARTISTIC RESOURCES THAT WE
- 6 HAVE IN LOS ANGELES. ELEMENTS THAT, QUITE FRANKLY, I WAS
- 7 UNAWARE WE HAD PRIOR TO MY PARTICIPATION IN THE PROGRAM. BY
- 8 LEARNING ABOUT ALL THESE ASSETS IN OUR COMMUNITY, THE
- 9 INTERNSHIP GAVE TO ME SOMETHING THAT I HAD NOT RECEIVED IN
- 10 SCHOOL, A CIVIC IDENTITY. I REALIZED THAT I HAD A
- 11 RESPONSIBILITY TO UPHOLD AND ENSURE THAT OUR ARTISTIC AND
- 12 CULTURAL LIFE IS UNDERSTOOD AND IS ACCESSIBLE TO ALL. AND I
- 13 ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONTINUE TO INVEST IN OUR CIVIC FUTURE BY
- 14 INVESTING IN THIS PROGRAM AND IN PEOPLE LIKE ME. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THANKS FOR TAKING THE TIME TO
- 17 COME DOWN. NEXT?

- 19 JAMES HERR: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JAMES HERR, AND I'M THE
- 20 SENIOR MANAGER OF GLOBAL CORPORATE CITIZENSHIP FOR THE BOEING
- 21 COMPANY. AND I'M ALSO THE CO-CHAIR OF THE LOS ANGELES CHAMBER
- 22 OF COMMERCE'S WORKFORCE AND EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE.
- 23 IN MY CAPACITY AT BOEING, I LEAD A TEAM OF INVESTMENT
- 24 PROFESSIONALS WHO INVEST BOEING RESOURCES INTO OUR COMMUNITIES
- 25 TO CREATE STRONGER, MORE VIBRANT PLACES TO LIVE AND WORK. AS



- 1 THE STATE'S LARGEST MANUFACTURING EMPLOYER, WE HAVE A VESTED
- 2 INTEREST IN HELPING DEVELOP THE EXISTING AND FUTURE WORKFORCE.
- 3 A STRONG BACKGROUND IN THE ARTS IS A VITAL COMPONENT TO THE
- 4 DYNAMIC 21ST CENTURY WORKFORCE, WHETHER IT'S FOR AN ENGINEER
- 5 WHO IS DESIGNING A NEW MODE OF AIR TRANSPORTATION OR FOR ANY
- 6 OF THE OTHER THOUSANDS OF OTHER NONTECHNICAL EMPLOYEES THAT
- 7 BOEING AND OTHER COMPANIES HIRE. THE ARTS INTERNSHIP PROGRAM
- 8 PROVIDES HIGH QUALITY AND FLEXIBLE LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES
- 9 PREPARING YOUTH FOR A VARIETY OF CAREERS, AS WELL AS
- 10 CULTIVATING AN APPRECIATION FOR THE ARTS, IMAGINATION AND
- 11 CREATIVITY. IF I DIDN'T FEEL THAT IT WAS VITALLY IMPORTANT FOR
- 12 THIS PROGRAM TO CONTINUE, I WOULD NOT BE HERE TODAY. BUT
- 13 BOEING INVESTS MORE THAN 75% OF OUR PHILANTHROPIC BUDGET ALONE
- 14 INTO EDUCATION, WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, AND ARTS EDUCATION,
- 15 NEARLY \$4 MILLION ANNUALLY. AND EVEN WITH THE ECONOMIC
- 16 DOWNTURN, WE STILL MAINTAIN THAT SAME LEVEL OF SUPPORT BECAUSE
- 17 WE FEEL IT IS THAT IMPORTANT. I HOPE THAT TODAY THAT THE
- 18 CHAMBER AND THAT THE REST OF US HERE WOULD BE -- THE COUNTY
- 19 WILL SEE THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROGRAM AND CONTINUE TO
- 20 SUPPORT ITS CRITICAL WORK. THANK YOU.

21

23

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. NEXT?

- 24 DUANE DENNIS: SUPERVISOR KNABE, I'D LIKE TO BRING GRACE CAINOY
- 25 FROM THE ALLIANCE UP WITH US AS WELL.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DID SHE SIGN UP? YES OKAY. SHE WAS GOING 2 3 TO GET CALLED. 4 5 **DUANE DENNIS: RIGHT.** 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU CAN TESTIFY WITHOUT HER. RIGHT? 8 DUANE DENNIS: NO. SHE'S MY BOSS, SO I'VE GOT TO TESTIFY WITH 10 HER. 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I DIDN'T HAVE THAT ON HERE. OTHERWISE, I 12 13 WOULD HAVE COVERED YOU. 14 15 **DUANE DENNIS: (LAUGHTER).** 16 GRACE CAINOY: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE MEMBERS, BOARD OF 17 18 SUPERVISORS. WE ARE HERE TODAY TO SPEAK TO AND SUPPORT THE 19 MOTION BEING INTRODUCED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS ON HAVING THE COUNTY PLAY A ROLE IN CHANGING THE TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY 20 VOTE IN SACRAMENTO. AND WHERE WE'RE COMING FROM IS WE'RE 13 21 22 AGENCIES. WE SERVICE 60,000 CHILDREN THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, 23 THROUGH THE CALWORKS CHILD CARE PROGRAM, ALL THREE STAGES. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH STAGE ONE, BUT THERE IS A STAGE TWO AND 24 THREE. WE ALSO SERVICE 5,000 ADDITIONAL LOW INCOME FAMILIES. 25



- 1 TWO-THIRDS OF OUR FUNDING ACTUALLY COMES FROM THE STATE OF
- 2 CALIFORNIA, AND WHAT WE DO IS WE TRY TO MAKE THIS PROGRAM,
- 3 THIS CHILD CARE PROGRAM WORK FOR THIS COUNTY. WE ADVOCATE
- 4 VIGOROUSLY IN SACRAMENTO TO ENSURE THAT WE CAN CONTINUE TO
- 5 SERVE THE THOUSANDS OF FAMILIES THAT USE SUBSIDIZED CHILD
- 6 CARE, BUT IT CONTINUES TO GET WORSE. WE'VE NEVER SEEN AN ON-
- 7 TIME BUDGET THROUGHOUT MOST OF OUR HISTORY AS AGENCIES, BUT
- 8 WHAT WE'RE SEEING IS THAT THE DISRUPTION AND UNCERTAINTY FOR
- 9 PARENTS AND PROVIDERS IS CREATING MORE STRESS ON THEM THAN
- 10 WE'VE EVER SEEN BEFORE. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT SOLUTIONS WILL
- 11 ARISE IN SACRAMENTO, BUT WE'RE ALWAYS CAUGHT IN A BUDGET
- 12 CRUNCH. WE DON'T KNOW WHEN WE'RE GOING TO GET PAID, SO WE SEND
- 13 OUT NOTICES TO PROVIDERS AND PARENTS SAYING THAT WE'RE NOT
- 14 SURE WHEN A BUDGET IS GOING TO BE SIGNED. AND WHAT WE ALSO DO
- 15 AS AGENCIES, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO ADMINISTER THESE PROGRAMS, WE
- 16 TAKE OUT LINES OF CREDIT. THIS YEAR ALONE, WE TOOK OUT CLOSE
- 17 TO A MILLION DOLLARS IN LINES OF CREDIT. THAT'S A WASTE WITH
- 18 TAXPAYER RESOURCES. AND IN TERMS OF JUST BEING ABLE TO MANAGE
- 19 YOUR PROJECTIONS, WE DON'T KNOW WHEN WE'LL GET PAID, SO
- 20 SOMETIMES WE HOLD BACK ENROLLMENTS. WE DON'T REALLY HAVE A
- 21 HANDLE ON OUR PROJECTIONS, WE KNOW THE FAMILIES NEED THE
- 22 SERVICES. THE LARGE MAJORITY OF OUR PROGRAMS ARE ENTITLEMENTS.
- 23 SO WE SPEND A LOT OF TIME SHIFTING DOLLARS, SHIFTING FAMILIES,
- 24 HOLDING BACK ENROLLMENT. SO EVERY YEAR WE'RE CONSTANTLY
- 25 BURDENED. AND NOT TO MENTION THE ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN THAT WE



- I EXPERIENCE OF AGENCIES, JUST TO DELIVER THE PROGRAM. AND NOW
- 2 WITH THE STATE BUDGET EVEN WORSENED, WE REALLY THINK THAT
- 3 THERE NEEDS TO BE A GREATER ROLE FOR US TO TRY TO HELP SOLVE
- 4 THE STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS IN SACRAMENTO. SO WE APPRECIATE THAT
- 5 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS HAS INTRODUCED THIS MOTION.

- 7 STEPHEN VOSS: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I AM STEPHEN VOSS,
- 8 I'M CHAIR OF THE L.A. COUNTY CHILD CARE ALLIANCE, WHERE GRACE
- 9 WORKS. AND I AM ALSO PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF
- 10 ONE OF ITS MEMBER AGENCIES, WHICH IS INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
- 11 OF LOS ANGELES. AND THE ORGANIZATION THAT EMPLOYS ME HAS BEEN
- 12 IN SERVICE SINCE 1914. AND OUR MISSION HAS ALWAYS BEEN ONE OF
- 13 HELPING FOLKS WHO ARE STRUGGLING TO GET AHEAD, TO GET ON THEIR
- 14 FEET, TO MOVE FROM A MORE DEPENDENT TO AN INDEPENDENT STATE,
- 15 AND, IN FACT, THEN TO BE CONTRIBUTING TAXPAYERS AND
- 16 CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS OF SOCIETY. WE'RE VERY PROUD OF OUR
- 17 MISSION AND WE'RE VERY PROUD OF OUR INVOLVEMENT IN THE
- 18 COUNTY'S CALWORKS STAGE ONE PROGRAM. AND AS GRACE MENTIONED, A
- 19 VERY IMPORTANT IF NOT KIND OF TECHNICAL PROBLEM IS THE FACT
- 20 THAT SACRAMENTO'S FAILURE TO ADOPT A BUDGET IN A MORE TIMELY
- 21 MANNER ACTUALLY HAS A VERY, VERY PROFOUND EFFECT ON THE
- 22 FAMILIES WE ARE TRYING TO SERVE. AMONG OTHER INFLUENCES, THE
- 23 FACT THAT THE UNCERTAINTY AND INSTABILITY OF THE AVAILABILITY
- 24 OF FUNDS EVERY JUNE AND JULY CAUSES FAMILIES TO TRY TO MAKE
- 25 DECISIONS THAT MAY NOT REALLY NECESSARILY BE IN THEIR BEST



- 1 INTEREST, THAT MIGHT, IN FACT, BE SOMETHING THEY WANT TO
- 2 REVERSE ONCE THE DUST SETTLES ON THE BUDGET IN SACRAMENTO AND
- 3 THERE'S MORE CLARITY WITH RESPECT TO WHAT SERVICES ARE FUNDED.
- 4 AND FRANKLY, THE ORGANIZATIONS LIKE OURS WHO ARE HELPING THEM
- 5 TO MOVE FROM DEPENDENCE TO INDEPENDENCE ARE THEN UNEQUALLY
- 6 UNCERTAIN. GRACE MENTIONED THAT A NUMBER OF US TAKE OUT LINES
- 7 OF CREDIT. MY ORGANIZATION IS ADVANTAGED IN TWO WAYS. ONE IS
- 8 WE HAVE A RELATIVELY SMALL PROGRAM, SO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE
- 9 WOULD NEED TO BORROW TO FLOAT ESSENTIALLY THE STATE'S AND THE
- 10 COUNTY'S OBLIGATION IS, COMPARED TO SOME OF THE OTHER
- 11 AGENCIES, RELATIVELY SMALL. THE OTHER ADVANTAGE WE HAVE IS
- 12 THAT WE HAVE UNENCUMBERED PROPERTY. AND IT'S ONLY ON THAT
- 13 BASIS THAT OUR LENDERS ARE EVEN WILLING TO TALK TO US ABOUT
- 14 LENDING US MONEY THAT WE WOULD USE TO TIDE OVER SOME OF THE
- 15 FAMILIES IN THE ABSENCE OF AN ADOPTED BUDGET. SO THEREFORE
- 16 WE'RE VERY, VERY INTERESTED AND IN SUPPORT OF SUPERVISORS'
- 17 MOTION THAT WOULD HELP BREAK SOME OF THAT GRIDLOCK THAT
- 18 HAPPENS EVERY SINGLE YEAR IN SACRAMENTO, THAT CREATES SUCH
- 19 UNCERTAINTY FOR FAMILIES. AND PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF THE
- 20 MAJOR CHALLENGES THAT ARE BEING FACED IN SACRAMENTO NOW, WE'RE
- 21 QUITE WORRIED ABOUT WHEN THE STATE CAN ADOPT SOMETHING THAT
- 22 CAN GIVE A GREEN LIGHT TO THE LOCAL COUNTIES AND FAMILIES. AND
- 23 SO WE WOULD SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION AS I UNDERSTAND IT.
- 24 THANK YOU.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE JOIN IN YOUR WORRY.

- 3 DUANE DENNIS: SUPERVISOR KNABE, MY NAME IS DUANE DENNIS, AND
- 4 I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF PATHWAYS LOCATED IN THE MID-
- 5 WILSHIRE DISTRICT, AND WE ARE A CHILD CARE AND SPECIAL NEEDS
- 6 ORGANIZATION, AND FOR THE PAST 45 YEARS, WHEN WE'VE NOT HAD A
- 7 JULY 1ST ON-TIME BUDGET, IT PRODUCES MANY PROBLEMS FOR OUR
- 8 CLIENTS. THOSE STALEMATES IN SACRAMENTO ARE HARDSHIPS FOR OUR
- 9 PARENTS AND OUR PROVIDERS. AND SO THIS YEAR IS A LITTLE
- 10 DIFFERENT IN THAT WHEREAS I WOULD HAVE GONE TO MY BANK FOR A
- 11 LINE OF CREDIT, I'M VERY RELUCTANT, AND I WOULD BELIEVE THAT
- 12 MY BOARD WOULD BE RELUCTANT AROUND THAT AS WELL. I DON'T THINK
- 13 MY BOARD WOULD SUPPORT ME GOING TO GET A LINE OF CREDIT. AND
- 14 I'M NOT ASSURED THAT IF I WERE TO GO GET A LINE OF CREDIT,
- 15 BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE THAT THE STATE WOULD HAVE THE FUNDING TO
- 16 REPAY ME IF I DID, SO THAT IN ITSELF WOULD HAVE AN IMPACT ON
- 17 OUR FAMILIES. THE LION'S SHARE OF THE FUNDING THAT ALL THE
- 18 ALLIANCE AGENCY RECEIVES GOES OUT THE DOOR TO THE CHILD CARE
- 19 PROVIDERS AND TO PARENTS AND CHILDREN, AND BY NOT HAVING ON-
- 20 TIME BUDGET, IT IS A HARDSHIP, NOT ONLY TO THE AGENCIES THAT
- 21 SERVE THE FAMILIES, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY TO THE PARENTS AND
- 22 THE PROVIDERS IN THE COMMUNITIES. CHILD CARE IS ONE OF THOSE
- 23 SERVICES IN WHICH IT HELPS THE COMMUNITIES AND THE ECONOMY
- 24 ITSELF BY NOT HAVING FUNDING. THOSE ECONOMIES ARE STALLED. SO
- 25 I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE SUPPORT THE SUPERVISOR'S MOTION AND



- 1 THAT WE MOVE FORWARD LOOKING AT A MAJORITY AS OPPOSED TO THE
- 2 SUPERMAJORITY WHICH IS NOW IN PLACE IN SACRAMENTO. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANKS FOR COMING DOWN. OKAY. ITEM 5 IS
- 5 BEFORE US. I KNOW -- CALLED HIM TWICE. I CALLED HIM TWICE.
- 6 OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU SPEAK FOR HIM. DA-DA-DA. THAT'S PRETTY
- 7 COOL, BART. HA-HA-HA. MAN, YOU MAKE RAMON LOOK LIKE A
- 8 VISIONARY OUT THERE. HE'S COMING, HE'S COMING. WALKS RIGHT
- 9 THROUGH THE DOOR.

10

11 BART DIENER: TEXT MESSAGING.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD.

- 15 BART DIENER: MY NAME IS BART DIENER, I'M ASSISTANT TO THE
- 16 PRESIDENT OF S.E.I.U. LOCAL 721. WE'RE PLEASED TO BE HERE TO
- 17 SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. WE, ALONG WITH MANY OTHER
- 18 OBSERVERS I THINK HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION SOME TIME AGO
- 19 THAT MUCH OF THE GRIDLOCK THAT WE SEE IN SACRAMENTO IS CAUSED
- 20 BY THE REQUIREMENT OF A TWO-THIRDS SUPERMAJORITY IN ORDER TO
- 21 PASS A BUDGET. TOO OFTEN THIS HAS LED TO BUDGET SOLUTIONS THAT
- 22 WERE MORE GIMMICKS THAN REAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS WE'RE
- 23 FACED WITH. MOST RECENTLY, THE MAY 19TH SPECIAL ELECTION WE
- 24 SEE AS AN EXAMPLE OF THAT WHERE BECAUSE THERE WASN'T A TWO-
- 25 THIRDS MAJORITY FOR ANY FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION, THE LEGISLATURE



- 1 KICKED THE PROBLEM TO THE VOTERS. AND IN SO DOING, KIND OF
- 2 WASTED A LOT OF TIME, AND ALLOWED THE PROBLEM TO GET WORSE.
- 3 SINCE MAY 19TH, SOME, INCLUDING THE GOVERNOR, HAVE SUGGESTED
- 4 THAT THE VOTERS WERE VOTING FOR SPENDING CUTS. THERE'S BEEN
- 5 POLLING THAT'S BEEN DONE THAT I WANTED TO SHARE WITH YOU THAT
- 6 SUGGESTS THAT THAT'S FAR FROM THE CASE, THAT ONLY 29% OF
- 7 CALIFORNIA VOTERS RESPONDED THAT THEY THINK THAT THE STATE
- 8 SHOULD RELY SOLELY ON SPENDING CUTS TO BALANCE THE BUDGET. AND
- 9 EVEN LESS THAN HALF OF THOSE WHO VOTED "NO" ON PROP 1-A THINK
- 10 THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD RELY ENTIRELY ON SPENDING CUTS. 75%
- 11 SUPPORT INCREASING TAXES ON ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES. 74% SUPPORT
- 12 INCREASING TAXES ON TOBACCO. 73% SUPPORT IMPOSING AN OIL
- 13 EXTRACTION TAX, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. AND SO THE VOTERS, WHEN
- 14 ASKED THESE QUESTIONS, REFLECT REALLY I THINK THE SENTIMENT IN
- 15 THE LEGISLATURE, BUT WITH THAT TWO-THIRDS REQUIREMENT, WE HAVE
- 16 NOT BEEN ABLE TO PURSUE THOSE POLICIES. JUST WANTED TO ADD
- 17 THAT THIS EVENING, ABOUT A THOUSAND OF YOUR EMPLOYEES WILL BE
- 18 GATHERING ON THE MALL HERE AT THE HALL OF ADMINISTRATION AND
- 19 MARCHING TO THE STATE BUILDING TO DELIVER A MESSAGE IN
- 20 OPPOSITION TO ELIMINATION OF THE CALWORKS PROGRAM AND OTHER
- 21 PROGRAMS. WE WELCOME YOU TO -- AND INVITE YOU TO JOIN US IF
- 22 YOU'RE SO INCLINED. THIS IS THE FIRST OF SEVERAL ACTIONS THAT
- 23 WE'LL BE TAKING IN THE COMING WEEK IN AN EFFORT TO REACH A
- 24 BUDGET SOLUTION THAT PRESERVES PUBLIC SERVICES. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ITEM 5'S BEFORE US. THAT'S THE
- 2 LAST OF THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY. IS THAT CORRECT? WE HAVE SOME --
- 3 MEMBERS HAVE SOME MOTIONS TO BRING IN UNDER ITEM 5. I THINK I
- 4 WILL BEGIN. I HAVE A COUPLE OF MOTIONS HERE. THE C.E.O.
- 5 ADVISED COUNTY DEPARTMENTS THAT GIVEN THE COUNTY'S CURRENT
- 6 FISCAL OUTLOOK, THEY NEED TO RIGHT- SIZE THEIR BUDGET FOR
- 7 FISCAL YEAR 2009/2010. WHILE I AGREE THAT ALL DEPARTMENTS MUST
- 8 LOOK FOR COST REDUCTIONS, I AM CONCERNED THAT THIS APPROACH
- 9 WILL RESULT IN DEPARTMENTS PROPOSING FUNDING CUTS FOR AUDIT
- 10 SERVICES SUCH AS COMPLIANCE AUDITS, MANDATED REVIEWS, COUNTY-
- 11 WIDE CONTRACT REVIEWS, FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS AND OTHER AUDIT-
- 12 RELATED SERVICES PROVIDED BY OUR AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. THE
- 13 PRACTICE OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER BILLING DEPARTMENTS FOR
- 14 AUDIT SERVICES STARTED ABOUT 30 YEARS AGO IN RESPONSE TO THE
- 15 FEDERAL OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET CIRCULAR A-87 AS A WAY
- 16 TO INCREASE THE TIMELINESS OF OUR COUNTY COST RECOVERY. IN THE
- 17 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S CURRENT BUDGET, IT CONTAINED COST
- 18 RECOVERY FOR AUDIT SERVICES FROM BOTH SAVINE DEPARTMENTS AND
- 19 GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENTS. WHILE SAVINE DEPARTMENTS MAY HAVE
- 20 THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR REIMBURSEMENT FROM STATE OR FEDERALLY
- 21 FUNDED PROGRAMS, THE OTHER GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENTS ARE
- 22 BEARING THE FULL COSTS OF THE ABOVE-MENTIONED AUDIT SERVICES.
- 23 WHEN OVERALL BUDGET CLIMATE IS WORSENING, THE AUDITOR-
- 24 CONTROLLER IS NOW EXPERIENCING FUNDING REDUCTION PROPOSALS
- 25 FROM VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. INTERNAL AUDITING STANDARDS



- 1 REQUIRE THE A.C. TO AUDIT BASED ON RISK, WITH THE HIGHEST-RISK
- 2 AREAS BEING GIVEN THE HIGHEST PRIORITY. HOWEVER, THE NEED TO
- 3 BILL THE DEPARTMENTS IN ORDER TO MEET THE A.C.'S BUDGET HAS
- 4 CREATED CONFLICTS WITH THESE INTERNAL AUDITING STANDARDS. IT
- 5 ALSO MAY JEOPARDIZE THEIR ABILITY TO RESPOND TO BOARD REQUESTS
- 6 HERE EACH AND EVERY WEEK AND TO PERFORM ESSENTIAL AUDIT
- 7 SERVICES THAT WE EXPECT ON AN ONGOING BASIS. SO I WOULD MOVE
- 8 THAT THE C.E.O., IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER,
- 9 REPORT BACK WITHIN 60 DAYS WITH A PLAN TO FUND THE ABOVE-
- 10 MENTIONED AUDIT SERVICES TO ULTIMATELY REDUCE THE RISK OF
- 11 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, FISCAL NONCOMPLIANCE AND OUR EMPLOYEES OR
- 12 CONTRACTORS' FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES. SO WITH THAT, THERE WOULD
- 13 BE A MOTION TO REPORT BACK, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,
- 14 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THE NEXT ONE IS A MOTION BY
- 15 MYSELF AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. THIS 2009/2010 PROPOSED
- 16 BUDGET INCLUDES THE MERGER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 17 COMMISSION ON AGING AND THE AREA AGENCY ON AGING ADVISORY
- 18 COUNCIL UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF OUR DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
- 19 SENIOR SERVICES. ITEM 4 ON TODAY'S AGENDA IS A RECOMMENDATION
- 20 TO DELEGATE AUTHORITY TO THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR
- 21 SERVICES TO COORDINATE THE RESTRUCTURING OF THE TWO INTO ONE
- 22 BODY WITHIN 90 DAYS OF JULY 1ST, 2009 AND FOR THE BOARD TO
- 23 ADOPT AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TO REMOVE AND,
- 24 WHERE APPROPRIATE, MODIFY VARIOUS PROVISIONS APPLYING TO BOTH
- 25 BODIES. ITEM 5 IS A RECOMMENDATION TO REMOVE THE STIPEND



- 1 PROVISION FOR MEMBERS. DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR
- 2 SERVICES HAS BEEN ASKED TO FORM A STEERING COMMITTEE COMPRISED
- 3 OF MEMBERS OF BOTH GROUPS TO DEVELOP A DETAILED IMPLEMENTATION
- 4 PLAN FOR THE MERGER. THE STEERING COMMITTEE IS BEING ASKED TO
- 5 COMPLETE THIS TASK AND RETURN TO THE BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS. I
- 6 RECOMMEND THAT ANY CHANGE OF ORDINANCE OR PAY PROVISION
- 7 REGARDING L.A.C.C.O.A. DEFERRED UNTIL THE HEARING ON OUR
- 8 2009/2010 SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET. THEN, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 9 AND I, OURSELVES, MOVE THAT THE -- DELEGATE THE AUTHORITY TO
- 10 THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES TO LEAD THE
- 11 RESTRUCTURING OF L.A.C.C.O.A. AND THE A.A.A. ADVISORY COUNCIL,
- 12 FORM A STEERING COMMITTEE COMPRISED OF BOTH GROUPS TO DEVELOP
- 13 A DETAILED PLAN TO MERGE THE TWO BODIES TO BE FILED WITH THE
- 14 BOARD ON OR BEFORE THE HEARING OF OUR 2009/2010 SUPPLEMENTAL
- 15 BUDGET, AND DEFER ANY CHANGE OF ORDINANCE OR PAY PROVISION
- 16 REGARDING THE TWO UNTIL THE HEARING OF THE 2009/2010
- 17 SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
- 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR MOLINA? YOUR MOTIONS
- 19 PLEASE. START WITH THE FIRST DISTRICT.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: (OFF MIC) ...QUALITY OF LIFE IN OUR COMMUNITIES
- 22 AND THE WELFARE OF OUR PEOPLE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THE
- 23 GOVERNOR'S "CUTS ONLY" BUDGET PROPOSAL WOULD DEPRIVE MILLIONS
- 24 OF CALIFORNIANS BASIC HEALTHCARE, HOME CARE, AND OTHER
- 25 SERVICES THAT CHILDREN, SENIORS AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES



- 1 NEED TO SURVIVE. AND THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET PROPOSAL WOULD
- 2 LEAVE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE UNEMPLOYED, INCLUDING
- 3 LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS, PUTTING ENORMOUS PRESSURE ON
- 4 COUNTY SERVICES AND DRIVING CALIFORNIA FURTHER INTO RECESSION.
- 5 THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET PROPOSAL WILL SIGNIFICANTLY CUT MONEY
- 6 THAT THE STATE IS LEGALLY REQUIRED TO PAY TO COUNTIES WHICH IN
- 7 TURN WOULD CATASTROPHICALLY IMPACT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 8 BUDGET, IMPACT PUBLIC SAFETY, AND IMPACT VITAL SERVICES, WHEN
- 9 THEY'RE NEEDED TO MAINTAIN A STRONG AND VIBRANT COMMUNITY.
- 10 PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH CONDUCTED IN THE MAY 2009 SPECIAL
- 11 ELECTION SHOWED THAT ALL VOTERS OF ALL POLITICAL BACKGROUNDS
- 12 OPPOSE THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSED BUDGET. IN FACT, 65% BELIEVE
- 13 THE BUDGET CRISIS MUST BE SOLVED WITH A MIXTURE OF CUTS AND
- 14 NEW TAXES, NOT JUST CUTS ALONE AS THE GOVERNOR IS PROPOSING.
- 15 WE THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CALL ON THE
- 16 GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATURE TO PRODUCE A COMMON SENSE BUDGET
- 17 THAT STRIKES A FAIR BALANCE BETWEEN ALL STAKEHOLDERS. NOW I
- 18 PUT IN THIS MOTION TO SPEAK BROADLY TO THE ISSUES OF WHAT IS
- 19 GOING ON IN SACRAMENTO. I THINK THAT ALL OF US COULD COME UP
- 20 WITH DIFFERENT WAYS AS TO HOW TO PROPOSE THIS BUDGET, BUT IT
- 21 REALLY IS INCUMBENT THAT SACRAMENTO HAS TO DELIVER A BUDGET AS
- 22 SOON AS POSSIBLE TO US. WE ARE PASSING A BUDGET TODAY THAT
- 23 WILL BE BALANCED. AND WE'RE PROUD OF IT. IT DOES INCLUDE
- 24 CERTAIN CUTS, AND CUTTING BACK ON CERTAIN SERVICES. BUT AT THE
- 25 SAME TIME, IMPLEMENTING VARIOUS PROGRAMS AND LOOKING INTO OUR



- 1 RESERVES AND OTHER AREAS TO SUPPLEMENT SOME OF THE PROGRAMS.
- 2 AND THAT'S BECAUSE OF AN ONGOING PROCESS OF PLANNING THAT L.A.
- 3 COUNTY HAS DONE. UNFORTUNATELY IN SACRAMENTO, THERE HASN'T
- 4 BEEN THAT BALANCE. AND I'M NOT GOING TO BLAME JUST THE
- 5 REPUBLICANS. AND I THINK BOTH SIDE OF THE AISLES ARE
- 6 RESPONSIBLE HERE. THERE IS SO MUCH SPECIAL INTEREST GOING ON
- 7 THAT INSTEAD OF LEGISLATORS RESPONDING TO THE NEEDS OF THEIR
- 8 CONSTITUENTS, TO THE NEEDS OF THE STATE, PARTICULARLY A STATE
- 9 THAT IS FAILING RIGHT NOW IN ITS RESPONSIVENESS AND MAYBE
- 10 UNDER RECEIVERSHIP VERY SOON, IT REALLY REQUIRES A COMMON
- 11 SENSE APPROACH. I THINK THAT THE ADVICE THAT THEY RECEIVE FROM
- 12 FORMER SENATOR LOGEARY, AND NOW OUR -- WHAT? LIEUTENANT
- 13 GOVERNOR, RIGHT? I SHOULD KNOW THAT. BUT THE POINT IS THAT I
- 14 THINK THE BEST ADVICE THEY RECEIVE IS TO MAKE A BUDGET THAT
- 15 LOOKS LIKE IT MIGHT BE THE LAST BUDGET AS ELECTED OFFICIALS.
- 16 SO WE ARE DESPERATE HERE. OUR BUDGET TODAY IS TO HOPEFULLY
- 17 GOING TO MOVE FORWARD. BUT THE REALITY IS WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT
- 18 THE EFFECT WILL BE OF A REAL BUDGET UNTIL SACRAMENTO PASSES
- 19 ITS BUDGET, THEN WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO EVALUATE AND
- 20 UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS OF WHAT THOSE CUTS ARE GOING TO
- 21 BE, THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE REVENUE INCREASES, HOPEFULLY, AND
- 22 THEN TRY AND GET IN PLACE A BUDGET, A RECONCILIATION OF A
- 23 BUDGET, FOR SEPTEMBER THAT HOPEFULLY IS NOT GOING TO HAVE A
- 24 HUGE IMPACT AND REQUIRE ANY MORE CUTS FROM US. BUT WE WON'T
- 25 KNOW UNTIL THEY ROLL UP THEIR SLEEVES, MAKE THE TOUGH



- 1 DECISIONS, AND GET THE JOB DONE. I HOPE IT WILL BE A COMMON
- 2 SENSE BUDGET.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 6 ANYTHING ELSE?

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU WANT ME TO READ MY SECOND ONE?

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, JUST GO AHEAD.

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: THE NEXT ONE I HAVE IS BASICALLY IS DEALING WITH
- 13 THE ISSUE OF CODE ENFORCEMENT. AND THIS IS, AS WE ALL KNOW,
- 14 OUR CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM THAT AGAIN, HAS BEEN WORKING IN
- 15 CONJUNCTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S CODE ENFORCEMENT
- 16 PROGRAM, HAS BEEN TREMENDOUSLY SUCCESSFUL, THE KIND OF
- 17 PARTNERSHIP THAT WE HAVE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUS, AND WE SAW THAT
- 18 AND WE EXPANDED THEIR BUDGET IN 2006/2007 BECAUSE WE KNEW THAT
- 19 THE DEMANDS WERE GREAT AND THEY WERE DOING A TREMENDOUS JOB.
- 20 UNFORTUNATELY, THERE'S BEEN A CURTAILMENT IN THAT BUDGET AT
- 21 THIS POINT IN TIME, AND IT REPRESENTS OVER A 52% REDUCTION. SO
- 22 THAT MIGHT REQUIRE RESTRUCTURING OF THE PROGRAM. I'M NOT
- 23 READING THE ENTIRE MOTION, BUT YOU HAVE THE MOTION BEFORE YOU.
- 24 SO I AM ASKING THAT WE THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE C.E.O. REPORT
- 25 BACK DURING THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET PHASE WITH FUNDING OPTIONS



- 1 TO MAINTAIN THE CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES IN THE
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREAS FOR A THREE-YEAR PERIOD. THOSE ARE MY TWO
- 3 MOTIONS.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. (OFF MIC) -- SO ORDERED.
- 6 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, YOU'RE UP NEXT, PLEASE.

- 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, COLLEAGUES. THERE
- 9 ARE THREE ITEMS THAT I WISH TO CALL TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION.
- 10 I BEGIN WITH THE ITEM THAT WAS SPOKEN TO EARLIER BY WAY OF THE
- 11 ARTS INTERNSHIP PROGRAM. IT'S ALREADY BEEN ASSERTED HOW
- 12 EFFECTIVE IT'S BEEN, AND I DOUBT THAT THERE'S ANY DEBATE TO
- 13 THAT EFFECT. THIS PROGRAM CELEBRATES ITS TENTH YEAR, AND IS
- 14 SET FOR THE PLACEMENT OF SOME 125 INTERNS IN 95 PARTICIPATING
- 15 ORGANIZATIONS, THAT IS ARTS ORGANIZATIONS. IT SHOULD BE NOTED
- 16 THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS PRODUCED SOME OF THOSE WHO HAVE
- 17 ESSENTIALLY BECOME LEADERS IN THEIR VARIOUS DISCIPLINES
- 18 ASSOCIATED WITH ARTS, THE CREATIVE ENTERPRISES IN OUR COUNTY
- 19 AS WELL AS BUSINESSES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. THEY ADVANCE
- 20 POLICY DEVELOPMENT, AND THEY DO SO IN BOTH THE PUBLIC AND
- 21 PRIVATE SECTORS. MR. CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES, WHEN THERE'S AN
- 22 ECONOMIC DOWNTURN, I SUSPECT IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS IS
- 23 WHEN THE SITUATION GETS MOST CHALLENGING. AND NO SECRET TO ANY
- 24 OF US HERE THAT WE HAVE TOUGH DECISIONS TO MAKE AND WE WILL,
- 25 IN FACT, MAKE THEM. THE MOTION BEFORE YOU CALLS UPON US TO BE



- 1 PROACTIVE, BE PREVENTIVE WITH RESPECT TO THIS INTERNSHIP
- 2 PROGRAM, A DECADE IN EXISTENCE AND THAT WHICH IS DONE JUST
- 3 SIMPLY VERY, VERY SMART, STRATEGIC WORK. IT IS WISE INVESTMENT
- 4 OF OUR RESOURCES, \$500,000 IS WHAT IS BEING CALLED FOR HERE.
- 5 THE MOTION ESSENTIALLY HAS TWO PARTS AND IT SERVES THE
- 6 INTEREST OF THE OBJECTIVE TO MOVE THIS FORWARD. I'M PREPARED
- 7 TO BIFURCATE ACCORDINGLY, PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF THE
- 8 SUGGESTION MADE BY THE C.E.O., BUT IF WE HAVE THE ONE-TIME
- 9 ALLOCATION FOR \$500,000, I THINK THAT WILL TAKE CARE OF THE
- 10 UPCOMING YEAR AND WE CAN BE COMFORTABLE IN OUR PROJECTION
- 11 THERE. AND SECONDLY, TO WORK WITH THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 12 THE ARTS COMMISSION TO FIRST IMPROVE AND REVAMP THE PROGRAM TO
- 13 MAKE THE PROGRAM MORE COMPETITIVE FOR FUNDING IN 2010, 2011,
- 14 THAT IS, TO SEEK OTHER SOURCES. IN ADDITION TO THAT, IDENTIFY
- 15 OTHER GRANT SOURCES TO HELP UNDERWRITE THE COST OF THE
- 16 PROGRAM, 2010, 2011. AND FINALLY REPORT BACK IN WRITING EVERY
- 17 THREE MONTHS ON THE PROGRESS OF BOTH "A" AND "B" OF THE
- 18 MOTION. AND, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M PREPARED TO MOVE THAT AND
- 19 BIFURCATE AS IS DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M GOING TO ASK A QUESTION OF C.E.O..

- 22 OBVIOUSLY, I DON'T THINK ANY OF US -- WE ALL SUPPORT THE ARTS
- 23 INTERNSHIP PROGRAM. BUT THE MONEY IS COVERED FOR THIS THIS
- 24 SUMMER. IS THAT CORRECT? I JUST WANT TO HEAR IT AGAIN. RIGHT?
- 25 BECAUSE THEY'RE ALREADY IN PLACE. MY CONCERN WITH THIS MOTION



- 1 AS IT RELATES TO NEXT SUMMER, ALTHOUGH IT WOULD BE NICE, IF WE
- 2 FIND OURSELVES, WHEN WE DO SUPPLEMENTALS, IN A COMPETITIVE
- 3 POSITION BETWEEN AN INTERNSHIP PROGRAM AND PROGRAM DOLLARS FOR
- 4 THE VARIOUS COMMUNITY-BASED ARTS GROUPS, I AM ONE THAT WOULD
- 5 SUPPORT PROGRAM OVER INTERNSHIPS. AS MUCH AS I LIKE THE
- 6 INTERNSHIP PROGRAM, AND IT'S BEEN SO SUCCESSFUL, I JUST THINK
- 7 THAT ALLOCATING DOLLARS FOR THE 2010 SUMMER AT THIS PARTICULAR
- 8 POINT WOULD BE PREMATURE BECAUSE THERE WILL BE A DEBATE SHOULD
- 9 SOME OF THOSE CUTS GO INTO EFFECT THAT WOULD PUT US IN A
- 10 SITUATION, IF WE'VE ALREADY ALLOCATED HALF A MILLION DOLLARS
- 11 FOR AN INTERNSHIP PROGRAM, THAT MIGHT BE HALF A MILLION
- 12 DOLLARS WE CAN'T USE FOR PROGRAMS FOR THE ARTS COMMISSION. AND
- 13 I'M JUST STATING WHERE I'M COMING FROM AS IT RELATES TO THAT.
- 14 I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY OTHER COMMENTS.

- 16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THAT'S A GOOD INSIGHT, MR. CHAIRMAN. IF I
- 17 MAY, I WOULD JUST SIMPLY WISH TO OFFER THIS PERSPECTIVE. IT'S
- 18 NOT NECESSARILY A MATTER OF EITHER/OR. BECAUSE WHEN WE TALK
- 19 ABOUT THE FUNDING OF THOSE COMMUNITY-BASED ENTITIES, WHICH WE
- 20 BOTH SUPPORT, I PRESUME ALL FIVE OF US DO. IN MANY INSTANCES,
- 21 THESE INTERNS SUPPORT THESE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS IN
- 22 SUCH A WAY THAT THEY ARE A VALUE ADDED TO THE WORK THAT'S
- 23 GOING ON DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS FOR THESE PROGRAMS. AND SO I
- 24 LOOK AT IT HOLISTICALLY. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS THE



- 1 CASE, I WOULD THINK THAT WE SHOULD BE THINKING ABOUT THEM BOTH
- 2 TOGETHER, RATHER THAN INDIVIDUALLY OR SEPARATELY.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MR. YAROSLAVSKY.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOUR POINT, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS
- 7 ONE WITH WHICH I AM IN TOTAL AGREEMENT AS TO PROGRAMS VERSUS
- 8 OTHER THINGS. THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM IS FUNDED FOR THE SUMMER,
- 9 IT IS A GOOD PROGRAM, IT IS A SOLID PROGRAM. WHAT I DON'T
- 10 THINK, WHAT HAS BEEN MISSING IN THE DISCUSSION, WHAT DID WE
- 11 END UP -- WHAT DID YOU END UP RECOMMENDING ON THE ARTS GRANTS
- 12 PROGRAM? DIDN'T YOU CUT IN THIS PROPOSAL \$100,000?

13

14 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** CORRECT.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

17

- 18 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** THE ARTS INTERNSHIP PROGRAM -- I MEAN THE ARTS
- 19 --

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NOT THE INTERNSHIP, ARTS GRANTS.

22

- 23 DEBBIE LIZZARI: ORGANIZATIONAL GRANTS PROGRAMS WERE CUT BY
- 24 \$100,000.



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AS WAS THE HOLIDAY CELEBRATION.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, I KNOW, AND IN MY OPINION, NEITHER ONE
- 4 OF THEM SHOULD HAVE BEEN CUT, BUT THAT'S NOT MY -- APPARENTLY
- 5 NOT MY CALL. BUT IN ANY CASE, WE'VE ALREADY BEGUN THE CUT
- 6 THROAT PROCESS IN THIS DEPARTMENT. AND THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM
- 7 WAS ONE OF WE DISCUSSED IN APRIL, WHENEVER IT WAS, APRIL OR
- 8 MAY. AND WE WERE ASSURED, AND CORRECTLY ASSURED, THAT THE
- 9 INTERNSHIP PROGRAM WAS BEING HELD HARMLESS AT THAT POINT. AND
- 10 SO WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS THAT THE ITEM RELATING TO THE HALF
- 11 A MILLION DOLLARS BE DEFERRED UNTIL THE SEPTEMBER FINAL
- 12 CHANGES, SO WE HAVE A BETTER PICTURE OF WHERE WE ARE.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THAT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE OTHER ITEMS, I DON'T HAVE ANY
- 17 PROBLEMS WITH THE OTHER ITEMS OF YOUR MOTION. I THINK THAT
- 18 WOULD STILL BE APPROPRIATE TODAY.

19

- 20 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YOU MIGHT HAVE RECALLED THAT I DID SAY
- 21 THAT THE OPTION TO BIFURCATE WAS CERTAINLY ACCEPTABLE TO ME.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHAT I'M SUGGESTING.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO ON ITEM NUMBER 1, THAT WOULD BE
- 2 CONTINUED UNTIL THE SEPTEMBER FINAL CHANGES MEETING, I THINK
- 3 IT WOULD BE SEPTEMBER 15TH, SOMEWHERE AROUND THERE. AND THEN
- 4 ITEM 2, "A," "B" AND "C" WOULD BE MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-
- 5 THOMAS, THE CHAIR WOULD SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THAT AFFORDS THE
- 8 ARTS AND BUSINESS TO CONTINUE WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE IN TERMS
- 9 OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.
- 10 MAY I MOVE TO MY SECOND MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN? YOU'VE HEARD
- 11 PERSONS COME AND TESTIFY ABOUT THE ISSUE OF THE BUDGET. THEY
- 12 NEEDN'T SEEK TO PERSUADE THIS BODY IN LIGHT OF THE RANGE OF
- 13 DECISIONS THAT WE'VE HAD TO MAKE, THE CUTS THAT WE HAVE
- 14 SUSTAINED AND THOSE WITHO WHICH WE CURRENTLY GRAPPLE. SO THE
- 15 MOTION BEFORE US NOW, MR. CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES, IS
- 16 ESSENTIALLY ONE THAT REFLECTS MY MOST RECENT EXPERIENCE AS A
- 17 MEMBER OF THE LEGISLATURE. IT IS TO SAY IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS
- 18 THAT THE CURRENT SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES WITH RESPECT TO PASSING
- 19 A BUDGET PUTS THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN THE COMPANY OF TWO
- 20 OTHER STATES, NAMELY RHODE ISLAND AND ARKANSAS, CAUSING US TO
- 21 REACH A TWO-THIRDS VOTE BEFORE ANYTHING CAN HAPPEN. IT WREAKS
- 22 HAVOC. NOTHING SHORT OF DYSFUNCTION AT THE STATE LEVEL AND IT
- 23 MOVES THROUGH THE JURISDICTIONS OF THE STATE, SUBDIVISIONS OF
- 24 THE STATE, CALL THEM COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES THROUGHOUT THE
- 25 STATE, WE ALL SUFFER. IT IS AVOIDABLE, AND WHILE, IN FACT,



- 1 WHAT WE HAVE IS A FISCAL CRISIS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
- 2 BEYOND THAT, AN ECONOMIC CRISIS IN THE NATION AS A WHOLE THAT
- 3 HAS INTERNATIONAL IMPLICATIONS, THERE IS NO DENYING THE FACT
- 4 THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCE IN WHICH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FINDS
- 5 ITSELF AND THE SHARP IMPACTS ON THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AS
- 6 WELL AS OTHER JURISDICTIONS, AS I MENTIONED, ARE SIMPLY A
- 7 FUNCTION OF THE INABILITY OF THE LEGISLATURE TO PASS A BUDGET.
- 8 THE THRESHOLD IS JUST TOO DIFFICULT, AND IF YOU WANT A
- 9 CONTEMPORARY ILLUSTRATION OF THE TYRANNY OF THE MINORITY AS IT
- 10 IS SOMETIMES CALLED, THIS IS A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF SUCH. IT IS
- 11 NOT FISCAL, IT IS NOT FINANCIAL, IT IS NOT ECONOMIC, IT IS
- 12 OFTEN SIMPLY PARTISAN. AND WE DON'T SPEND A LOT OF TIME AN
- 13 PARTISANSHIP HERE AS A NONPARTISAN BODY, BUT THE LEGISLATURE
- 14 IS PARTISAN TO US TO THE EXTENT THAT IT HAS WREAKED NOTHING
- 15 BUT PURE HAVOC ON THEIR OWN FISCAL DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES.
- 16 AND SO I WISH TO URGE OUR LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATES IN SACRAMENTO
- 17 TO TAKE UP THE MANTLE, TO DO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO DEAL
- 18 WITH THE ISSUE OF BRINGING RATIONALITY, GOOD SENSE, GOOD
- 19 PLANNING, FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY TO OUR STATE'S CAPITAL. AND IF
- 20 THE C.E.O. WERE TO WORK WITH THE SACRAMENTO ADVOCATES TO
- 21 PURSUE THE FOLLOWING POSITION, THAT IS, INCREASING BUDGET
- 22 ACCOUNTABILITY BY REDUCING A TWO-THIRDS VOTE REQUIREMENT FOR
- 23 ENACTING A BUDGET AND INCREASING APPROPRIATE REVENUES, THAT IS
- 24 TO SAY TO BALANCE THE STATE'S BUDGET, I THINK THE COUNTY AND
- 25 THE ENTIRETY OF THE STATE AND BY EXTENSION OTHER PARTS OF THE

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



NATION WOULD BE MORE FAVORABLY IMPACTED. MR. CHAIRMAN, I SO 2 MOVE. 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: AYE. 6 7 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS? 9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AYE. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. 19 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 21 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO. MOTION PASSES, 3-2. 23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. MAY I MOVE TO THE 24

FINAL VOTE, WHICH DEALS WITH INTERJURISDICTIONAL PURCHASING



- 1 AGREEMENTS. AGAIN, IN LIGHT OF THE CHALLENGING ECONOMIC TIMES
- 2 AND PROJECTIONS OF LESSER-THAN-ANTICIPATED REVENUE, THE COUNTY
- 3 OF LOS ANGELES MUST EXPLORE ALL POSSIBLE OPTIONS TO MINIMIZE
- 4 THE IMPACT OF THESE FORECASTED REDUCTIONS AND INCREASE
- 5 OPERATING EFFICIENCIES. IN PRIVATE INDUSTRY, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 6 COLLEAGUES, COOPERATIVES AND ASSOCIATIONS ARE FORMED TO
- 7 INCREASE NEGOTIATING AND BUYING POWER. THERE ARE EXAMPLES OF
- 8 THIS IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR AS WELL. CURRENTLY, THE COUNTY
- 9 PARTICIPATES IN A NATIONAL COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAM FOR
- 10 GOODS AND COMMODITIES. THE COUNTY SHOULD ALSO EXPLORE SIMILAR
- 11 PARTNERSHIPS WITH PUBLIC ENTITIES, SUCH AS THE CITY OF LOS
- 12 ANGELES, THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND OTHER
- 13 MUNICIPALITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY TO ACHIEVE SAVINGS FOR
- 14 PURCHASES OF SPECIALIZED SERVICES SUCH AS HEALTHCARE INSURANCE
- 15 RATES, ESPECIALLY AS INDUSTRY EXPERTS HAVE BEEN PROJECTING AN
- 16 INCREASE IN HEALTHCARE COSTS. THEREFORE I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE
- 17 THAT WE INSTRUCT THE C.E.O. TO WORK WITH THE INTERNAL SERVICES
- 18 DEPARTMENT TO DO THE FOLLOWING, PURSUE GREATER INTER-
- 19 JURISDICTIONAL COORDINATION IN THE PURCHASE OF SERVICES IN AN
- 20 EFFORT TO ACHIEVE SAVINGS BY LEVERAGING THE PURCHASING POWER
- 21 OF THE COUNTY AS WELL AS THE MARKET POWER MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL
- 22 COLLABORATION AND FINALLY, TO REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS WITH THE
- 23 MECHANISMS AND PROCESS FOR IMPLEMENTATION, AS WELL AS A
- 24 PROJECTION OF THE SAME COST SAVINGS. I SO MOVE, MR. CHAIR.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: (OFF MIC)

2

- 3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES THE ITEMS THAT I
- 4 HAVE AT THIS TIME, MR. CHAIR.

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE THREE MOTIONS, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS
- 7 ONE, I'M JOINED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. AMONG THE BUDGET
- 8 RECOMMENDATIONS TODAY, THE C.E.O. HAS INCREASED THE PROBATION
- 9 DEPARTMENT'S FISCAL YEAR '09/'10 BUDGET BY \$9.6 MILLION,
- 10 INCLUDING 1.6 MILLION IN NEW ONGOING NET COUNTY COSTS AND \$8
- 11 MILLION IN ONE-TIME FUNDS FROM THE DESIGNATION FOR PROBATION.
- 12 WE, HOWEVER, REMAIN OPTIMISTIC THAT THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT
- 13 WILL TAKE MEASURES TO REDUCE ITS RELIANCE ON OVERTIME NEXT
- 14 YEAR. AS SUCH, AND I BELIEVE IT IS PREMATURE TO PROVIDE A \$9.6
- 15 MILLION INCREASE IN THEIR BUDGET AT THIS TIME. IN ADDITION,
- 16 GIVEN THE CURRENT ECONOMIC CLIMATE AND IMPENDING STATE BUDGET
- 17 REDUCTIONS, IT WOULD BE MORE FISCALLY PRUDENT TO SET THESE
- 18 FUNDS ASIDE FOR OTHER EMERGENT AND IMMEDIATE NEEDS. WE
- 19 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.E.O.
- 20 TO, ONE, TRANSFER BACK THE \$1.6 MILLION FROM THE PROBATION
- 21 BUDGET TO THE PROVISIONAL FINANCING USES ACCOUNT FOR ECONOMIC
- 22 RESERVES ONGOING FUNDS. AND, 2, TRANSFER BACK THE \$8 MILLION
- 23 IN ONE-TIME FUNDS FROM THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT'S OPERATING
- 24 BUDGET TO THE DESIGNATION FOR PROBATION. NEXT MOTION IS JOINED
- 25 BY YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL TRY TO SHORTEN THE -- AS THE



- 1 ECONOMIC CLIMATE HAS WORSENED OVER THE PAST YEAR, THE COUNTY
- 2 HAS FACED DECLINING REVENUES AND STATE BUDGET CUTS. TO ENSURE
- 3 A BALANCED BUDGET, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS TAKEN SWIFT
- 4 ACTION TAKEN TO REDUCE SPENDING, OR SEEK FUNDING SOURCES FOR
- 5 IMPORTANT COUNTY SERVICES. SINCE APRIL OF LAST YEAR, THE
- 6 DEPARTMENTS HAVE CURTAILED THEIR OPERATING BUDGETS BY \$190.7
- 7 MILLION. THE LATEST ROUND OF 2% ACROSS-THE-BOARD REDUCTIONS
- 8 INCLUDED IN TODAY'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR F.Y. '09/'10 WILL HAVE
- 9 VARYING IMPACT ON THE DEPARTMENTS. FOR MOST DEPARTMENTS, THESE
- 10 CUTS HAVE MINIMAL IMPACT ON DIRECT SERVICES AND ENTAIL ACTIONS
- 11 SUCH AS REDUCING FUNDING FOR PAPER USAGE, COMPUTER EQUIPMENT,
- 12 VHS TAPE REPAIRS, OVERTIME, NEWS LETTERS, OUT-OF-STATE
- 13 WORKSHOPS AND TEMPORARY VACANT POSITIONS. FOR OTHER
- 14 DEPARTMENTS, INCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 15 SERVICES, PROBATION, PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AND TREASURER AND
- 16 TAX COLLECTOR, IT SEEMS CLEAR THAT 2% CURTAILMENTS WILL HAVE A
- 17 GREATER IMPACT ON DIRECT SERVICES AND THAT ANY ADDITIONAL CUTS
- 18 WILL AFFECT CASELOADS OR RESULT IN REDUCED REVENUES. AND
- 19 FINALLY, FOR A FEW DEPARTMENTS, INCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 20 PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND RECREATION, AND THE ARTS COMMISSION,
- 21 THE 2% REDUCTIONS WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON PROGRAMS
- 22 AND DIRECT SERVICES AND MAY EVEN REQUIRE LAYOFFS. CURRENTLY
- 23 THE C.E.O. IS WORKING WITH DEPARTMENTS TO IDENTIFY ANOTHER 1-
- 24 2% IN FURTHER ACROSS-THE-BOARD CUTS. GIVEN THE WIDE RANGE OF
- 25 CONSEQUENCES THAT RESULTED FROM THE LAST SUCH CURTAILMENT, THE



- 1 C.E.O. SHOULD CONSIDER EACH DEPARTMENT'S CUT IN THE CONTEXT OF
- 2 ITS TOTAL BUDGET AND CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES TO MINIMIZE THE
- 3 IMPACT OF THE REDUCTIONS. ONE OPTION WOULD BE TO TAKE A MORE
- 4 TARGETED AND SURGICAL APPROACH TO IDENTIFYING THE APPROPRIATE
- 5 LEVEL OF FUNDING TO CUT IN EACH DEPARTMENT. THIS WOULD REQUIRE
- 6 THE C.E.O. CLOSELY EXAMINE EACH DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET TO
- 7 DETERMINE WHETHER, FOR EXAMPLE, A 0% OR A 5% REDUCTION WOULD
- 8 BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COUNTY AND ITS RESIDENTS. WE
- 9 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.E.O.
- 10 TO ACHIEVE THE CURRENT ROUND OF COST CUTTING AND ANY FUTURE
- 11 ROUNDS OF COST CUTTING BY WORKING WITH EACH COUNTY DEPARTMENT
- 12 TO IDENTIFY CURTAILMENTS THAT WILL ACHIEVE THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT
- 13 OF SAVINGS POSSIBLE WITH MINIMAL IMPACT TO DIRECT SERVICES AND
- 14 BY AVOIDING LAYOFFS TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE. AND IF I COULD
- 15 JUST ADD, IN ADDITION TO THE TEXT OF THAT MOTION, MR. FUJIOKA
- 16 KNOWS THIS BETTER THAN ANYBODY, THE DEPARTMENTS HAVE A HABIT
- 17 OF GIVING C.E.O. OR THE BOARD THOSE CUTS WHICH WOULD BE MOST
- 18 UNPALATABLE FOR THE C.E.O. OR THE BOARD TO MAKE. THEY TEND TO
- 19 RECOMMEND CUTS THAT ARE DIRECT SERVICE CUTS AND THINGS THAT
- 20 WILL HURT OUR CONSTITUENCY AND OUR CLIENTS. I WOULD REALLY
- 21 SUGGEST, IN THIS EXERCISE, THAT C.E.O., MS. LIZZARI AND HER
- 22 TEAM CONTINUE TO WORK WITH OUR DEPUTIES, WHO I THINK HAVE SOME
- 23 SENSE, A GOOD SENSE OF -- OR LET ME JUST -- A GOOD SNIFFING
- 24 METER FOR DISINGENUOUS BUDGETARY PROPOSALS BY DEPARTMENTS.
- 25 THAT'S A LONG WAY OF SAYING WHAT I NORMALLY WOULD SAY. YOU

11

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 HEARD IT LOUD AND CLEAR. YOU HEARD IT ON TELEVISION, IF MY
- 2 MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, SO I WON'T DO THAT AGAIN, BUT
- 3 SERIOUSLY, OUR STAFFS, OUR BUDGET AND POLICY DEPUTIES HAVE A
- 4 PRETTY GOOD IDEA OF WHERE THE BODIES MAY BE BURIED, AND I
- 5 DON'T THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE ANY KIND OF SIGNIFICANT CUT IN
- 6 THE DIRECT SERVICES WITH A ONE OR EVEN A 2% CUT. THERE ARE
- 7 OTHER PLACES TO CUT BEFORE WE CUT DIRECT SERVICES. SO THAT'S
- 8 THE PURPOSE BEHIND THIS MOTION.

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LAST MOTION IS PLACEMENT OF COUNTY -- I'M
- 13 SORRY. THE PLACEMENT OF COMMUNITY IMBEDDED PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 INVESTIGATORS HAS BEEN A SUCCESSFUL COMPONENT OF THE SYPHILIS
- 15 AND SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE CONTROL PLAN SINCE THE FALL
- 16 OF 2006. THE INVESTIGATORS ARE PEERS OF THE MEN WHO HAVE SEX
- 17 WITH MEN COMMUNITY EMPLOYED BY TWO COMMUNITY-BASED
- 18 ORGANIZATIONS, THE LOS ANGELES GAY AND LESBIAN CENTER AND
- 19 A.I.D.S. HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION TO NOTIFY CLIENTS WHO HAVE BEEN
- 20 NEWLY DIAGNOSED WITH SYPHILIS. THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 21 REPORTS THAT THE C.E.P.H.I.S HAVE BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN
- 22 CONTACTING M.S.M. CLIENTS AND THEIR PARTNERS TO ADVISE THEM OF
- 23 POSSIBLE SYPHILIS EXPOSURE AND TO GET THEM INTO TREATMENT,
- 24 THUS STEMMING THE FURTHER SPREAD OF THE DISEASE IN THE
- 25 COMMUNITY. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



- 1 INSTRUCT THE C.E.O. TO TRANSFER \$130,000 FROM THE THIRD
- 2 DISTRICT P.F.U. TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH ON A ONE-
- 3 TIME BASIS FOR FISCAL YEAR '09/'10 TO FUND THE TWO COMMUNITY
- 4 IMBEDDED PUBLIC HEALTH INVESTIGATORS AT THE LOS ANGELES GAY
- 5 AND LESBIAN CENTER AND THE A.I.D.S. HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 8 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S A MOTION CO-AUTHORED BY SUPERVISOR
- 11 RIDLEY-THOMAS. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IS FACED WITH
- 12 DIFFICULT DECISIONS AND TOUGH CHALLENGES WITH THE CURRENT
- 13 UNCERTAINTIES WITH THE STILL UNKNOWN FISCAL IMPACTS BY THE
- 14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THE COUNTY HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP
- 15 OUR CHILDREN AND RESIDENTS ACTIVE AND HEALTHY AND PROVIDE THEM
- 16 WITH SAFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES. ONE OF THE MOST POPULAR
- 17 AND MOST UTILIZED RECREATIONAL FACILITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY IS
- 18 OUR 27 POOLS. AS A PART OF THIS NEW FISCAL BUDGET CURTAILMENT,
- 19 C.E.O. IS RECOMMENDING THAT WE REDUCE THE SESSION BY TWO
- 20 WEEKS. AT THE SAME TIME, WE'RE DEVELOPING A PLAN TO INCREASE
- 21 FEES AT THE COUNTY POOLS TO COVER THE COSTS OF THE PROGRAMS.
- 22 TO KEEP THESE POOLS DURING THESE ECONOMIC TIMES, WHEN
- 23 RECREATION OPTIONS ARE LIMITED, WE WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD
- 24 DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO DEFER ANY CUTS TO THE POOL'S PROGRAM
- 25 UNTIL AFTER THE BOARD HAS HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DETERMINE



- 1 WHETHER ENACTING FEES FOR POOL PROGRAMS WOULD PROVIDE
- 2 SUFFICIENT REVENUES TO CONTINUE THESE PROGRAMS. AND I HAVE A
- 3 SECOND MOTION. THERE'S AN OVER EXPENDITURE OF \$15.7 MILLION IN
- 4 THE TRIAL COURT OPERATIONS BUDGET. OF THAT AMOUNT, 14.9
- 5 MILLION IS THE RESULT OF INCREASED COSTS FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE
- 6 COMBINED WITH A DROP OF AB-233 REVENUES IN THE AMOUNT OF
- 7 \$3.271 MILLION. THIS BUDGET IS CREATING AN INCREASED DEMAND
- 8 FOR SCARCE COUNTY GENERAL FUNDS. THE C.E.O. HAS REQUESTED A
- 9 FORMAL REVIEW OF THE T.C.O. BUDGET BY THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER.
- 10 SO I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO TRANSFER THE
- 11 \$15.7 MILLION FOR THE TRIAL OPERATION COURT -- OPERATIONS INTO
- 12 THE P.F.U. UNTIL THE BOARD HAS RECEIVED AN AUDIT ON THE TRIAL
- 13 COURT'S OPERATIONS BUDGET, WHICH SHOULD INCLUDE A LIST OF THE
- 14 CATEGORIES OF EXPENDITURES AND WHETHER THEY ARE MANDATED OR
- 15 NONMANDATED SERVICES. AND THE LAST MOTION IS CO-AUTHORED BY
- 16 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, THE C.E.O.'S FINAL CHANGES FOR
- 17 FISCAL YEAR 2009/2010 INCLUDE THE ELIMINATION OF THREE POLICE
- 18 OFFICERS' POSITIONS AT A TOTAL COST OF \$257,000 FROM THE PARKS
- 19 SERVICE BUREAU. CURTAILING PARK POLICE, ESPECIALLY DURING THE
- 20 SUMMER MONTHS, GENERALLY A TIME WHEN CRIME RATES INCREASE,
- 21 WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH THE BOARD'S FOCUS AND COMMITMENT TO
- 22 THE SUMMER GANG SUPPRESSION PROGRAM. WE WOULD MOVE THAT THE
- 23 BOARD DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO APPLY THE CUTS OF 257,000 TO THE
- 24 LAST NINE MONTHS OF THE FISCAL YEAR TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING



- 1 LEVEL OF LAW ENFORCEMENT PRESENT AT THE PARK DURING THE SUMMER
- 2 MONTHS.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: (OFF MIC)

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I THINK THAT TAKES -- IS THERE ANY
- 9 OTHER ADDITIONAL MOTIONS ON ITEM NUMBER 5? YES, SUPERVISOR
- 10 MOLINA?

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: I WAS OVER ON THE SIDE, UNFORTUNATELY, AND
- 13 THERE'S A MOTION THAT CAME BY THAT I'D LIKE TO BE RECORDED AS
- 14 A "NO" VOTE. I THINK IT WAS -- IT DOESN'T HAVE A NUMBER. IT'S
- 15 WITH REGARD TO THE COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING. I'D LIKE TO BE
- 16 RECORDED AS A "NO" VOTE ON THAT MOTION.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THE MOTION IS RECONSIDERED. SO
- 19 ORDERED. AND PLEASE RECORD SUPERVISOR MOLINA AS A "NO" VOTE ON
- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND KNABE'S MOTION.

- 22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TURNING BACK TO THE AGENDA, PLEASE. WITH
- 23 RESPECT TO NUMBER 4, COULD I ASK FOR APPROVAL FOR 1, 2, AND --
- 24 I'M SORRY. FOR 1, 3, AND 4. THAT'S TO ADOPT THE CHANGES IN OUR
- 25 2009/2010 PROPOSED BUDGET TO REAFFIRM THE HARD HIRING FREEZE



- 1 AND ALSO TO INSTRUCT OUR OFFICE TO WORK WITH THE AUDITOR-
- 2 CONTROLLER TO FREEZE SERVICES AS THIS APPLIES TO FIXED ASSETS
- 3 AND OTHER NONESSENTIAL PURCHASES.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO THERE'S A MOTION TO APPROVE ITEMS 1,
- 6 3 AND 4.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: A QUESTION. ON THE HIRING FREEZE, DOES THAT
- 9 INCLUDE NURSES?

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NO. IT WOULD EXCLUDE CRITICAL HEALTH AND
- 12 SAFETY POSITIONS.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU. I WANTED THAT CLARIFICATION.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 17 BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY TO ADOPT ITEMS 1, 3 AND 4. WITHOUT
- 18 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WITH RESPECT TO 4.2, PLEASE, WE HAVE TWO
- 21 VERSIONS BEFORE YOU. ONE IS AS STATED UNDER 4.2. THE SECOND IS
- 22 ON THE GREEN SHEET. THE GREEN SHEET SPEAKS TO HAVING AN ITEM
- 23 ON THE AGENDA WHERE WE CAN COME BACK ON A REGULAR AND WITH
- 24 THAT A VERY TIMELY BASIS. THE SECOND IS DELEGATING THE



- I AUTHORITY TO OUR OFFICE WITH A REQUIREMENT THAT WE NOTIFY YOUR
- 2 BOARD WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE ACTION.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD JUST SPEAK TO THE GREEN SHEET
- 5 ITEM. I THINK THE APPROPRIATENESS OF HAVING IT ON THE AGENDA
- 6 EACH AND EVERY WEEK WOULD NOT ONLY DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF THE
- 7 14 DAYS, BUT ALSO GIVE US THE ABILITY VERY QUICKLY TO MOVE ON
- 8 ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGES. SO I WOULD MOVE THE GREEN SHEET ITEM,
- 9 THAT WE CREATE AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA FOR A WEEKLY REPORT BACK.
- 10 I MEAN, NOT WEEKLY, BUT THE ABILITY TO ACT UPON ANY CHANGES.
- 11 IS THERE A SECOND?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THIS ON ITEM 4.2?

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ITEM 4.2, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S AS OPPOSED TO THE C.E.O. BEING
- 18 AUTHORIZED TO DO IT?

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT AND THE 14-DAY NOTICE. BECAUSE 14
- 21 DAYS CAN BE TOO LATE. SO I THINK IT'S BETTER JUST TO HAVE THE
- 22 ITEM ON THE AGENDA EACH AND EVERY WEEK.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY WOULD IT NECESSARILY BE TOO LATE IF YOUR
- 2 FOLKS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO EXECUTE ANYTHING UNTIL AFTER THE
- 3 14 DAYS WERE UP?

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE CONCERN WAS, AND CONTINUES TO BE, SHOULD
- 6 THE STATE IDENTIFY A PROGRAM WHERE FUNDING FOR THIS ENTIRE
- 7 FISCAL YEAR IS CUT -- SAY THEY TAKE AN ACTION, THE BUDGET
- 8 TAKES UNTIL AUGUST TO APPROVE. AND THEY SAY FUNDING FOR X
- 9 PROGRAM HAS BEEN ELIMINATED FOR THE ENTIRE FISCAL YEAR. IF WE
- 10 HAVE TO WAIT ANOTHER 14 DAYS, IT REPRESENTS 14 DAYS, WHERE
- 11 WE'LL BE ABSORBING THE COST. THAT'S THE ONLY CONCERN. WE JUST
- 12 THOUGHT IT WOULD BE OUICKER --

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WASN'T 14 DAYS YOUR RECOMMENDATION
- 15 ORIGINALLY?

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT WAS OUR RECOMMENDATION. WE LOOKED AT IT,
- 18 WE STARTED TALKING ABOUT IT, AND THAT'S WHEN WE CAME FORWARD
- 19 WITH THE AMENDED RECOMMENDATION TO PUT SOMETHING ON THE
- 20 AGENDA.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO NOW INSTEAD OF HAVING A DECISION MADE BY
- 23 THE ADMINISTRATION SUBJECT TO 14-DAY APPEAL, BASICALLY, TO THE
- 24 BOARD, NOW EVERY CUT'S GOING TO BE COMING TO THE BOARD.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WHY WOULDN'T YOU DO IT EVERY 30 DAYS?

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE FASTER?

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S GOING TO BE ON EVERY WEEK.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT EVERY WEEK, SOMEBODY IS GOING TO SAY, I
- 8 WANT TWO WEEKS TO THINK ABOUT IT. I'M JUST THINKING AHEAD.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY WOULDN'T YOU HAVE IT EVERY 30 DAYS, IF AN
- 11 EMERGENCY COMES UP, WE CAN PUT IT ON THE GREEN SHEET, BUT HAVE
- 12 IT ON PERHAPS EVERY 30 DAYS?

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'LL DO WHAT YOU WANT. THE PROBLEM IS
- 15 THAT WE'VE HAD SITUATIONS IN THE PAST ON THIS 14-DAY PIECE,
- 16 NOT ONLY THE TIMING, BUT WE GET ABOUT 16 TONS OF PAPERWORK.
- 17 AND ALL OF A SUDDEN, SOMETHING COMES UP AND IT DOESN'T GET
- 18 NECESSARILY GET ACROSS OUR DESK AND THE 14 DAYS ARE PASSING
- 19 YOU, AND EVERYBODY GOES, WHAT HAPPENED? WHY WEREN'T WE
- 20 NOTIFIED OR WHY DIDN'T WE GET A CHANCE TO ACT UPON THIS? I
- 21 MEAN, I'M WILLING TO DO THE 14 DAYS OR 30 DAYS OR WHATEVER YOU
- 22 WANT, BUT I STILL THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO HAVE AN
- 23 ITEM ON THE AGENDA EACH AND EVERY WEEK SO THAT WE CAN DEAL
- 24 WITH A STATE CUT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT HE COULD ADD IT AS A GREEN SHEET ITEM FOR
- 2 THAT SPECIFIC STATE CUT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHY NOT JUST HAVE IT THERE, LIKE ON ANY
- 5 AGENDA?

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE THE NORMAL CIRCUS JUST
- 8 TO PARTICIPATE AND CREATING DELAYS INSTEAD OF HAVING US FOCUS
- 9 ON WHEN WE DO ACTUALLY HAVE A CUT.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THE ONLY TIME IT'LL BE UTILIZED IS
- 12 IF WE DO HAVE A CUT.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PEOPLE WILL SPEAK ON THE ITEM JUST TO SPEAK
- 15 ON THE ITEM, IS WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I GET THAT PART. [LAUGHTER]

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO, AS CHAIR, I UNDERSTAND TOTALLY. I
- 22 STILL THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO KEEP AN ITEM ON THE
- 23 AGENDA.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LIKE THE GONG SHOW.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO LET ME UNDERSTAND IT. IF THE ITEM IS ON
- 3 THE AGENDA, IT WOULD BE? A GENERIC ITEM?

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. IT'D BE LIKE THE REWARDS ISSUE,
- 6 WHEN WE OFFER REWARDS. SOMETHING COMES IN WITHIN THE WEEK WE
- 7 CAN PULL IT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT EVERY CUT THAT THE
- 10 STATE MAKES, THAT THE C.E.O. FEELS NEEDS TO BE FOLLOWED BY A
- 11 CUT HERE WOULD BE BROUGHT HERE FOR DISCUSSION AND SUBJECT TO
- 12 OUR APPROVAL ON EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THOSE CUTS?

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE
- 15 PUT ON THE A AGENDA. IT WOULD SPEAK TO THE CONSEQUENCES OR THE
- 16 IMPACT OF THE STATE BUDGET. TO BE VERY CANDID, WE ARE VERY
- 17 TORN WITH THIS. WE COULD ARGUE BOTH SIDES OF THIS EQUATION.
- 18 BECAUSE FOR -- I UNDERSTAND WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU PUT
- 19 SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA AND THE DISCUSSION WITH THAT, THE
- 20 DIFFICULTY THAT THAT PRESENTS. AT THE SAME TIME, IF WE MOVE
- 21 FORWARD AND CUT SOMETHING THAT POSSIBLY IS INCONSISTENT WITH
- 22 YOUR POLICY DECISIONS, THEN THAT ALSO CREATES SOME REAL
- 23 DIFFICULTIES. SO AT THIS POINT, THE INTENT WAS TO FILL TWO
- 24 OPTIONS OUT THERE AND HAVE THIS DISCUSSION. EITHER WAY WORKS.
- 25 BUT IT'S THE ONE THING I'M REAL CONCERNED WITH, BECAUSE



- 1 KNOWING HOW THE STATE OPERATES, AND WE'VE ALL SEEN IT BEFORE,
- 2 WE WILL GET THE CUT IN AUGUST THAT SAYS, WE CUT YOUR PROGRAM
- 3 SINCE JULY 1ST. AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO OWN AN ADDITIONAL NET
- 4 COUNTY IMPACT. AND THAT'S MY PRINCIPAL CONCERN.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ABOUT THIS? CAN YOU NOT JUST
- 7 APPROVE BOTH? ONE, YOU KEEP IT SO THAT WE HAVE THE ABILITY
- 8 WITHIN THAT 14 DAYS TO BRING IT UP. TWO, YOU MAKE THE
- 9 RECOMMENDATION THAT WE HAVE 14 DAYS TO RESPOND. YOU CAN DO
- 10 BOTH.

11

- 12 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, IF I MAY. IS THE THRUST OF THE
- 13 C.E.O.'S RECOMMENDATION ONE THAT HEIGHTENS TRANSPARENCY AND
- 14 BRINGS THE VOTING PUBLIC, AS WELL AS OTHER STAKEHOLDERS, MUCH
- 15 MORE CLOSE TO THE DIFFICULTIES WITH WHICH WE CONTEND IN TERMS
- 16 OF BALANCING OUR BUDGET OWING TO THE CUTS THAT EMANATE FROM
- 17 THE STATE LEVEL? DOES THIS DO THAT? IS THAT AN ENHANCEMENT? IS
- 18 THERE ANYTHING MUCH MORE TO THIS THAN THAT, MR. FUJIOKA?

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY CORRECT, BUT IT'S ALSO THE
- 21 EXPEDIENCY. AND ALSO, BY PUTTING IT ON THE AGENDA, I THINK WE
- 22 HIGHLIGHT THE FACT THAT THIS BOARD AND THE COUNTY DID NOT MAKE
- 23 THESE CUTS, IT WAS A CONSEQUENCE OF DECISIONS MADE IN
- 24 SACRAMENTO. BECAUSE IT'S DIFFICULT FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC TO
- 25 UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE CUTTING SOMETHING. AND WHEN IT'S US

13

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 TAKING ACTION, THEY ASSUME IT'S BECAUSE OF OUR FAILURE TO
- 2 MANAGE OUR PROGRAMS OR MANAGE OUR BUDGET AND SO ON, WHEN, IN
- 3 FACT, I THINK WE NEED TO HIGHLIGHT, OR I FEEL WE NEED TO
- 4 HIGHLIGHT THE FACT THAT IT WAS THROUGH ACTIONS OUT OF OUR
- 5 CONTROL. TIED TO THIS, WE CAN FINISH OUR DISCUSSION WITH THIS,
- 6 BUT TIED TO THIS, I WOULD ALSO WANT TO GO ON RECORD AND SAY
- 7 THAT WHEN IT COMES TO ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE STATE, WE SHOULD
- 8 NOT, AS A MATTER OF POLICY, BACKFILL BEHIND THOSE CUTS.
- 9 BECAUSE ONCE WE GO DOWN THAT ROAD, AND THAT'S SEPARATE AND
- 10 APART FROM THESE, BUT JUST TO MAKE THAT STATEMENT. BECAUSE
- 11 ONCE WE GO DOWN THAT ROAD, IT'S GOING TO HAVE AN IMPACT ON ALL
- 12 OF OUR PROGRAMS THAT WE FUND THROUGH LOCAL DOLLARS.

14 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I TAKE THAT POINT, MR. FUJIOKA. HOWEVER,

- 15 THAT'S A PRESCRIPTION FOR CUTS, WHICH IS A STEP AHEAD OF WHAT
- 16 THE BOARD HAS FROM THE POLICY PERSPECTIVE AFFIRMATIVELY
- 17 INVOKED. THEREFORE, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WE NEED TO TAKE JUST A
- 18 STEP BACK FROM THAT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT BACKFILLING HAS
- 19 IMPLICATIONS FOR AVOIDING OR FORESTALLING CUTS UNTIL WE
- 20 AFFIRMATIVELY MAKE THOSE POINTS. IN FACT, IF WE WERE TO CHOOSE
- 21 TO DO SO. I THINK WE SHOULD KEEP THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
- 22 C.E.O. PRETTY MUCH SELF-CONTAINED, THAT IS, TO FLAG WHAT IS
- 23 GOING ON WITH THE DEGREE OF REGULARITY THAT MAKES SENSE. I DO
- 24 NOT KNOW WHY THE SUGGESTION OF THE CHAIR DOESN'T EFFECTIVELY
- 25 SYNTHESIZE BOTH OPTIONS. IT ESSENTIALLY MAXIMIZES OR PRESERVES



- 1 THE OPTION OF DOING WHAT YOU ARE RECOMMENDING, WHILE AT THE
- 2 SAME TIME AFFORDING YOU THE OPTION TO DO OTHERWISE IF DEEMED
- 3 APPROPRIATE. AND SO IF IT DOESN'T MINIMIZE THE THRUST OF YOUR
- 4 SUGGESTION, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE RECOMMENDATION OF CHAIR
- 5 HAS MERIT. AM I MISSING SOMETHING HERE? MR. CHAIRMAN? WHY
- 6 DON'T WE MOVE FORWARD ACCORDINGLY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF YOU'RE GOING TO PUT IT ON EVERY WEEK,
- 9 THERE'S NO NEED TO HAVE THE OTHER ONE, IT WILL BE COVERED.

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND I THINK, TO ADDRESS AND CONCURRING
- 12 WITH SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, I MEAN, PART OF MY ISSUE IS,
- 13 AGAIN, IT'S LIKE TODAY AND AS WE TRY TO TAKE CARE OF OUR OWN
- 14 HOUSE HERE AND BALANCE OUR BUDGET. FROM THIS POINT FORWARD,
- 15 THESE SECOND AND THIRD-ROUND CUTS FROM THE STATE ARE GOING TO
- 16 HAVE A DRAMATIC IMPACT IN THE SERVICES. AND I THINK IT'S BEEN
- 17 ISOLATED AND POINTED OUT AS SUCH, AND IT'S NOT A
- 18 RECOMMENDATION OF THE C.E.O. TO GO IN AND WHACK A PARTICULAR
- 19 PROGRAM WITHOUT THE WORLD KNOWING THAT IT'S COMING BECAUSE OF
- 20 A RESULT OF A STATE IMPLICATION. AND THAT WAS THE REAL PURPOSE
- 21 OF JUST KEEPING A VERY GENERIC ITEM LIKE WE DO FOR, YOU KNOW,
- 22 ITEMS THAT COME UP WITHIN 72 HOURS AND WE DO FOR REWARDS AND
- 23 WHATEVER IT MAY BE, THAT WE ARE ABLE TO DEAL WITH IT ON A VERY
- 24 EXPEDIENT LEVEL AND TO BE ABLE TO POINT OUT WHY WE ARE HAVING
- 25 TO MAKE THESE CUTS. BECAUSE IT'S NOT GOING TO BE A SIMPLE



- 1 RECOMMENDATION OF THE C.E.O., THE C.E.O'S GOING TO BE MAKING
- 2 RECOMMENDATIONS BECAUSE OF OUR BUDGETARY ISSUES COMING OUT OF
- 3 SACRAMENTO. SO THAT'S SIMPLY ALL IT WAS, SO IF THAT IS OKAY,
- 4 THE WE'LL MOVE TO KEEP THE GREEN SHEET ITEM, THE GENERIC ITEM,
- 5 ON THE AGENDA EACH WEEK. SECONDED BY RIDLEY-THOMAS--

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DO WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE METHODOLOGY
- 8 IS, BECAUSE -- WHAT THE PROCESS IS GOING TO BE. ARE WE STILL,
- 9 ARE YOU STILL, UNDER YOUR RECOMMENDATION, THE ONE THAT
- 10 SUPERVISOR KNABE IS PROPOSING, STILL DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY
- 11 TO TERMINATE CONTRACTS?

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE RECOMMENDATION BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY PUTS IT
- 14 ON THE AGENDA SO THAT WHEN THE --

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU WILL NOT TERMINATE ANY CONTRACTS?

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WILL NOT. AS SOON AS WE UNDERSTAND THAT
- 19 THERE'S AN ACTION BEING TAKEN BY THE STATE, WE WILL BRING IT
- 20 QUICKLY TO THIS BOARD AND THEN WE CAN HAVE THAT DISCUSSION
- 21 WITHOUT THE POLICY IMPLICATIONS.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU WANT -- I KNOW
- 24 THAT I DON'T WANT CONTRACTS TERMINATED THAT LOOKS LIKE A



- 1 C.E.O. ACTION WHEN IT'S REALLY A RESULT OF WHAT THE STATE HAS
- 2 DONE TO US.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, EITHER WAY, I AGREE WITH YOU, DON,
- 5 EITHER WAY, WHETHER IT'S THE BOARD OR WHETHER IT'S THE C.E.O.,
- 6 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WHETHER IT'S THE BOARD. I JUST AM
- 7 CONCERNED THAT -- WELL, FINISH MY PREVIOUS THOUGHT. EITHER
- 8 WAY, IT'S GOING TO LOOK LIKE THE COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE. IT'S
- 9 JUST THE WAY IT IS. AND THE MORE -- I THOUGHT WHAT THE
- 10 C.E.O.'S ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION WAS WHEN HE PROPOSED THE 14-
- 11 DAY CONCEPT WAS TO -- BECAUSE I BELIEVE IT IS, IF NOT EVERY
- 12 MEMBER OF THE BOARD, I THINK THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD
- 13 BELIEVES THAT BACKFILLING AS A MATTER OF POLICY IS NOT THE
- 14 COUNTY POLICY FOR STATE CUTS. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT IN
- 15 INDIVIDUAL SITUATIONS WE MAY WANT TO DO SOMETHING, BUT AS A
- 16 MATTER OF COURSE, WE SIMPLY CAN'T AFFORD TO BACKFILL ANY OF
- 17 THE CUTS THAT THE STATE'S BEEN TALKING ABOUT. AND WE STILL
- 18 DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE ARE GOING TO BE.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M NOT DOING THIS TO BACKFILL.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW. I KNOW YOU'RE NOT. I THINK THAT'S
- 23 WHAT WAS MOTIVATING THE C.E.O. ORIGINALLY, IS TO TRY TO MOVE
- 24 EXPEDITIOUSLY SO THAT WE DON'T START INCURRING A FINANCIAL
- 25 LIABILITY WHEN PROGRAMS CEASE TO BE FUNDED. IN A MONTH, YOU



- 1 COULD RUN UP \$100 MILLION IN NO TIME, OR MORE, DEPENDING WHAT
- 2 THE CUTS ARE IF WE DON'T DEAL WITH THEM. SO I THOUGHT THE ONUS
- 3 WAS GOING TO BE ON US, UNDER HIS ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION, THE
- 4 ONUS WOULD BE ON US TO CALL IT UP AND STOP IT, RATHER THAN TO
- 5 HAVE EVERYONE SUBJECT TO OUR APPROVAL, AND THIS IS CONTRARY TO
- 6 WHAT I USUALLY THINK. I'M USUALLY THE ONE WHO WANTS TO LOOK AT
- 7 EVERYTHING. BUT I KNOW IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN WE LOOK AT
- 8 EVERYTHING, NOTHING'S GOING TO HAPPEN. I'M JUST CONCERNED
- 9 NOTHING'S GOING TO HAPPEN, AND THERE WILL BE A -- EVERY DAY,
- 10 EVERY TUESDAY WILL BE A -- THIS BUILDING WILL HAVE A BULLSEYE
- 11 ON IT AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE PEOPLE COMING DOWN HERE AND
- 12 TESTIFYING ON EVERY SINGLE ITEM. AND I KNOW WHAT HAPPENED OVER
- 13 THE LAST FEW YEARS ON SOME OF THE CONTRACTS WHERE THE BOARD
- 14 WANTED TO DO IT, THE C.E.O. WANTED TO DO IT, AND THEN WE
- 15 BACKED AWAY. WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE THAT LUXURY THIS TIME,
- 16 AND EVERY WEEK AND EVERY MONTH THAT GOES ON, WE'RE BUILDING UP
- 17 A LIABILITY, BECAUSE THE STATE'S JUST GOING TO STOP FUNDING
- 18 IT, AND I THOUGHT THAT'S WHAT YOU WERE DRIVING AT. PUTTING IT
- 19 ON THE AGENDA EVERY WEEK IS FINE, BUT IF YOU'RE NOT GOING TO -
- 20 IF IT'S -- WHAT'S GOING TO BE -- ARE YOU GOING TO COME EVERY
- 21 WEEK AND SAY THE STATE JUST LAST WEEK CUT THE MENTAL HEALTH
- 22 PROGRAMS, SO YOU'RE RECOMMENDING WE'RE TO CUT \$75 MILLION IN
- 23 MENTAL HEALTH CONTRACTS SUBJECT TO OUR APPROVAL? IS THAT THE
- 24 WAY IT'S GOING TO WORK? MECHANICALLY, HOW IS IT GOING TO WORK?
- 25 DO YOU KNOW?



1

- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT WILL BE MORE THAN THAT. LET ME BACK UP A
- 3 LITTLE BIT.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF YOU CAN JUST ANSWER IT. BACK UP IN A
- 6 SECOND, BUT I WANT YOU TO ANSWER THAT BEFORE I FORGET IT.

- 8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SAY THE STATE CUT \$75 MILLION IN MENTAL HEALTH
- 9 CONTRACTS. WE WOULD NOT MERELY STATE JUST CUT 75 MILLION. WE
- 10 WOULD HAVE TO EXPLAIN THE CONSEQUENCES, AND IT MAY BE
- 11 CONTRACTS WITH SOME OF OUR PRIVATE PROVIDERS. IT COULD BE
- 12 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WE'LL HAVE TO CURTAIL, THERE COULD BE A
- 13 CONSEQUENCE OF STAFF. BUT I WOULD EXPECT THAT YOU'D WANT THAT
- 14 DEGREE OF DETAIL TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE CUTTING. AND IT
- 15 MAY BE CONTRACTS IMBEDDED WITHIN THE OVERALL RECOMMENDATION
- 16 THAT ON A POLICY BASIS YOU WOULD NOT WANT US TO CUT FOR A HOST
- 17 OF REASONS. AND IT MAY BE, MAYBE YOU WILL NOT AGREE WITH THE
- 18 ENTIRE CUT, THE \$75 MILLION, BUT THERE MAY BE PIECES OF IT
- 19 WHERE YOU HAVE PROBLEMS WITH. PROBABLY NOT THE BEST EXAMPLE.
- 20 BUT IT'S BEEN MY EXPERIENCE THAT IF WE DO SOMETHING -- IF WE
- 21 GO FORWARD, AND THIS IS WHERE WE CAME UP WITH THE GREEN SHEET
- 22 ITEM, IF WE GO FORWARD AND MAKE THE CUTS, I KNOW I'M GOING TO
- 23 GET CRITICISM AT ONE POINT THAT WE DIDN'T GIVE YOU SUFFICIENT
- 24 DETAIL. BUT EVEN MORE IMPORTANT, I THINK THAT POLICY
- 25 DISCUSSION AND WITH THAT THE CONSEQUENCES, THE SERVICE



- 1 CONSEQUENCES, I JUST THINK SHOULD BE DISCUSSED. BUT ALSO THE
- 2 TIME DELAY IS A REAL BIG CONCERN, SO IT'S A COMBINATION. WE'RE
- 3 PRESENTING YOU OPTIONS RIGHT NOW, BUT I KNOW AS WE MOVE
- 4 FORWARD, IT'S THAT PROVERBIAL DETAIL THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 5 TO DEAL WITH THAT I FEEL THAT WE NEED TO SUBMIT TO YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GO BACK TO MY ORIGINAL POINT, WHY CAN WE
- 8 NOT ADOPT THE 14-DAY RECOMMENDATION, BUT HAVE THE GENERIC ITEM
- 9 ON THE AGENDA SO WE CAN DEAL WITH THE DETAIL? INSTEAD OF
- 10 SLIPPING BY -- WHAT I'M WORRIED ABOUT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IS
- 11 EVERYBODY IS GOING TO GET UPSET BECAUSE ON THE 15TH DAY, ALL
- 12 OF A SUDDEN IN THEIR STACK OF MAIL THEY SEE A NOTICE. THE
- 13 STAFF FORGETS TO GIVE IT TO YOU OR SOMETHING.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE WOULD STILL BE AUTHORIZED THEN TO DO IT.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: HE'D BE AUTHORIZED TO DO IT, 14 DAYS,
- 18 BUT WE HAVE THE ABILITY ON A WEEKLY BASIS TO PULL IT BACK.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD SECOND THAT MOTION.

21

22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S A HYBRID.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: HYBRID OF THE TWO.



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IF THAT MAKES SENSE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHY DO YOU KEEP GOING IN AND OUT ON YOUR
- 4 MICROPHONE? I THINK YOU MIGHT WANT TO CHECK IT OUT. YOU EITHER
- 5 HAVE A BAD WIRE OR ZEV'S GOT A PEDAL.

6

7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S MY PACEMAKER CUTS IN AND SHORTS IT OUT.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT OBJECTION ON THE
- 10 HYBRID, SO ORDERED.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PACEMAKER AND MY SUGAR COUNTER.

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BEFORE WE FINISH NUMBER 4, SHEILA HAS ONE LAST
- 15 ITEM SHE WOULD LIKE TO READ INTO THE RECORD.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, IT MADE ME NERVOUS WHEN SHE SHOWED
- 18 UP. I SAW HER SNEAKING UP THERE.

- 20 SHEILA SHIMA: DIDN'T SNEAK VERY WELL, APPARENTLY. I'M SHEILA
- 21 SHIMA, DEPUTY C.E.O. OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. I
- 22 WANTED TO MENTION TWO PROVISIONS THAT ARE IN OUR FINAL CHANGES
- 23 BOARD LETTER THAT WE ARE GOING TO DEFER TO SUPPLEMENTAL. THE
- 24 FIRST ONE RELATES TO BOTH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 25 AND DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. AND IT'S THE PROPOSED



- 1 TRANSFER OF THE HALT TEAM FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 2 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. IN THE BUDGET ACTION
- 3 THAT WE PROVIDED, WE DIDN'T GO INTO A DISCUSSION OF THE REVIEW
- 4 THAT WE HAD WORKED ON WITH THE DEPARTMENT IN HEALTH SERVICES
- 5 AND PUBLIC HEALTH. SO WE'RE GOING TO ASK TO DEFER THAT ACTION
- 6 TO SUPPLEMENTAL. AND IN THE INTERIM, ACTUALLY PROVIDE A REPORT
- 7 NOT ONLY ON THE HALT PROGRAM, BUT OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE
- 8 LOOKING AT FOR POTENTIAL TRANSFER FROM PUBLIC HEALTH TO OTHER
- 9 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AS REQUESTED BY BOARD.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU.

12

13 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S ON THE FIRST ITEM.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: APPRECIATE THAT.

16

17 SHEILA SHIMA: THE SECOND ITEM --

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. WHY ARE YOU RECOMMENDING THAT?

20

- 21 SHEILA SHIMA: WE WERE ACTUALLY -- WE BELIEVE THAT THAT'S THE
- 22 RECOMMENDATION THAT SHOULD OCCUR BUT WE DIDN'T PROVIDE IN THE
- 23 BUDGET ACTION THE POLICY REVIEW THAT WE HAD DISCUSSED WITH THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT.



1 SUP. MOLINA: WHEN WAS THAT POLICY REVIEW REQUESTED?

2

3 SHEILA SHIMA: IT WAS REQUESTED IN 2006.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: AND WHY HASN'T IT BEEN DONE?

6

- 7 SHEILA SHIMA: WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENTS ON
- 8 LOOKING AT VARIOUS PROGRAMS AND WE HADN'T COMPLETED THAT
- 9 REVIEW.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: WHY NOT?

12

13 SHEILA SHIMA: I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK YOU DO. JUST DIDN'T DO IT.

16

17 SHEILA SHIMA: WE JUST DIDN'T DO IT.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I THINK THAT'S INAPPROPRIATE. AND I'M GOING
- 20 TO ASK DON, WHO MADE THE MOTION ON THIS ONE. BECAUSE THIS HALT
- 21 TEAM ISSUE IS, AGAIN, I RESPECT WHAT THE MOTION WAS DOING. AND
- 22 I THINK THE ANSWER SHOULD HAVE COME BACK RIGHT AWAY. FOR
- 23 SOMETHING TO SIT IN YOUR OFFICE FOR TWO YEARS IS TOTALLY
- 24 IRRESPONSIBLE.



1 SHEILA SHIMA: I AGREE.

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT WE HAVE NOTICED IN THE HALT TEAM, AND NO
- 4 DISRESPECT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, IT JUST DID NOT
- 5 HAVE THE KIND OF LIFE AND VITALITY IN THE DEPARTMENT THAT WE
- 6 WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SEEN IT. THIS IS A PROJECT THAT WE
- 7 ESTABLISHED WAY BACK WHEN SACHI WAS OVER THERE. AND IT HAD
- 8 UNBELIEVABLE SUCCESSES, OVER AND OVER AGAIN, ILLEGAL
- 9 PHARMACEUTICALS, ALL KINDS OF ILLEGAL DENTISTS THAT WERE
- 10 OPERATING IN GARAGES, DOCTORS WITHOUT LICENSES, UNBELIEVABLE
- 11 KINDS OF THINGS THAT WERE GOING ON. THEN WE SAW NOTHING
- 12 HAPPENING FOR A WHILE. AND THEN I THOUGHT, GEE, WE TOOK CARE
- 13 OF THESE THINGS, HOW WONDERFUL THAT THERE ISN'T THIS GOING ON
- 14 ANYMORE. I WALKED INTO ONE OF MY LOCATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY
- 15 AND I SAW A LOT OF PEOPLE WAITING FOR THEIR SHOT. AND WHEN I
- 16 CALLED, WHY ISN'T THIS GOING ON, FOR WHATEVER REASON, THERE
- 17 WASN'T THE ENERGY LEVEL THAT IT HAD IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 HEALTH SERVICES. SO I ASKED FOR IT TO BE RETURNED BACK, WHICH
- 19 HEALTH SERVICES WAS MORE THAN WILLING TO TAKE BACK. AND IT'S
- 20 MY UNDERSTANDING PUBLIC HEALTH WAS MORE THAN WILLING TO SEND
- 21 BACK, WHICH WAS A NICE COMPROMISE BECAUSE WE WANTED TO GET
- 22 BACK INTO THE VITALITY INTO THAT KIND OF PROGRAM. IT'S WON
- 23 AWARDS. WE'VE APPLAUDED IT. WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT IS I
- 24 DON'T WANT TO BE DISRESPECTFUL, AS YOU HAVE BEEN TO SUPERVISOR
- 25 KNABE'S MOTION. I THINK WHEN WE ASK FOR A MOTION, THERE IS A



- 1 RESPONSIBILITY TO GET US THE INFORMATION. NOW, IF YOU CAN'T
- 2 GET THE INFORMATION, THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH ASKING US TO
- 3 CONTINUE THE ITEM OR TO ASK FOR A FORMAL EXTENSION. TO JUST
- 4 PLAIN IGNORE IT IS IRRESPONSIBLE. AND WORST OF ALL IS THAT
- 5 NOW, BECAUSE I THINK I WANT TO MAKE THIS AND IT'S SORT OF BEEN
- 6 A COMPROMISE, I THINK THAT OUR EFFORTS ARE BEING JEOPARDIZED,
- 7 NOT BY SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION, WHICH I THINK IS MORE THAN
- 8 APPROPRIATE, BECAUSE HE DIDN'T GET THIS INFORMATION. I DIDN'T
- 9 KNOW THAT HE HADN'T GOTTEN IT. I THINK HE'S ENTITLED TO GET
- 10 IT. AND I'M GOING TO ASK SUPERVISOR KNABE IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND
- 11 LETTING THIS PROJECT GO WITH THE IDEA -- NOW, WHAT IS YOUR
- 12 INTENTION OF GETTING THIS REPORT TO THIS BOARD?

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER.

16 SUP. MOLINA: IN THE NEXT TWO YEARS?

18 SHEILA SHIMA: NO. IT WOULD BE PRIOR TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL

19 ACTIONS BY THE BOARDS.

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IN SEPTEMBER.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT MAKES YOU THINK SO, IF YOU DIDN'T DO IT FOR
- 24 THE LAST TWO YEARS?

25

13

15

17

20



SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE GOT THEIR ATTENTION, I THINK.

2

3 SHEILA SHIMA: YOU DEFINITELY HAVE OUR ATTENTION ON THIS ONE.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, ISN'T IT A SHAME THAT WE ARE IGNORED WHEN
- 6 WE PUT MOTIONS ACROSS THE BOARD HERE. I MEAN, THE INTENT IS
- 7 THAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO COME BACK. THERE'S SUPPOSED TO BE A
- 8 RESPECT OF INFORMATION THAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO BE GETTING.
- 9 SUPERVISOR KNABE, CAN I ASK YOUR INDULGENCE ON THIS TO ALLOW
- 10 THIS ONE TO GO THROUGH? THERE SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN A COMPROMISE.
- 11 WE TALKED TO BOTH DEPARTMENTS BEFOREHAND. I THINK IT'S A GOOD
- 12 FIT, IT WORKS. AND I THINK IT'S A PROGRAM THAT'S HAD
- 13 TREMENDOUS SUCCESSES. AND IF YOU LOOK AT, FOR WHATEVER REASON,
- 14 AND I CAN'T EVEN BEGIN, NOBODY KNOWS THE REASON, AS TO WHY IT
- 15 DIDN'T HAVE THE HIGH LEVEL ENERGY. I DON'T KNOW WHY, BUT I
- 16 JUST THINK THAT THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT REALLY NEEDS TO
- 17 CONTINUE TO FUNCTION AND OPERATE IN THE ORIGINAL DEPARTMENT
- 18 THAT IT WAS PLACED IN, SO I BEG YOUR INDULGENCE.

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I DON'T REALLY HAVE A PROBLEM. TO GIVE
- 21 THEM JUST A LITTLE DUE HERE, THEY DID ASK FOR AN EXTENSION. I
- 22 JUST CAN'T REMEMBER WHEN, I THINK IT WAS QUITE A WHILE AGO.
- 23 BUT ON THE OTHER HAND, I'M WITH SUPERVISOR MOLINA. THIS
- 24 PROGRAM HAS BEEN INCREDIBLY SUCCESSFUL, PARTICULARLY FOR THOSE
- 25 OF US THAT HAVE LARGE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. I CAN'T TELL YOU



- 1 HOW MANY DENTAL OFFICES HAVE BEEN SET UP IN GARAGES OUT THERE,
- 2 PHARMACEUTICALS OUT OF THE THIRD BEDROOM OF A 3-BEDROOM HOME,
- 3 ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT THINGS. SO WHEN YOU SAY GO FORWARD, GO
- 4 FORWARD LEAVING THE PROGRAM WITHIN PUBLIC HEALTH. IS THAT
- 5 CORRECT?

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: NO. THAT WE WOULD ASK -- WE HAD ASKED FOR THE
- 8 TRANSFER. THE TRANSFER WAS TO MOVE IT FROM PUBLIC HEALTH TO
- 9 HEALTH SERVICES. IT WAS ORIGINALLY IN HEALTH SERVICES. AND
- 10 THEN WHEN THERE WAS A SEPARATION, THIS WENT WITH IT. AND WHEN
- 11 WE STARTED INVESTIGATING, BECAUSE I HAD SEEN SOME ACTIVITY IN
- 12 MY DISTRICT. AND I SAID, "WELL, WHY ISN'T IT FUNCTIONING?" I
- 13 DON'T WANT TO PLACE BLAME. IT'S JUST THE FIT WAS BETTER. AND I
- 14 THINK THAT'S THE ASSESSMENT THAT YOU'RE WAITING FOR.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M ACTUALLY WAITING NOT SO MUCH FOR THE
- 17 ASSESSMENT, IS TO REJUVENATE IT TO WHERE IT WAS.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT'S NOT JUST THIS ONE PROGRAM. IT WAS MY
- 20 UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WERE LOOKING AT ASKING WHICH OF THE
- 21 PROGRAMS THAT WENT OVER TO PUBLIC HEALTH ARE IN THE
- 22 APPROPRIATE --

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE SAYING KEEP THE HALT PROGRAM AND
- 25 THEN THE REST COME BACK IN SEPTEMBER? IS THAT RIGHT?



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: TO CONTINUE WITH THE TRANSFER, IF YOU DON'T MIND.
- 3 BUT I REALLY DO THINK THAT I WANT TO SEND A LARGER MESSAGE
- 4 HERE TO ALL OF THE STAFF THAT WHEN WE ASK FOR THESE THINGS, WE
- 5 ASK FOR A REASON. LIKE IN THIS ASSISTANCE, WE'RE ASKING FOR
- 6 REPORTS. THE REASON WE'RE ASKING FOR THESE REPORTS IS TO MAKE
- 7 A DECISION. SO THE FACT THAT FOR TWO YEARS SUPERVISOR KNABE'S
- 8 MOTION WAS IGNORED IS TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE. AND I WANT IT TO
- 9 GO NOTED. AND I APPRECIATE THAT HE'S TRYING TO GET YOUR
- 10 ATTENTION. BECAUSE I WAS -- SO I WANT TO SUPPORT HIM IN THAT
- 11 REGARD. BUT I THINK -- AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY MOTIONS ARE
- 12 OUT THERE OUTSTANDING, I'M GOING TO ASK SACHI TO DO AN
- 13 INVENTORY OF HOW MANY MOTIONS ARE OUTSTANDING THAT HAVE NOT
- 14 GOTTEN A RESPONSE. I KNOW SHE DOES KEEP A TAB IN THE CALENDAR,
- 15 BUT I AM CONCERNED.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM
- 18 WITH THE TRANSFER GOING THROUGH.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. GOOD.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON THE REMAINDER THEN, YOU'LL BE BACK TO
- 23 US BY SEPTEMBER WHEN YOU DO THE SUPPLEMENTAL.



- 1 SHEILA SHIMA: YES. I DO WANT TO CLARIFY, THOUGH. I DON'T WANT
- 2 TO GIVE THE IMPRESSION -- WE ABSOLUTELY ARE LATE, BUT WE HAVE
- 3 NOT IGNORED THE MOTION. THE REVIEW THAT WE HAVE TO CONDUCT IS
- 4 PRETTY COMPREHENSIVE, LOOKING AT A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT
- 5 PROGRAMS. AND WE ABSOLUTELY AGREE, THOUGH, THERE'S BEEN AN
- 6 UNACCEPTABLE DELAY AND WE WILL COMPLETE OUR REPORT AND GET IT
- 7 BACK TO THE BOARD.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SHEILA SHIMA: THE OTHER CLARIFICATION THAT I WANTED TO PROVIDE
- 12 RELATES AGAIN TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, THAT ONE OF
- 13 THE ITEMS THAT WAS INDICATED IN THE REPORT -- OR THE
- 14 RECOMMENDATION, IS THEIR PORTION OF THE 2% CURTAILMENT. I
- 15 WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 16 HAS A LARGER CURTAILMENT THAT THEY ARE GOING TO BE WORKING ON
- 17 AND THAT THEY ARE DEVELOPING A CURTAILMENT PLAN FOR, WE ARE
- 18 NOT GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH ANY PORTION OF THE 2%
- 19 CURTAILMENT UNTIL WE HAVE THE COMPLETE PACKAGE THAT WE CAN
- 20 PROVIDE TO YOUR BOARD.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE LARGER CURTAILMENT? WHAT DO YOU
- 23 MEAN? LARGER THAN 2%?



- 1 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT, BECAUSE THERE WERE UNIDENTIFIED
- 2 CURTAILMENTS FROM THE PROPOSED BUDGET THAT WERE PRIMARILY
- 3 RELATED TO SHORTFALLS IN VEHICLE LICENSE FEES AND SALES TAX
- 4 REVENUE. THAT DEFICIT INCREASED DURING THE FINAL CHANGES
- 5 BECAUSE OF AN ADDITIONAL SHORTFALL, BOTH IN SALES TAX AND
- 6 VEHICLE LICENSE FEES. AND SO THAT AMOUNT PLUS THE PROPOSED 2%
- 7 CURTAILMENT --

8

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT STATE SALES TAX?

10

11 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. THE REALIGNMENT STATE SALES TAX.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN DID YOU FOLKS BECOME AWARE OF THAT?

14

- 15 SHEILA SHIMA: DURING THE PROPOSED BUDGET, WE ALREADY HAD
- 16 PROJECTIONS THAT SHOWED THAT BOTH OF THOSE REALIGNMENT FUNDING
- 17 SOURCES WOULD BE LESS THAN WE ANTICIPATED IN THE BUDGET. SO WE
- 18 WERE AWARE OF THAT AT THAT TIME. AND THEN A FEW MONTHS AGO, WE
- 19 WERE AWARE THAT BOTH THE REALIGNMENT SALES TAX, AS WELL AS THE
- 20 VEHICLE LICENSE FEES WERE COMING IN EVEN LOWER THAN WE HAD IN
- 21 THE PROPOSED BUDGET.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND HOW MUCH LOWER? WHEN YOU ADD IT ALL UP?
- 24 HOW MUCH LOWER? WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT YOU HAD



- 1 ORIGINALLY ANTICIPATED AND WHAT BECAME CLEARER DURING THE
- 2 BUDGET PREPARATION PERIOD?

3

- 4 SHEILA SHIMA: IT'S AN ADDITIONAL \$1.9 MILLION FROM BOTH THE
- 5 SALES TAX AND THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEES, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$1.7
- 6 MILLION RELATED TO THE 2% CURTAILMENT. SO THE TOTAL
- 7 CURTAILMENT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IS DEVELOPING
- 8 A PLAN FOR IS \$9.1 MILLION.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WAIT A SECOND. SO 1.9 AND 1.7 IS 3.6. AND
- 11 THEN ON TOP OF THAT --

12

- 13 SHEILA SHIMA: THERE WAS AN ADDITIONAL AMOUNT THAT WE HAD
- 14 IDENTIFIED DURING THE PROPOSED BUDGET.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS 5.5?

17

18 SHEILA SHIMA: YES.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIAL -- AS
- 21 SHORTFALLS CAUSED BY THE SAME THINGS?

22

23 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BY THE REALIGNMENT CUTBACKS?



1 2 SHEILA SHIMA: YES. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE REALIGNMENT IS GOING TO BE NOW, 4 5 INSTEAD OF 5.5, IT'S GOING TO BE 7.4? 6 7 SHEILA SHIMA: WELL, THAT'S THE SHORTFALL. I DON'T HAVE THE 8 TOTAL AMOUNT OF --9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL CAUSED BY REALIGNMENT SHORTFALLS? 10 11 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. SO THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEES TOTAL WAS 12 13 \$4.6 MILLION DURING PROPOSED. AND THERE WAS AN ADDITIONAL \$1.1 MILLION VEHICLE LICENSE FEES THAT COMBINED AS A SHORTFALL. SO 14 THAT'S \$5.7 MILLION. FOR SALES TAX, DURING THE PROPOSED 15 16 BUDGET, WE KNEW THAT THE DEPARTMENT WOULD BE SHORT \$1.3 MILLION IN SALES TAX. AND DURING THE FINAL CHANGES 17 18 ADJUSTMENTS, WE WERE AWARE OF AN ADDITIONAL \$800,000. 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE TOTAL SHORTFALL NOW IS 9.1? 21 22 SHEILA SHIMA: YES. THAT INCLUDES THE 2% CURTAILMENT AND THE 23 REALIGNMENT SHORTFALLS. CORRECT. JUST A SUPPLEMENTAL.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DO THESE FUNDS PAY FOR, THE DEPARTMENT?
- 2 WHAT ARE THEY USED FOR?

3

- 4 SHEILA SHIMA: THEY ARE A VARIETY OF PROGRAMS. THEY ARE
- 5 BASICALLY FOR THEM AMOUNTS THAT -- FOR THE VEHICLE LICENSE
- 6 FEES, IT'S THE NET COUNTY COSTS. SO THEIR NET COUNTY COST IS
- 7 USED TO MATCH FEDERAL GRANTS, ALSO USED TO PROVIDE THEIR
- 8 M.O.E., MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR WHAT PROGRAM?

11

- 12 SHEILA SHIMA: IT'S ALL OF THE PROGRAMS. THE ALCOHOL AND DRUG
- 13 PROGRAMS, THE CHILDREN'S MEDICAL SERVICES. THESE ARE THE
- 14 BOTTOM LINE DOLLARS THEY USE TO MATCH THE DOLLARS. THE
- 15 REALIGNMENT SALES TAX, I'D HAVE TO ASK THE DEPARTMENT FOR MORE
- 16 SPECIFICS, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY BREAK IT DOWN BY
- 17 PROGRAM.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE NOW AWARE THAT THERE IS ADDITIONAL
- 20 CUT-BACKS AND THE RESPONSE IS TO HOLD BACK ON ANY CUTS FOR
- 21 THREE MONTHS?

- 23 SHEILA SHIMA: THEY ACTUALLY HAVE ONLY IDENTIFIED ABOUT
- 24 \$700,000 OF THAT AMOUNT, THE 9.1. THEY ARE DEVELOPING A LARGER
- 25 PLAN TO DEAL WITH THE CURTAILMENT.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DO YOU MEAN, THEY'VE IDENTIFIED THE 2 700,000? 3 4 5 SHEILA SHIMA: IN THE ADJUSTMENT THAT'S INCLUDED ON PAGE 30, WE TALK ABOUT \$1.7 MILLION AS A 2% CURTAILMENT. WHAT THE 6 DEPARTMENT HAS DONE WAS ACTUALLY IDENTIFY ABOUT \$600,000 TO 7 8 \$700,000 IN REDUCTIONS FOR A MOBILE VAN TO PROVIDE SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES SERVICES. THERE ARE ALSO A COUPLE OF OTHER PROGRAMS WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO REDUCE THE SERVICES, 10 11 TOTALING AROUND \$600,000. WHAT WE WANTED TO DO WAS TO UNDERSTAND THAT THOSE \$600,000 OF CURTAILMENTS, COMPARED TO 12 13 THE LARGER CURTAILMENT THAT THEY'RE WORKING ON CURRENTLY SO THAT WE'D UNDERSTAND WHAT THE TOTAL IS. 14 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE LARGER CURTAILMENT? IS THAT THE 17 9.6? 18 19 SHEILA SHIMA: THE TOTAL, 9.1. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 9.1? 21 22 23 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I GUESS WHAT BOTHERS ME, MAYBE I'M
- 2 MISUNDERSTANDING THIS, IS THAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR A THREE-MONTH
- 3 DELAY, ESSENTIALLY A THREE-MONTH DELAY IS WHAT I HEAR YOU
- 4 SAYING, ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY CUTS IN THAT DEPARTMENT
- 5 BECAUSE YOU NOW KNOW THAT THERE'S A GREATER SHORTFALL THAN YOU
- 6 PREVIOUSLY THOUGHT. AND TO ME THAT'S COUNTERINTUITIVE. YOU'RE
- 7 DIGGING YOURSELF A BIGGER HOLE.

8

- 9 SHEILA SHIMA: RIGHT, AND ACTUALLY THEY UNDERSTOOD WHAT THE
- 10 CUTS WERE. IT'S A MATTER OF BEING ABLE TO UNDERSTAND THE
- 11 \$600,000 WORTH OF CUTS THAT THEY CURRENTLY HAVE IN THE CONTEXT
- 12 OF THEIR OVERALL PROGRAM CURTAILMENTS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT BILL, THIS REALLY IS YOUR CALL. THREE
- 15 MONTHS INTO THE FISCAL YEAR, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO IN
- 16 NINE MONTHS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE \$9.1 MILLION WORTH
- 17 OF CUTS THAT WILL BE MUCH MORE COMPLICATED, IT'LL BE 25% MORE
- 18 COMPLICATED THAN IF YOU DID IT NOW. AND I'M NOT CLEAR AS TO
- 19 WHY, IF THEY KNOW THAT THEY HAD -- THEY KNOW THAT THEY HAD 5.5
- 20 MILLION, MAYBE EVEN MORE THAN THAT IN CUTS. WHY ARE THEY ONLY
- 21 AT 700,000, IDENTIFYING HOW THEY'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH
- 22 700,000? MAYBE, MR. FREEDMAN, YOU CAN ADDRESS THAT.

- 24 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: SUPERVISOR, JON FREEDMAN, DEPARTMENT OF
- 25 PUBLIC HEALTH. IT'S BEEN A CHALLENGE. FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO



- 1 WORK ON CUT PLANS THAT SOLVE THE REALIGNMENT AS WELL AS THE
- 2 NET COUNTY COSTS PROBLEM. WE'RE LOOKING AT PUBLIC HEALTH IS
- 3 NOT JUST AUTOMATICALLY SCALABLE THERE. SO WE HAVE CHOICES TO
- 4 MAKE, DRIVEN OFF OF HOW MUCH OF A REDUCTION TO LOOK AT, WILL
- 5 DETERMINE WHAT TYPE OF CONFIGURATION OF REDUCTION WE CAN MAKE.
- 6 THERE'S BEEN SOMEWHAT OF A MOVING TARGET FOR US. WE'VE KNOWN
- 7 WE'VE HAD, YES, CORRECT, ABOUT A \$5 MILLION PROBLEM NEXT YEAR,
- 8 NEXT FISCAL YEAR. AND WE'RE WORKING ON REDUCTION PLANS
- 9 ASSOCIATED WITH THAT. AND IN FACT, WE PROVIDED THE C.E.O. WITH
- 10 A REDUCTION PLAN THAT LOOKED AT UPWARDS OF A 7% REDUCTION IN
- 11 PUBLIC HEALTH THAT INCLUDED CLOSURE OF CERTAIN PUBLIC HEALTH
- 12 CENTERS AND SOME OTHER CORE PUBLIC HEALTH ACTIVITIES THERE. ON
- 13 TOP OF THAT, WE'VE ALSO HAD THE NET COUNTY COST REDUCTIONS
- 14 THAT ARE INITIATED BY THE C.E.O. THAT HAVE ADDED A DYNAMIC TO
- 15 THIS THAT IT'S VERY DIFFICULT FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO FIGURE OUT
- 16 HOW MUCH OF IT'S CUTTING BECAUSE IT'S SOMEWHAT BEEN A MOVING
- 17 TARGET HERE. SO WE'RE ON A PLAN RIGHT NOW TO DEVELOP 5 AND 10%
- 18 REDUCTIONS, WHICH AT THE 10% LEVEL GET UP TO ABOUT \$9.1
- 19 MILLION OF REDUCTION.

20

22

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THE BUDGET IN YOUR DEPARTMENT?

- 23 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: BUDGET IS -- GROSS APPROPRIATION IS AROUND
- 24 \$770 MILLION. NET COUNTY COST IS ABOUT \$170 MILLION. HOWEVER,
- 25 NOT ALL OF THAT NET COUNTY COST IS REDUCIBLE, BECAUSE IT IS A



- 1 MANDATORY SPENDING OR FEE OFFSET, LIKE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
- 2 PROVISION, AREAS OF THE DEPARTMENT. THE REDUCIBLE PART OF THAT
- 3 NET COUNTY COST IS ABOUT \$90 MILLION. AND THAT \$90 MILLION,
- 4 YOU ASK WHAT DOES THAT SERVE, WHAT DOES THAT FUND? THAT FUNDS
- 5 OUR CORE PUBLIC HEALTH ACTIVITIES, THE COMMUNICABLE DISEASE
- 6 CONTROL, THE HEALTH CENTERS, THE FIELD WORK, AND THE CENTRAL
- 7 PROGRAMS LIKE THE LABORATORY AND ACUTE COMMUNICABLE DISEASE,
- 8 T.B. CONTROL, SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE CONTROL.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE YOU ALREADY BEEN SHORTED THE MONEY THAT
- 11 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?

12

- 13 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: YES. THERE HAVE BEEN -- THERE HAS BEEN
- 14 DECLINES IN REALIGNMENT REVENUES, BOTH VEHICLE LICENSE FEES
- 15 AND SALES TAX SINCE THE DOWNTURN OF THE ECONOMY, WHICH GOES
- 16 BACK TO THE '07 --

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO HOW ARE YOU PAYING FOR IT?

19

20 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: BALANCING THIS?

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH.

- 24 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: THE C.E.O. IS, THE GENERAL FUND IS
- 25 BALANCING HERE. WE HAVE TAKEN REDUCTIONS. LAST FISCAL YEAR,



- 1 WE'VE TAKEN A SERIES OF REDUCTIONS THAT ARE ALREADY IN THE
- 2 PROPOSED BUDGET HERE TO HELP CHIP AWAY AT THAT PROBLEM.

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BY THE TIME THE SUPPLEMENTAL COMES AROUND, WE
- 5 WILL HAVE CLOSED OUR BOOKS, WE WILL HAVE LOOKED AT -- WE'LL
- 6 HAVE THREE MONTHS INTO A COUPLE OF OUR INITIATIVES, INCLUDING
- 7 TO WHAT EXTENT THE HARD HIRING FREEZE WILL HELP US TO THE END
- 8 OF THIS YEAR, THE BEGINNING OF THE FIRST FEW MONTHS WE'LL HAVE
- 9 POSSIBLY, HOPEFULLY TWO MONTHS INTO THE CONTRACT INITIATIVE.
- 10 THE CUTS THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE WE DO
- 11 HAVE THEM, BUT THEY'RE SO SIGNIFICANT AND THEY SPEAK TO
- 12 CLOSING ONE IF NOT TWO PUBLIC HEALTH CENTERS. THEY SPEAK TO A
- 13 SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN SOME OF THEIR CORE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 SERVICES. WE DID NEED SOME ADDITIONAL TIME TO SEE TO WHAT
- 15 EXTENT THAT NOT ONLY JUST TO DO THE CUTS, BUT TO WHAT EXTENT
- 16 WE CAN MITIGATE THOSE CUTS THROUGH OTHER RESOURCES.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. FREEDMAN, DOES THE DEPARTMENT CHARGE
- 19 FEES FOR EVERYTHING IT'S ENTITLED TO CHARGE FEES FOR?

20

21 **JONATHAN FREEDMAN:** YES.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THERE ANYTHING YOU'RE NOT CHARGING FEES
- 24 FOR THAT YOU COULD HAVE CHARGED FEES FOR?



- 1 JONATHAN FREEDMAN: THERE MAY BE CERTAIN -- AND IN FACT, IT WAS
- 2 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE ADDED INTO THE ALTERNATIVE REVENUE
- 3 STRATEGIES FOR THE STATE PROCESS. THERE MAY BE CERTAIN ASPECTS
- 4 OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASE WORK THAT WE COULD CHARGE INTO THE
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH FEE. BUT THERE'S A BODY OF WORK THERE,
- 6 WHICH THERE ARE NO PAYERS, THERE IS NOBODY TO CHARGE OFF THE
- 7 FEE. WE ARE LOOKING, HOWEVER, AT SOME FEES IN OUR CERTAIN
- 8 COMMUNICABLE DISEASE AREAS SUCH AS IMMUNIZATIONS, A NOMINAL
- 9 FEE.

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: EVERY DEPARTMENT, AS I STATE EARLIER, HAS
- 12 TAKEN SIGNIFICANT CUTS. THIS IS THE ONE DEPARTMENT, IF, AS WE
- 13 MOVE FORWARD AND WE'RE ABLE TO CLOSE THE BOOKS ON NOT ONLY OUR
- 14 PROPERTY TAX AND IF OUR SUPPLEMENTAL PROPERTY TAX HAPPENS TO
- 15 COME IN A LITTLE BIT HIGHER OR UNCLAIMED PROPERTY TAX ALSO
- 16 MATERIALIZES, THIS IS PROBABLY THE ONLY DEPARTMENT WE COULD
- 17 COME BACK TO AND SAY WE NEED TO REDUCE THE CURTAILMENT. RIGHT
- 18 NOW, TAKING THE CUTS NOW, AND IF -- WITHIN THE NEXT FEW DAYS,
- 19 WE'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO GIVE YOU THAT LIST, CREATES A VERY
- 20 SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE PROGRAMS THEY PROVIDE AND ALSO
- 21 SPECIFICALLY PROGRAMS IN YOUR RESPECTIVE DISTRICTS. THAT'S WHY
- 22 RIGHT NOW WHERE WE ARE ASKING FOR A FEW MORE MONTHS TO LOOK AT
- 23 THIS TO SEE TO WHAT EXTENT WE CAN MITIGATE THE SEVERITY OF
- 24 THOSE CUTS. PUBLIC HEALTH WAS HIT LAST YEAR, THEY'VE BEEN
- 25 IMPACTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AT SOMEWHAT OF A DISPROPORTIONATE



- I RATE. THEY'LL CONTINUE TO BE IMPACTED BY THE STATE BUDGET, AND
- 2 IT WILL SEVERELY ERODE OUR PROGRAMS AND SERVICES IN THAT
- 3 DEPARTMENT.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY ARE WE NOT DEFERRING THE SHERIFF'S CUTS
- 8 TO SUPPLEMENTAL MR. FUJIOKA?

9

- 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BECAUSE AGAIN, WE LOOKED AT EVERY SINGLE
- 11 DEPARTMENT HAS SERIOUS CUTS. IN LOOKING AT THE SHERIFF'S
- 12 DEPARTMENT, WE HAVE TWO CUTS. ONE IS A \$26 MILLION IN THE
- 13 PROPOSED BUDGET THAT WE'RE DELAYING AS WE GO FORWARD. IT'S A
- 14 COURT INITIATIVE. THE SECOND IS A 25-MILLION-DOLLAR CUT THAT'S
- 15 ATTRIBUTED TO THE ADDITIONAL TWO PERCENT. UNLIKE PUBLIC
- 16 HEALTH, WE FEEL THAT THE SHERIFF HAS THE ABILITY TO IDENTIFY
- 17 THOSE CUTS WITHOUT SEVERELY IMPACTING THEIR CURRENT PROGRAMS
- 18 AND SERVICES. THE SHERIFF HAS COMMITTED TO WORKING WITH US TO
- 19 DEVELOP THOSE CUTS. I'M SURE IF HE ISN'T SURE OF THAT
- 20 COMMITMENT, HE WOULD LET YOU KNOW.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HE DID.

- 24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT MAY HAVE BEEN ON A PERSONAL BASIS. WE'VE
- 25 TALKED TO HIM AT SEVERAL POINTS IN THIS PROCESS. IF YOU LOOK



- 1 AT THE RELATIVE CONTEXT, YOU LOOK AT THE SIZE OF THE SHERIFF'S
- 2 BUDGET. AND A CUT OF THAT TYPE REPRESENTS, YOU KNOW, I WON'T
- 3 SAY A SMALL, BUT A RELATIVELY SMALL PERCENTAGE. WHEN YOU LOOK
- 4 AT WHAT'S BEEN CUT OUT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, THE SERVICES PROVIDED
- 5 BY PUBLIC HEALTH THAT ARE FUNDED THROUGH OUR GENERAL FUND, WE
- 6 DIDN'T THINK THAT ONE OFFICE, LET ALONE SEVERAL, WOULD BE
- 7 WILLING TO SHUT DOWN PUBLIC HEALTH CENTERS IN THEIR DISTRICTS.
- 8 WHEN I SAW THE CLOSURE OF A PUBLIC HEALTH CENTER IN THE
- 9 DISTRICT, I DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS SOMETHING WE'D MOVE FORWARD
- 10 IMMEDIATELY IF WE HAD A CHANCE OF FINDING OTHER OPTIONS. WE
- 11 HAD STAFF, BECAUSE THIS WAS DISCUSSED AT SHEILA'S CLUSTER
- 12 MEETING, AND UNDERSTAND SOME OF THE -- I THINK THE BUDGET
- 13 STAFF WERE INVITED TO THAT. AND WE HAVE STAFF IN SOME OF THE
- 14 OFFICES WHO HAD SERIOUS CONCERNS. EVEN WITH THAT VAN. ONE
- 15 OFFICE IN PARTICULAR HAD SERIOUS CONCERNS WITH ELIMINATING
- 16 THAT S.T.D. VAN. SO THAT'S WHY WE FEEL WE SHOULD -- THIS IS
- 17 THE ONE DEPARTMENT WE NEED TO SLOW DOWN AND WE NEED TO LOOK AT
- 18 WHATEVER OPTIONS WE CAN TO SAVE SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS AND
- 19 SERVICES.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOUR BUDGET INCLUDES \$15.2 MILLION FOR
- 22 SHIFTING THE COST OF I.S.D.'S BUILDING MAINTENANCE FROM A
- 23 BILLABLE COST TO VARIOUS GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENTS TO THE
- 24 I.S.D. BUDGET. WHICH DEPARTMENTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE PILOT
- 25 PROJECT?



1

2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'LL GET YOU A LIST OF THAT.

3

- 4 DEBBIE LIZZARI: SUPERVISOR, WE DO HAVE A LIST. IT WILL EXCLUDE
- 5 SAVINE DEPARTMENTS. BUT I DO HAVE A LIST, IF I CAN GET MY
- 6 HANDS ON IT AND PROVIDE IT TO YOU. MOST OF THE GENERAL FUND
- 7 DEPARTMENTS THAT DON'T RELY SIGNIFICANTLY ON STATE AND FEDERAL
- 8 REVENUES.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHILE YOU'RE LOOKING FOR THAT, WOULD THOSE
- 11 DEPARTMENTS HAVE A REDUCTION IN THEIR GENERAL FUND BUDGETS
- 12 THAT REFLECT THIS \$15 MILLION INCREASE TO THE I.S.D. BUDGET?
- 13 THANK YOU. QUESTION WAS, WILL THESE DEPARTMENTS HAVE A
- 14 REDUCTION IN THEIR GENERAL FUND BUDGETS THAT REFLECT THE 15-
- 15 MILLION-DOLLAR INCREASE TO THE I.S.D. BUDGET?

16

- 17 DEBBIE LIZZARI: WHAT WE DID IS WE TRANSFERRED LIKE APPROP,
- 18 YES, FROM DEPARTMENTS TO I.S.D. THEY SAW A REDUCTION.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE DEPARTMENTS THEN WILL HAVE A
- 21 REDUCTION. HOW WOULD BUILDING SERVICE, MAINTENANCE WORK BE
- 22 DONE IN THE PILOT DEPARTMENTS?

- 24 DEBBIE LIZZARI: I THINK THE -- ELLEN IS COMING UP, BUT I THINK
- 25 THE -- I UNDERSTAND THE SERVICES WILL CONTINUE IN THE SAME



- MANNER. IT'S JUST THAT I.S.D. WILL NOT BE BILLING THE
- 2 DEPARTMENTS.

3

- 4 ELLEN SANDT: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENTS LIKE
- 5 WE'VE HAD IN THE PAST AND IT'S JUST A MATTER OF FRONT-FUNDING
- 6 I.S.D. THE WAY OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS ARE FRONT-FUNDED.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE CHANGE WILL REDUCE THE OVERALL AMOUNT
- 9 THAT I.S.D. CHARGES IN OVERHEAD TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE
- 10 NOT INCLUDED IN THE PILOT?

11

- 12 ELLEN SANDT: YES. WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO IS NET COUNTY COST-
- 13 FUNDED DEPARTMENTS WILL BE HANDLED THIS WAY BUT I.S.D. WILL
- 14 STILL BE BILLING THE SAVINE DEPARTMENTS. WE HAVE TO BE REALLY
- 15 CAREFUL, AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER ON
- 16 THAT ISSUE. BECAUSE WE NEED TO BE SURE THAT THE WAY WE CHARGE
- 17 DEPARTMENTS HAS TO BE CONSISTENT FROM DEPARTMENT TO
- 18 DEPARTMENT.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. FUJIOKA, YOUR \$25 MILLION IN CUTS TO THE
- 21 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WHAT ARE THE EFFORTS THAT WOULD BE MADE
- 22 TO ENSURE THAT THE PATROL POSITIONS IN THE -- AND ALSO THE
- 23 COPS TEAMS AND OTHER FRONT-LINE PUBLIC SAFETY EFFORTS WOULD
- 24 REMAIN INTACT?



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S THE COMMITMENT, ESPECIALLY THOSE IN THE
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREAS. THAT'S THE COMMITMENT. WHEN WE COME BACK
- 3 WITH THE FINAL LIST, WE ARE WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT, THE
- 4 DEPARTMENT UNDERSTANDS THE NEED TO CUT THAT \$25 MILLION ON A
- 5 GO-FORWARD BASIS, THAT THE LIST WITH SPECIFIC DETAIL WILL BE
- 6 PROVIDED TO THIS BOARD.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND BESIDES SERVICES AND SUPPLIES, WHAT OTHER
- 9 TYPES OF CUTS ARE BEING CONSIDERED?

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE NEED TO REACH AN AGREEMENT WITH THE
- 12 SHERIFF. I FEEL IN SOME OF HIS ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS --

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PERSONALLY OR PUBLICLY?

15

16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BOTH.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU MADE THE DIFFERENCE.

19

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BUT I DO FEEL THAT IN SOME OF THE
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS TO ENSURE IT DOESN'T IMPACT THE FRONT
- 22 LINE LAW ENFORCEMENT DUTIES. THERE'S ROOM FOR CURTAILMENTS
- 23 ALONG THAT VEIN.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ARE THERE OTHER TYPES OF SAVINGS BEYOND
- 2 THAT? ARE THERE OTHER EFFICIENCIES THAT WE COULD CREATE TO
- 3 GENERATE SAVINGS?

4

5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RELATIVE TO THE ISSUE OF DEPARTMENT OF
- 8 HEALTH, PAGE 7 OF THE FINAL CHANGES INDICATES THAT THERE'S NO
- 9 CHANGE IN ESTIMATED FUND BALANCE FOR THE HOSPITAL ENTERPRISE
- 10 FUNDS. YET ON PAGE 9, IT INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL REVENUE
- 11 REDUCTION OF \$10.6 MILLION FROM A DECLINE IN VALUE IN THE
- 12 STATE'S V.L.F. FUNDS. SO DOES THIS MEAN THAT THE STATE DEFICIT
- 13 FOR HEALTH SERVICES IS ACTUALLY \$288 MILLION AND NOT \$278
- 14 MILLION?

15

- 16 SHEILA SHIMA: NO. THE ACTUAL DEFICIT IS \$278 MILLION. AND THAT
- 17 INCLUDES THE PROJECTED SHORTFALL AND REALIGNMENT FUNDS. THE
- 18 COMMENT EARLIER ON ABOUT FUND BALANCE IS THE ESTIMATE OF THE
- 19 AMOUNT OF FUNDS THAT THEY'LL HAVE FROM THE PRIOR YEAR THAT
- 20 WILL HELP THEM FUND THE BUDGET YEARS. SO AT THIS POINT AND IN
- 21 THE PROPOSED BUDGET, THE DEPARTMENT DID NOT ANTICIPATE THEY
- 22 WOULD HAVE ANY SAVINGS FROM THE '08/'09 BUDGET IN ORDER TO
- 23 HELP THEM WITH THE '09/'10 BUDGET, AND THAT DID NOT CHANGE
- 24 DURING FINAL CHANGES.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HAVE THERE BEEN ANY VERIFIED SAVINGS ACHIEVED
- 2 THROUGH THE DEPARTMENTS DEFICIT MITIGATION PLAN?

3

- 4 SHEILA SHIMA: YES. THE DEPARTMENT ACTUALLY HAS VERIFIED SOME
- 5 SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS FROM THAT EFFORT. AND WE CAN PROVIDE
- 6 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO THE BOARD.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH HAVE THEY SAVED?

9

- 10 SHEILA SHIMA: I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD.
- 11 I THINK IT'S CLOSE TO THE \$90 MILLION THAT THEY HAD PROJECTED
- 12 THEY WOULD BE SAVING.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ARE THE REALISTICALLY ACHIEVABLE MAXIMUM
- 15 SAVINGS THAT COULD BE ACHIEVED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S MITIGATION
- 16 PLAN?

17

- 18 SHEILA SHIMA: AT THIS POINT, I THINK THE LAST BUDGET COMMITTEE
- 19 OF THE WHOLE REPORT ACTUALLY INCLUDED A NUMBER OF REVENUE AND
- 20 SAVINGS PROJECTIONS THAT THEY FELT, WHILE DIFFICULT, COULD BE
- 21 ACHIEVED. AND THAT WOULD GET THEM TO A SHORTFALL OF AROUND
- 22 \$150 MILLION. I THINK THE DEPARTMENT DOES FEEL THAT SOME OF
- 23 THE REVENUES THEY'RE LOOKING AT, SOME OF THE ADDITIONAL
- 24 EFFICIENCIES ARE REASONABLE.



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S DOWN FROM ABOUT \$400 MILLION. RIGHT?

2

3 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT IS.

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S TAKING IT DOWN FROM -- EARLIER IN THE
- 6 YEAR WE PROJECTED A DEFICIT IN EXCESS OF \$400 MILLION. BUT
- 7 THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT'S EFFORTS, AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF
- 8 STEPS THAT HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED AT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AS A
- 9 WHOLE, THAT THEY CAN BRING THAT DOWN TO APPROXIMATELY 150. AND
- 10 THEY FEEL THAT'S ACHIEVABLE.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT SPECIFIC ADDITIONAL REVENUES HAVE BEEN
- 13 IDENTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT AS PART OF THE DEFICIT MITIGATION
- 14 PLAN? AND HOW MUCH OF THAT REVENUE IS ACHIEVABLE IN THE COMING
- 15 FISCAL YEAR?

16

- 17 SHEILA SHIMA: JOHN SCHUNHOFF IS GOING TO BE JOINING US NOW AND
- 18 CAN ADDRESS THAT QUESTION.

- 20 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, LOOKING AT NEXT FISCAL YEAR, NOT
- 21 YET INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET BUT WHICH WE THINK ARE ACHIEVABLE
- 22 ARE REVENUES FROM ADDITIONAL MANAGED CARE RATE SUPPLEMENTS,
- 23 THROUGH HEALTH NET AND ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT WILL COME BOTH TO
- 24 MENTAL HEALTH AND TO HEALTH BECAUSE OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE
- 25 STATE PLAN REGARDING THE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. THOSE ARE THE



- 1 KEY ONES THAT ARE NOT YET IN THE BUDGET BUT WE THINK ARE
- 2 ACHIEVABLE IN THIS NEXT YEAR.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO ARE THERE ANY OTHER KNOWN COSTS THAT HAVE
- 5 NOT BEEN INCLUDED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S PROJECTED BUDGET?

6

7 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: ANY KNOWN COSTS?

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.

10

- 11 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: AS I INDICATED WHEN WE DID THE FISCAL
- 12 FORECAST, SUPERVISOR, THERE ARE NOT COSTS INCLUDED IN THE
- 13 BUDGET FOR THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD, THAT THERE'S VERY
- 14 MINIMAL COSTS INCLUDED FOR THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND HAVE YOU DEVELOPED AN ALTERNATIVE PLAN TO
- 17 ADDRESS THE PROJECTED \$278 MILLION DEFICIT?

- 19 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WELL SUPERVISOR, THE POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS THAT
- 20 WE SUBMITTED WITH THE LAST FISCAL FORECAST ARE THE LIST OF ALL
- 21 THE VARIOUS THINGS WE'RE WORKING ON. THERE'S ANOTHER PROPOSAL
- 22 THAT'S BEING CONSIDERED AT THE STATE LEVEL WHICH HAS TO DO
- 23 WITH THE HOSPITAL FEE, HOSPITAL PROVIDER FEE, THAT HAS THE
- 24 POTENTIAL TO BRING ADDITIONAL REVENUE, BUT IT'S VERY IFFY SORT
- 25 OF THING AT THIS POINT. WE WOULDN'T WANT TO COUNT ON THAT.



SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE ELECTIVE PROGRAMS

4

3

5 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: YES, SUPERVISOR.

THAT YOU PROVIDE SERVICES?

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHEN DO YOU COME TO THAT CONCLUSION?

8

- 9 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, WE HAVE JUST FINISHED THE DRAFT OF
- 10 THE RESPONSE TO YOUR MOTION ASKING US FOR THE NON-CRITICAL,
- 11 THE OPTIONAL PROGRAMS. YOU'LL GET THAT VERY SHORTLY. THE
- 12 LARGEST DOLLAR PIECE OF THAT IS ACTUALLY IN DENTAL,
- 13 SUPERVISOR, WHICH IS A REAL CONCERN PROGRAMMATICALLY,
- 14 PARTICULARLY AS THE STATE HAS CUT OUT DENTI-CAL FROM THE
- 15 OPTIONAL SERVICES.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU REFERENCED IN THE LAST HEALTH
- 18 DEPARTMENT'S LAST FISCAL PRESENTATION THE NEED TO IDENTIFY
- 19 SUFFICIENT SAVINGS TO CARRY YOU OVER TO THE NEXT WAIVER. HAS A
- 20 NEW WAIVER PROPOSAL BEEN DRAFT? AND WHAT ARE THOSE
- 21 EXPECTATIONS FOR SERVICE AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE AND DOES
- 22 THE ANTICIPATED REVENUE MEET THE DEPARTMENT'S NEEDS?

- 24 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, WE HAVE BEEN TALKING WITH THE
- 25 STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES AND OTHER



- 1 STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDING MEMBERS OF THE DISH TASK FORCE AT THE
- 2 STATE LEVEL ABOUT THE NEW WAIVER, AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING TO
- 3 DEVELOP PROGRAM PROPOSALS FOR HOW THAT MIGHT LOOK. IT'S A
- 4 TRICKY SITUATION RELATIVE TO HEALTHCARE REFORM BECAUSE OF HOW
- 5 HEALTHCARE REFORM DEVELOPS AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL WILL DEPEND
- 6 UPON --

7

9

14

16

19

22

24

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO YOU HAVEN'T DEVELOPED ANYTHING YET.

- 10 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING SOME PLANS. THERE'S
- 11 NOTHING THAT HAS BEEN ADVANCED BY THE STATE TO THE FEDS YET.
- 12 WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE STATE. THEY ULTIMATELY HAVE TO
- 13 DEVELOP THE FINAL PROPOSAL AND ACCEPT IT.
- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT IS THEIR TIMELINE?
- 17 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THEY'VE STATED THAT THEY WANT TO HAVE THAT IN
- 18 BY SEPTEMBER.
- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS THAT THE SAME TYPE OF PROJECTION AS THEY
- 21 INDICATE THEY WANT A BUDGET PASSED?
- 23 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, I HOPE THEY --
- 25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** IS IT A HARD NUMBER, A MONTH?



1

- 2 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SEPTEMBER IS NOT A HARD NUMBER FOR THAT
- 3 BECAUSE IN FACT, THE WAIVER DOES NOT EXPIRE UNTIL AUGUST OF
- 4 2010. BUT IN ORDER TO HAVE A REASONABLE PERIOD FOR
- 5 NEGOTIATION, THEY SHOULD HAVE THIS TOGETHER BY SEPTEMBER.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW ARE YOU RESOLVING THE FUNDING ISSUES FOR
- 8 EQUIPMENT AT HARBOR GENERAL AND OLIVE VIEW HOSPITAL?

9

- 10 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, I MAY DEPEND UPON THE C.E.O. FOR
- 11 THIS, BUT I THINK THAT THE OLIVE VIEW EQUIPMENT IS PARTLY IN
- 12 THIS BUDGET AND THE HARBOR WILL BE SUBSEQUENTLY IN THE BUDGET.

13

14 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S CORRECT.

15

16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YEAH. IT'S BEEN ADDRESSED.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ARE YOU MOVING FORWARD WITH ELIMINATING
- 19 EXISTING OR POSTPONING IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW CENTRAL
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE PROGRAMS ADDED TO THE BUDGET?

- 22 JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, WE ARE REVIEWING WITH THE C.E.O.
- 23 THE AUGMENTATION OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND MAKING
- 24 SURE WE HAVE SUFFICIENT STAFF TO DO HUMAN RESOURCES. BOTH OF
- 25 THOSE ARE ACTIVITIES THAT WE ARE ONGOING WITH AND WILL COME TO



- 1 THE BOARD DURING SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES WITH WHATEVER WE HAVE
- 2 WORKED OUT WITH THE C.E.O.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, MR. FUJIOKA, RELATIVE TO HUMAN
- 5 RESOURCES, IN THE 2007/2008 BUDGET, YOU INCLUDED FUNDING FOR
- 6 19 NEW H.R. POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT HEALTH SERVICE BUDGET.
- 7 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009, THE BUDGET INCLUDED 944,000 FOR
- 8 SEVEN ADDITIONAL POSITIONS TO ENHANCE HUMAN RESOURCES
- 9 OPERATIONS OF D.H.S. AND D.C.F.S. NO, THIS IS A QUESTION. HAS
- 10 THERE BEEN ANY EFFORT TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE 26 NEW HUMAN
- 11 RESOURCES POSITIONS ARE STILL NEEDED IN LIGHT OF THE
- 12 IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE TAKING PLACE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 13 HEALTH, OR IN DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES?

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: JOHN JUST MENTIONED THAT. WE'LL BE COMING BACK
- 16 TO YOU DURING SUPPLEMENTAL TO ADDRESS THE H.R. ISSUE. I THINK
- 17 COLLECTIVELY WE CAN ADMIT THAT THE H.R. PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE
- 18 COUNTY, BUT ESPECIALLY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IS
- 19 EXTREMELY WEAK AND NEEDS TO BE STRENGTHENED CONSIDERABLY. WE
- 20 SAW THE CONSEQUENCES OF HAVING A WEAK H.R. SYSTEM IN D.H.S.
- 21 REPEATEDLY. AND SO WE HAVE COME FORWARD WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
- 22 TO IMPROVE THAT PROCESS. THE RISK MANAGEMENT IS ANOTHER ISSUE
- 23 OF THAT. I THINK REPEATEDLY, WE SEE THE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS
- 24 THAT COME OUT OF D.H.S., THE UNFORTUNATE CONSEQUENCE OF NOT
- 25 HAVING A STRONG PROGRAM, WE'VE SEEN MISTAKES BE REPEATED TIME



- 1 AND TIME AGAIN, SO HAVING A STRONG RISK MANAGEMENT AND A
- 2 STRONG H.R. PROGRAM IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT FOR D.H.S., AS IT
- 3 IS ANY OF THE DEPARTMENTS.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: PARDON ME? DID YOU -- WE -- EXCUSE ME.
- 8 WE NEED -- THERE'S BEEN A CONSIDERABLE GAP IN CONVERSATION
- 9 HERE. WE'RE SORT OF GOING TO GO BACK TO THAT LITTLE PIECE IN
- 10 PUBLIC HEALTH. OKAY? I DON'T THINK WE TOOK A VERY SPECIFIC
- 11 ACTION ON THAT AS IT RELATES TO THAT. I MEAN, WE STILL HAVE
- 12 THE CONCERN OF HOW CAN YOU NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH THE CUTS? AND
- 13 WE GOT -- AND YOU PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE OKAY, RIGHT, BY
- 14 WAITING? BECAUSE IF THEY MULTIPLY, I MEAN, ARE WE GOING TO BE
- 15 BETTER OFF?

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE INTENT IS NOT TO MULTIPLY. THE INTENT, IN
- 18 FACT, IS TO FIND THE MEANS TO MITIGATE IT, BECAUSE RIGHT NOW,
- 19 TAKING \$9 MILLION IN CUTS DOES HAVE A VERY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
- 20 ON PROGRAMS AND SERVICES.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACT
- 23 THAT THEY BECAME THEIR OWN DEPARTMENT. IS THAT CORRECT? I
- 24 DON'T KNOW. I JUST THOUGHT I'D THROW THAT OUT THERE. THIS HAS
- 25 NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACT THAT THEY BECAME THEIR OWN



- 1 DEPARTMENT? I ALWAYS LIKED THAT PART, THERE WOULD BE NO
- 2 ADDITIONAL NET COUNTY COSTS OR NO ADDITIONAL -- THEY ASSURED
- 3 US.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE WERE TOLD.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT THERE WILL BE NO ADDITIONAL COSTS
- 8 TO COUNTY PUBLIC HEALTH WHEN THEY SPLIT.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: (OFF MIC) ISSUED THIS REPORT WELL OVER, I DON'T
- 11 KNOW, HOW MANY YEARS AGO? FOUR YEARS AGO, AT LEAST, AND IT WAS
- 12 THIS COMPLETE ASSURANCE THAT THIS WAS NOT GOING TO BE ANY
- 13 ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, ANY ADDITIONAL ANYTHING. AND
- 14 ONE WOULD THINK THERE WOULD BE SOME BECAUSE OF HEADQUARTERS
- 15 AND ALL THE OTHER KINDS OF THINGS THAT YOU'RE DOING. AND I'M
- 16 VERY CONCERNED, SO I JUST REINFORCE WHAT WAS MENTIONED BY --

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND HOPEFULLY YOU'LL ADDRESS THAT WITH A
- 19 GOOD ANSWER BY THE TIME THIS COMES BACK WITH A SUPPLEMENTAL.
- 20 IS THAT CORRECT?

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: BUT WAIT A MINUTE. JUST SO THAT I UNDERSTAND,
- 23 WE'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING, RIGHT? OR DID YOU MOVE SOMETHING?

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I DIDN'T MOVE ANYTHING.



1 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. GOOD. SO FAR THERE'S NOTHING. CORRECT? 2 3 SHEILA SHIMA: THE REQUEST FROM OUR OFFICE WAS TO NOT MOVE 4 5 AHEAD WITH THE PROPOSED SIX TO \$700,000 IN CURTAILMENTS THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAD IDENTIFIED AT THIS POINT OF THE \$1.7 6 7 MILLION FOR THE 2% CURTAILMENT. NOW, WE DO UNDERSTAND--8 SUP. MOLINA: BUT YOU NEED A MOTION FOR THAT, RIGHT? 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I MEAN, THEY CAN DO IT UNTIL SEPTEMBER. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS THEIR REQUEST, IS TO PUT IT OFF UNTIL SEPTEMBER. I WOULD PROPOSE, JUST TO GET SOMETHING ON THE 14 15 TABLE, THAT WE -- WHAT IS OUR FIRST MEETING IN AUGUST? 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: FIRST MEETING IS AUGUST 4TH. 17 18 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD MOVE THAT ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUE 20 THAT THEY GIVE US A REPORT ON AUGUST 4TH. THE 4TH? IS THAT WHAT YOU SAID? 21 22 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AUGUST 4TH, AND AS A COMPROMISE. BUT I'M NOT
- 2 COMFORTABLE WAITING UNTIL SEPTEMBER EITHER, BUT I UNDERSTAND
- 3 WHAT YOU'RE DEALING WITH HERE. SO IT'S A RUBIK'S CUBE. SO TRY
- 4 TO GET WHATEVER YOU HAVE TO GET DONE BY AUGUST 4TH AND MAYBE
- 5 YOU CAN GET US A REPORT AHEAD OF TIME SO THAT WE AREN'T
- 6 SURPRISED LIKE I WAS TODAY BY THIS. I THINK THE REST OF US
- 7 WERE TOO.

8

9 SHEILA SHIMA: WE'LL WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENTS TO DO THAT.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT?

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY SHOULD WE DEFER THE CUTS WHEN ALL THE
- 14 OTHER DEPARTMENTS ARE TAKING THE CUTS?

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THE REASON IS, IF I CAN TAKE THE
- 17 LIBERTY OF I THINK WHAT I UNDERSTAND, IS THAT THEY'RE TRYING
- 18 TO MAKE SURE -- THEY'RE TRYING TO RECONCILE THAT THE CUTS THAT
- 19 THEY MAKE DON'T HAVE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES ON THE FISCAL
- 20 SIDE. BUT IT'S THE COLOR OF MONEY ISSUE. AM I CORRECT IN WHAT
- 21 I HEARD YOU SAY EARLIER, WHAT I HEARD MR. FREEDMAN SAY
- 22 EARLIER, IT'S A QUESTION OF TRYING TO MAKE SURE YOU GET THE
- 23 CUTS YOU NEED BUT YOU ALSO DON'T HAVE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES
- 24 WHERE A CUT TRIGGERS A LOSS OF A MATCH AND ALL KINDS OF OTHER



1 THINGS. I DON'T KNOW WHY THAT WOULD -- IS THAT CORRECT?

2 ROUGHLY?

3

- 4 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S CORRECT, A PART OF WHAT ACTUALLY PROMPTED
- 5 THIS CHANGE. BECAUSE OUR LETTER ACTUALLY WAS RECOMMENDING THAT
- 6 WE MOVE FORWARD WITH AT LEAST A PORTION OF THE CUTS. BUT THERE
- 7 WERE A NUMBER OF OUESTIONS THAT CAME UP ABOUT SOME OF THE
- 8 ADJUSTMENTS WE WERE PROPOSING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH. GIVEN THE
- 9 RELATIVELY SMALL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF THOSE CHANGES, I THINK IT
- 10 WAS -- THERE WERE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AS TO WHY WE'RE
- 11 CUTTING SPECIFIC ITEMS IN THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET. THE
- 12 DIFFICULTY WAS TRYING TO EXPLAIN THAT AS JUST BEING ONE
- 13 PORTION OF A LARGER PROBLEM, THE \$9.1 MILLION IN TOTAL THAT
- 14 THE DEPARTMENT WAS HAVING TO DEVELOP IN CURTAILMENTS. AND WE
- 15 FELT THAT GIVEN THAT CONTEXT, THE LARGER DISCUSSION, HERE'S
- 16 THE \$9.1 MILLION THAT WILL HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THE PORTION OF
- 17 THE CURTAILMENT THAT WE ARE MOVING FORWARD WITH CURRENTLY. AND
- 18 SPECIFICALLY, I THINK I HAD MENTIONED AND MR. FUJIOKA HAD
- 19 MENTIONED THAT A NUMBER OF THE OUESTIONS REALLY RELATED TO THE
- 20 MOBILE VAN FOR S.T.D. SERVICES. AND THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERN
- 21 ABOUT ELIMINATING THOSE SERVICES. THAT WAS ABOUT \$200,000 OF
- 22 THE CUT.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S A ONE-TIME EXPENSE, ISN'T IT?



- 1 SHEILA SHIMA: IT S.N.S., SERVICES AND SUPPLIES, AND IT IS
- 2 ONGOING.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH? 200,000 ONGOING?

5

6 SHEILA SHIMA: \$200,000 ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH IS IT TO BUY THE VAN?

9

10 SHEILA SHIMA: NO, THEY ALREADY HAVE THE VAN.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY ALREADY HAVE THE VAN.

13

- 14 SHEILA SHIMA: THEY ALREADY HAVE THE VAN. WHAT THEY WOULD DO IS
- 15 NO LONGER USE THE VAN, NO LONGER HAVE THE S.T.D. SERVICES AND
- 16 SUPPLIES, AND THAT COST ON AN ONGOING BASIS. AND JOHN CAN TALK
- 17 A LITTLE MORE ABOUT --

18

- 19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT VAN SERVES AREAS WHERE INDIVIDUALS DON'T
- 20 HAVE READY ACCESS TO A PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAM. IT'S PROVEN TO
- 21 BE VERY, VERY EFFECTIVE IN CONTROLLING S.T.D.S.

- 23 JOHN FRIEDMAN: SUPERVISOR, THE VAN IS OWNED BY THE DEPARTMENT.
- 24 WE USE IT WITH EXISTING STAFF AND WE DEPLOY IT IN VARIOUS
- 25 TYPES OF OUTREACH SETTINGS. THE \$200,000, SHEILA WAS CORRECT.



- 1 IT'S SERVICES AND SUPPLIES RELATED TO THE OUTFITTING OF THAT
- 2 VAN, WHICH ARE TESTS, AS WELL AS CONDOMS AND OTHER CONSUMABLES
- 3 USED FOR THAT VAN.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO PUT A MOTION ON THE TABLE.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. I
- 12 SECONDED IT.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: OH, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: FOR DISCUSSION.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I'D LIKE TO PUT A SUBSTITUTE MOTION ON THE
- 19 TABLE.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. VERY GOOD. THE CHAIR WILL
- 22 RECOGNIZE YOU.

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: THAT I'D LIKE TO GO AHEAD WITH THE TARGETED CUTS
- 25 IN THIS DEPARTMENT AND COME BACK WITH A REPORT IN SEPTEMBER AS



- 1 TO HOW THEY'RE GOING TO ABSORB THESE CUTS AND WHETHER, IN
- 2 FACT, THEY MIGHT BE -- THAT BECAUSE THESE ESCALATING COSTS ARE
- 3 BECAUSE OF THE SEPARATION OF THIS DEPARTMENT, WAS AN ISSUE
- 4 THAT I RAISED ABOUT FOUR YEARS AGO. I WANT A BETTER
- 5 UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT ARE ATTRIBUTED TO THIS ESCALATING
- 6 DEFICIT. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE AS AN EXCEPTION THE S.T.D.
- 7 PORTION OF IT.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: AND THAT WE GO AHEAD AND NOT CUT THAT PROGRAM.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE'S A SUBSTITUTE MOTION ON THE
- 14 FLOOR. MOVED, SECONDED. ROLL CALL.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE WE DO THAT, SO THE S.T.D. IS
- 17 \$200,000. THE CUTS ARE 700,000, AND THERE WERE \$700,000 IN
- 18 CUTS ON THE TABLE. CORRECT?

19

- 20 JOHN FRIEDMAN: YEAH. LET ME STEP BACK. IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET,
- 21 WE WERE GIVEN A 3% N.C.C. REDUCTION TARGET. AND IN THE
- 22 PROPOSED BUDGET IS A \$2.45 MILLION PACKAGE OF REDUCTIONS. WHEN
- 23 THE FINAL CHANGES COMES, THERE'S A 1.7-MILLION-DOLLAR ADDITION
- 24 TO THAT, WHICH IS THIS SECOND 2% THAT'S BEING DISCUSSED.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S IN SEPTEMBER.



2 JOHN FRIEDMAN: NO. THAT'S RIGHT NOW. THAT'S RIGHT NOW. A 3 PORTION OF THAT RELATES TO HEALTH CENTER, A PORTION OF THAT 4 5 RELATES TO A HEALTH CENTER, A PORTION OF THAT RELATES TO THE -- 700,000 RELATES TO SERVICES AND SUPPLIES, OF WHICH A SUBSET 6 7 OF THAT IS THE S.T.D. OUTREACH VAN. 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THERE'S AN ADDITIONAL ONE POINT -- HOW MUCH? 10 11 12 JOHN FRIEDMAN: 1.3 MILLION. 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF WHICH 700,000 WAS --14 15 16 JOHN FRIEDMAN: I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU HAD 1.3 MILLION ADDITIONAL CUTS NOW.

19

20 JOHN FRIEDMAN: \$1.7 MILLION IN ADDITIONAL CUTS.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 1.7?

- 24 JOHN FRIEDMAN: RIGHT. THAT WAS OUR TARGET OF THE 2% REDUCTION
- 25 IN FINAL CHANGES.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU SAID 700,000 OF THAT WAS WHAT? 2 3 JOHN FRIEDMAN: WAS SERVICES, SUPPLIES, CUTS, OF WHICH THE 4 5 MOBILE VAN IS A PIECE OF. 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO 200,000 OF THE 700,000 IS THE MOBILE VAN? 7 8 9 JOHN FRIEDMAN: CORRECT. 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT'S THE OPERATION COST OF THE MOBILE 11 VAN? 12 13 JOHN FRIEDMAN: IT'S NOT THE STAFFING COST, IT'S THE SERVICES 14 15 AND SUPPLIES ASSOCIATED WITH RUNNING THAT VAN ON AN ANNUAL 16 BASIS. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO LET'S ASSUME THIS SUBSTITUTE MOTION IS 19 APPROVED, YOU KEEP THE VAN, AND THEN WHAT ARE THE SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS IN CUTS THAT YOU WILL BE MAKING AS A RESULT OF 20 21 THIS?

- 23 JOHN FRIEDMAN: RIGHT. WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES TO
- 24 THAT, AND LEAVE THE SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE VAN NEUTRAL.
- 25 SO WE'LL LOOK AT EITHER DEEPER REDUCTIONS IN OUR FIELD



- 1 SERVICES OR OUR PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY OR IN OTHER AREAS OF
- 2 COMMUNICABLE DISEASE CONTROL OR CHRONIC DISEASE PREVENTION.

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AT THIS JUNCTURE, IF WE CAN GO WITH SUPERVISOR
- 5 YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION. BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND WE COULD ALSO
- 6 REPORT BACK ON THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER AND HOW THIS IS
- 7 DRIVING THE DEFICIT. BECAUSE OF THE FACT, YOU KNOW, IT IS A
- 8 CONTRIBUTING FACTOR. BUT I'D ASK THAT YOU GIVE US AT LEAST
- 9 UNTIL THE ENDS OF AUGUST BECAUSE WE NEED TO LOOK AT -- WE NEED
- 10 TO ALSO LAY OUT ALL OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF SOME OF THESE CUTS.
- 11 I'M JUST TELLING YOU, THEY ARE VERY SIGNIFICANT. WHEN YOU GET
- 12 A DEPARTMENT LIKE PUBLIC HEALTH, WHO HAS BEEN IMPACTED NOT
- 13 ONLY BY THE STATE BUT ALSO BY OUR LOCAL REVENUES, AND WITH
- 14 THAT, YOU HAVE A MINIMUM OF 10% CUT FOR SOME OF THEIR CORE
- 15 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES, NOT ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS, BUT THEIR
- 16 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES, GOING FORWARD WITH SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION AT THIS POINT IN TIME I THINK WOULD BE
- 18 THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE SUBSTITUTE. MY
- 21 UNDERSTANDING, THAT THE FIRST CUT THAT WAS PROPOSED WAS THE
- 22 APRIL 10% CUT. RIGHT? AND THAT THIS DEPARTMENT DID NOT TAKE A
- 23 10% CUT AT THAT TIME, THEY TOOK A 2.9%? IS THAT CORRECT?



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THERE WAS AN INITIAL -- I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS
- 2 AS HIGH AS A 10% CUT, BUT THERE WAS AN INITIAL CUT BACK IN OUR
- 3 PROPOSED BUDGET, BUT WE DID REDUCE THAT.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: TO 2.9. OTHER DEPARTMENTS HAD TO TAKE CUTS ACROSS
- 6 THE BOARD, AND I KNOW YOU MADE SOME ADJUSTMENTS ACCORDINGLY.

7

- 8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE MADE ADJUSTMENTS -- YOU'RE RIGHT. WE
- 9 STARTED AS ACROSS THE BOARD, AND AS WE LOOKED AT THE PROGRAM
- 10 IMPACT--

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: TEN PERCENT. BECAUSE EVERY DEPARTMENT HAS PROGRAM
- 13 IMPACT. AND SO YOU ASKED, YOU REDUCED IT DOWN TO ONLY A 2.9
- 14 INSTEAD OF A 10%.

15

16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WHICH IS TRUE.

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: THEN YOU ASKED FOR AN ADDITIONAL 2%, WHICH THEY
- 19 DID TAKE, OR WAS RECOMMENDED, OR THEY -- AND SO AGAIN, I WANT
- 20 TO REMIND YOU THAT I THINK THAT WE DON'T KNOW THE IMPLICATIONS
- 21 AT THE STATE LEVEL. WE REALLY DON'T KNOW. AND I THINK ALL OF
- 22 US CAN MAKE AN ARGUMENT ABOUT A VERY SPECIAL PROGRAM IN EVERY
- 23 SINGLE DEPARTMENT. AND SO CONSEQUENTLY, I THINK THIS
- 24 DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO TARGET ITS CUTS. I THINK WHAT WE'RE DOING
- 25 HERE IS WE'RE JUST NOT APPROPRIATING THIS MONEY. AND SO WHAT



- 1 WE'RE SAYING IS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO ABSORB IT FOR NOW.
- 2 AND THEN THE REPORT WILL COME BACK AND WE'LL FIND OUT. YEAH, I
- 3 MEAN, THAT'S WHERE YOU START CUTTING. OH, LET'S CUT SERVICES
- 4 AND SUPPLIES. MAYBE YOU NEED TO CUT OUT TWO ADMINISTRATORS.
- 5 MAYBE YOU NEED TO CUT OUT TWO CONTRACT MONITORS. MAYBE YOU
- 6 NEED TO CUT TWO OF THIS OR TWO OF THAT. I DON'T KNOW. THAT'S
- 7 FOR YOUR OFFICE TO DETERMINE. BUT TO SELECT THIS DEPARTMENT, I
- 8 UNDERSTAND ITS IMPLICATIONS AND IT CAN ALWAYS STAND UP AND
- 9 TALK ABOUT HOW SIGNIFICANTLY IMPORTANT ITS TASKS ARE. BUT I
- 10 MUST SAY, I AM CONCERNED, BECAUSE THIS IS A DEPARTMENT, I
- 11 OBJECTED TO IT BEING SPLIT OFF YEARS AGO FOR JUST THIS VERY
- 12 REASON, THAT IT WOULD SEEM LIKE WE WERE DUPLICATING
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE COST. DEPARTMENT HEADS ALL THE WAY ACROSS THE
- 14 BOARD. I KEPT BEING ASSURED ABSOLUTELY NOT AND WHY IT NEEDED
- 15 TO BE INDEPENDENT, WHICH HASN'T REALLY PROVEN TO BE THE CASE,
- 16 BY THE WAY. I THINK IT HAD A LOT OF INDEPENDENCE BEFOREHAND.
- 17 SO I WANT MY MOTION TO STAND IN SPITE OF THE C.E.O.'S
- 18 RECOMMENDATION. I THINK IT DOESN'T HURT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
- 19 THIS CUT. AGAIN, THE DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO HAVE TO ABSORB IT,
- 20 I THINK IT SHOULD BE REVIEWED. THE QUICKER YOU COME BACK WITH
- 21 A REPORT, THEN THE QUICKER WE CAN RESOLVE SOME OF THESE
- 22 ISSUES. BUT I WANT TO KNOW IF, IN FACT, THAT THEY'RE CUTTING
- 23 IN THE AREAS THAT ARE REALLY SENSITIVE TO US, YOU KNOW,
- 24 DEPARTMENTS ARE GOOD AT IT, YOU KNOW, LET'S CUT OUT THE S.T.D.
- 25 PROGRAM BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO WANT THAT BACK. BUT I HAVEN'T



- 1 HAD A CHANCE, MAYBE YOU ALL HAVE HAD A CHANCE, TO REVIEW THE
- 2 IMPLICATIONS OF WHO IS THERE AND WHO IS NOT THERE, AND WHETHER
- 3 THEY'RE DUPLICATING ASSISTANTS TO THE ASSISTANT TO THE
- 4 ASSISTANT. I DON'T KNOW. SO CONSEQUENTLY, I'M GOING TO ASK IN
- 5 SUPPORT OF MY MOTION, AND THE QUICKER YOU GET BACK WITH THE
- 6 REPORT, THE QUICKER WE CAN RESOLVE IT, BUT AT LEAST THE CUT OR
- 7 LACK OF APPROPRIATION FOR THAT AMOUNT WILL STAND.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION, WE CAN HAVE
- 10 ROLL CALL THEN. IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED
- 11 BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE YOU GO TO ROLL CALL, I JUST WANT TO
- 14 ASK ON THE QUESTION OF THE CUTS THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 15 REFERENCED, THERE ARE OTHER CUTS THAT YOUR DEPARTMENT HAS
- 16 TAKEN AS A RESULT OF THE REALIGNMENT CUTS. CORRECT?

17

18 **JOHN FRIEDMAN:** CORRECT.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE ALIGNMENT SHORTFALL. IF YOU -- I HAVE IT
- 21 HERE, BUT I CAN'T READ MY OWN HANDWRITING. REMIND ME WHAT THE
- 22 REALIGNMENT SHORTFALL IS AS IT'S NOW PROJECTED.

23

24 >JOHN FRIEDMAN: ON AN ANNUALIZED BASIS, ABOUT \$10 MILLION.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NOW, ON TOP OF THAT, YOU'RE BEING ASKED --1 ON TOP OF THAT, YOU DID A 2.9%? 2 3 JOHN FRIEDMAN: RIGHT, IT WAS A 3%, 2.9% AND THIS ADDITIONAL 4 2%. 5 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND NOW AN ADDITIONAL 2%. 8 JOHN FRIEDMAN: AND A CUT THE YEAR PRIOR. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DOES THE 10 MILLION REPRESENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF YOUR BUDGET? 12 13 JOHN FRIEDMAN: IN TERMS OF THE FLEXIBLE, I MENTIONED WE HAVE 14 ABOUT \$175 MILLION. 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE NONFEE SUPPORTED PART OF --17 18 19 JOHN FRIEDMAN: THE NONFEE SUPPORTED, THAT BASE IS \$90 MILLION, 20 SO IT'S 10%. 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT THE \$9 MILLION OUT OF THE 90--23

JOHN FRIEDMAN: WE'D HAVE TO APPLY IT TO A SMALLER BASE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT'S 10% PLUS 2.9%. SO YOU HAVE TAKEN
- 2 NOT A 2.9% HIT, BUT A 13% HIT.

3

- 4 JOHN FRIEDMAN: CORRECT. IF ASKED TO SOLVE THE REALIGNMENT
- 5 ENTIRELY, CORRECT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND I THINK THAT'S THE POINT THAT'S BEING
- 8 MISSED HERE, I THINK. I THINK THAT'S WHAT -- I WISH THAT THIS
- 9 HAD COME TO OUR ATTENTION BEFORE TODAY, BUT--

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. THAT'S THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW. BUT YOU DON'T MAKE THAT POINT BY --
- 14 I DON'T MAKE THAT POINT BY TRYING TO PUNISH THE ORGANIZATION
- 15 AND OUR CLIENTS, BUT I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN --
- 16 IT'S NOT AS THOUGH THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS NOT TAKEN
- 17 ITS HIT. EVEN IF WE HAD HAD ZERO NET COUNTY COST CUTS, THEY
- 18 WOULD STILL BE A 10% CUT IN THE FLEXIBLE PART OF THE NONFEE
- 19 SUPPORTED OF THEIR -- THE CONTROLLABLE PART OF THEIR BUDGET.
- 20 SO I REALLY THINK -- I'M GOING TO VOTE "NO" ON THE SUBSTITUTE.
- 21 AND IF IT GOES DOWN, I'M GOING TO MOVE THAT -- IT'S MY INTENT
- 22 TO MOVE THE AUGUST 4TH DATE UP A WEEK TO THE END OF JULY SO
- 23 THAT -- WHICH IS FOUR WEEKS FROM NOW OR SO, FOUR OR FIVE
- 24 WEEKS. AND I THINK THAT'S A REASONABLE APPROACH TO THIS. I
- 25 JUST THINK WE OUGHT TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF EVERYTHING HERE.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THIS MATTER WAS DISCUSSED BOTH WITH THE HEALTH
- 5 DEPUTIES AND THE BUDGET DEPUTIES. THERE ARE OFFICES, WHEN WE
- 6 LAID OUT SOME OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE CUTS, AND MAYBE NOT
- 7 ALL, BUT A LOT OF THEM, WE'VE HEARD VERY SPECIFICALLY AND
- 8 EXPLICITLY FROM OFFICES THAT OF ANY DEPARTMENT, THE PUBLIC
- 9 HEALTH DEPARTMENT, BECAUSE THIS IS ONE OF THE FEW DEPARTMENTS
- 10 THAT HAS TO TAKE TWO LEVELS OF CUTS. IT HAS TO BE CUT BECAUSE
- 11 OUR LOCAL REVENUES ARE DROPPING BUT ALSO HAS BEEN CUT BECAUSE
- 12 OF WHAT'S HAPPENING AT THE STATE LEVEL. AND BECAUSE OF THAT,
- 13 WE HEARD FROM BOARD STAFF THAT THIS IS ONE DEPARTMENT WHERE WE
- 14 SHOULD TRY TO DO WHATEVER POSSIBLE TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT ON
- 15 PUBLIC HEALTH AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO. THIS DID SEE
- 16 THE LIGHT OF DAY WITH A LOT OF FOLKS.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, WHAT DIDN'T SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY WAS
- 19 THAT YOU HEARD WHAT THE DEPUTIES WERE SAYING. AND THAT'S WHAT
- 20 IS CREATING SOME OF THE PROBLEM HERE. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN NICE
- 21 FOR SOMEBODY TO SAY YOU GUYS HAVE A POINT, WE'RE GOING TO
- 22 RECOMMEND "X" AND THEN HAVE EVERYBODY HAVE A WEEK TO MULL IT
- 23 OVER AND THEN RECOMMEND "X." INSTEAD, THEY VENTED AND NOTHING
- 24 HAPPENED UNTIL NOW. AND I'M NOT BLAMING ANYBODY, YOU GUYS ARE
- 25 UNDER A LOT OF PRESSURE, AND I APPRECIATE THAT, BUT THAT'S



- 1 PART OF THE PROBLEM WE HAVE HERE. I'M OVER THAT. I THINK WE
- 2 OUGHT TO KNOW WHAT WE'RE DOING BEFORE WE DO IT. AND I HONESTLY
- 3 DON'T KNOW WHY IT HAS TO TAKE FOUR OR FIVE OR SIX WEEKS TO DO
- 4 IT, MR. FRIEDMAN.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IF YOU KNOW YOU'VE GOT A PROBLEM, YOU
- 7 KNOW?

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW QUICKLY CAN YOU ADDRESS THAT?

10

- 11 JOHN FRIEDMAN: WELL, WE HAVE PLANS THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE
- 12 REVIEWING THIS WEDNESDAY, AND WE NEED TO DEVELOP THOSE PLANS
- 13 AND PUT THEM INTO A PACKAGE THAT WE CAN WORK WITH THE C.E.O.
- 14 AND YOUR OFFICES SO YOU CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT THOSE POTENTIAL
- 15 REDUCTIONS ARE.

16

17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS.

- 21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK WE'VE TRIED MIGHTILY TO UNDERSTAND
- 22 AND/OR RESOLVE THE ISSUES. AND OBVIOUSLY THERE IS SOME
- 23 DIFFICULTY IN RECONCILING THE VARIOUS POINTS OF VIEW THAT HAVE
- 24 BEEN ARTICULATED. I WONDER IF, UNDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES, IS IT
- 25 NOT APPROPRIATE FOR US TO DO A LITTLE BIT MORE IN TERMS OF



- 1 FACT-GATHERING AND UNDERSTANDING WHAT IT IS BEFORE US. MORE
- 2 THAN ONE NUMBER SAID THEY WISH THEY HAD, HOPED OR WOULD HAVE
- 3 WISHED THAT THEY WOULD HAVE GOTTEN A BETTER GRASP OF THIS. I'M
- 4 RELUCTANT TO MAKE A DECISION ABSENT FEELING ADEQUATELY
- 5 INFORMED. THEREFORE A CONTINUANCE, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THAT
- 6 APPROPRIATE? IF SO, I WOULD BE INCLINED TO DO THAT SO THAT WE
- 7 ARE NOT MAKING A DECISION THAT WE WOULD OTHERWISE HOPE TO
- 8 MAKE. IT SEEMS THAT WE ARE A LITTLE STUCK AT THAT POINT. I'M
- 9 HEARING VARYING POINTS OF VIEW HERE, AT LEAST THREE OR FOUR.
- 10 IN SUCH A SITUATION, I THINK WE MIGHT -- I WANT TO DO A BIT
- 11 MORE DUE DILIGENCE. AND IF THAT'S IN ORDER, THE INIMITABLE
- 12 WISDOM OF THE CHAIR MIGHT FIND US A PATH TOWARD THAT.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH, THE CHAIR HAS A LOT OF WISDOM.

16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YEAH. INIMITABLE THOUGH.

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS INEVITABLE.

20 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: NO, NOT INEVITABLE, INIMITABLE.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH. ANYWAY, HERE'S THE SITUATION.
- 23 OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE A BUDGET MEETING TOMORROW, IF WE NEED IT,
- 24 BUT THEY'RE NOT MEETING UNTIL WEDNESDAY.

25

13

15

17

19



1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: RIGHT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WE CAN CONTINUE THIS PARTICULAR PIECE FOR
- 4 A WEEK. OR WE CAN --

5

6 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO MOVED. THAT'S WISDOM.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WISDOM.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OR WE CAN REJECT SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S
- 11 MOTION AND SUPPORT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION TO REPORT
- 12 BACK ON THE LAST MEETING IN JULY. THAT'S THE OTHER OPTION. IF
- 13 YOU WOULD PREFER JUST THE ONE WEEK, THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN
- 14 THAT.

15

16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO MOVED.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUBSTITUTE MOTION TO CONTINUE THIS
- 19 PIECE, THE PUBLIC HEALTH PIECE, FOR ONE WEEK AND COME BACK.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T OBJECT.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT OKAY? WITH ONE OBJECTION.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I DO NOT OBJECT.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH, YOU DO NOT OBJECT. OKAY. YOU KNOW,

3 IF YOU KNOW ALL OF A SUDDEN TODAY--

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: BUT WE DO HOPE TO GET SOME INFORMATION.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT, IF YOU HAVE A PROBLEM TODAY, THEN
- 8 YOU MUST HAVE A SOLUTION FOR THAT PROBLEM THAT YOU CAN GET US
- 9 BY NEXT WEEK, BECAUSE -- WAIT A MINUTE. TO BE FAIR, YOU'RE NO
- 10 DIFFERENT THAN ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT. EVERY OTHER DEPARTMENT'S
- 11 FIGHTING THE SAME THING, SINGLE CUTS, DOUBLE CUTS, TRIPLE
- 12 CUTS, TRIPLE FLIPS. YOU KNOW, YOU TAKE IT. EVERY DEPARTMENT
- 13 HAS THE SAME PROBLEM.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: AND THERE ARE OTHER DEPARTMENTS WITH REALIGNMENT
- 16 ISSUES AS WELL.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ABSOLUTELY. SO WE'LL CONTINUE THE ITEM
- 19 ONE WEEK.

- 21 **DEBBIE LAZZARI:** CAN I CLARIFY? SO WHAT WE WOULD DO ON OUR SIDE
- 22 IS, FROM A BUDGET STANDPOINT, WE WOULD LEAVE THE DOLLARS
- 23 EXACTLY THE WAY THEY WERE. FROM A PUBLIC HEALTH STANDPOINT,
- 24 THEY WOULD DELAY IMPLEMENTING ANYTHING UNTIL THE BOARD DECIDES
- 25 WHAT ACTION.



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT. EXACTLY. 3 DEBBIE LAZZARI: OKAY. 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY? I TOTALLY AGREE. THE SUBSTITUTE 6 7 MOTION--8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE A MOTION TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEALTH PIECE FOR ONE WEEK. THERE'S BEEN A SECOND. THERE'S BEEN 10 11 NO OBJECTION. SO ORDERED. OKAY? 12 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NOW TO FINISH NUMBER 4. WITH THAT, I BELIEVE WE ALREADY FINISHED NUMBER 5. BUT TO FINISH NUMBER 4, I NEED 14 TO ASK FOR A CLARIFICATION PLEASE. 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: EXCUSE ME. SHEILA, WAS THERE ANY OTHER 17 18 REQUESTS YOU MADE THAT WE NEED TO TAKE ACTION ON? 19 20 SHEILA SHIMA: NO. THANK YOU. 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH BOY, I BET YOU'RE GLAD YOU WANTED TO 22

24

23

COME DOWN HERE AGAIN. ALL RIGHT.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ME, TOO. I NEED -- I'M GOING TO DO BOTH RIGHT
- 2 NOW. BUT BEFORE WE FINISH BOTH 5 AND NUMBER 4, I HAVE A
- 3 CLARIFICATION, PLEASE. THERE'S A MOTION BY SUPERVISOR
- 4 ANTONOVICH THAT DEALT WITH INCREASES IN INDIGENT DEFENSE
- 5 COSTS. THE MOTION WAS TO TRANSFER 15.7 MILLION OF THE CHILD
- 6 COURT'S OPERATION BUDGET INTO THE P.F.U. CAN WE CHANGE THAT
- 7 FIGURE, PLEASE, TO 14,369,000? JUST A CORRECTION,
- 8 CLARIFICATION.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WE'VE CHANGED THAT NOW. 14.369.
- 11 RIGHT?

12

13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO CHANGE?

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND 22 CENTS. AND WITH THAT CHANGE, COULD I
- 18 ASK FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE BOTH NUMBER 4 AND NUMBER 5,
- 19 PLEASE?

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE NUMBER 4
- 22 AND 5? MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, SECONDED BY
- 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ON NUMBER 6, PLEASE, THIS OUR RECOMMENDATION
- 2 TO AMEND THE COUNTY CODE, ITS CLASSIFICATION ALLOCATIONS FOR
- 3 POSITIONS ALREADY APPROVED BY THE BOARD IN IN THE PROPOSED
- 4 BUDGET.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CHAIR WILL MOVE THAT. SECONDED BY
- 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 7 IS OUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE
- 10 APPROVED CAPITAL PROJECTS APPROPRIATION FOR NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CHAIR WILL MOVE THAT. SECONDED BY
- 13 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM
- 14 NUMBER 8. I WOULD MOVE THAT WE CONTINUE THE DISCUSSION ON ITEM
- 15 NUMBER 8 TO THE SEPTEMBER SUPPLEMENTAL DISCUSSION.

16

17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'LL SECOND THAT, MR. CHAIR.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SEPTEMBER SUPPLEMENTAL. WE DON'T KNOW
- 20 WHAT THAT DATE'S GOING TO BE, WHETHER IT'S GOING TO BE THE
- 21 15TH OR THE 22ND. BUT WE'LL MOVE IT TO THE SEPTEMBER
- 22 SUPPLEMENTAL. AND YOU'LL SECOND THAT, SIR?

- 24 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'M PREPARED TO SECOND THAT. THERE'S A
- 25 MOTION I WANT TO READ IN, AND IT CAN FOLLOW ALONG THAT. I



- 1 DON'T EVEN HAVE TO READ IT IN UNLESS YOU DEEM IT APPROPRIATE.
- 2 I'LL CIRCULATE IT. IT'S ESSENTIALLY TO CAUSE THE C.E.O. TO GET
- 3 BUSY AND HELP US WHEN IT COMES BACK FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.
- 4 IS THAT ACCEPTABLE ON THE G.R. MATTER AND THE REFORMS THAT
- 5 THEY PROPOSED.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN WE SEE THE MOTION?

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: UNTIL SEPTEMBER SUPPLEMENTAL.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YES, EITHER WAY, SUPPLEMENTAL WILL GO THIS
- 12 WAY OR THIS TUCKS INTO THE SUPPLEMENTAL.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE A MOTION THE FLOOR. CONTINUE
- 15 DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM UNTIL THE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCUSSION IN
- 16 SEPTEMBER ALONG WITH SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS-- THIS WOULD
- 17 TAKE PLACE IN SEPTEMBER AS WELL. IT'S A MOTION.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE MOTION IS NOT BEING APPROVED TODAY,
- 20 IT'S BEING FOLDED IN FOR CONSIDERATION.

21

22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: CONTINUANCE.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 9, PLEASE, REQUEST APPROVAL TO USE
- 2 COMMERCIAL PAPER FOR THREE PROJECTS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED. OKAY. CHAIR WILL MOVE THAT.
- 5 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 6 ORDERED.

7

- 8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NOW, THE NEXT ARE -- THESE ARE ITEMS THAT WERE
- 9 DISCUSSED IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS WHICH WERE DEFERRED TO BUDGET
- 10 DELIBERATIONS. NUMBER 10 IS A REPORT BY OUR OFFICE FOR A PLAN
- 11 TO SPEND UTILITY USERS' TAX REVENUE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- 12 INTENT OF MEASURE U AS REQUESTED BY SUPERVISORS ANTONOVICH AND
- 13 MOLINA. THIS SPEAKS TO A RECEIVE AND FILE ITEM.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ITEM ARE YOU ON, BILL?

16

17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 10, PLEASE.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY.

20

21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: CAN WE MOVE NUMBER 10?

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES. MAYBE. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 24 ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA.



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE, PLEASE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RECEIVE AND FILE, ITEM NUMBER 10. SO
- 4 ORDERED.

5

- 6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 11 IS WORKING WITH THE ACTING DIRECTOR
- 7 OF REGIONAL PLANNING TO DETERMINE WHAT OTHER FUNDING OPTIONS
- 8 ARE AVAILABLE FOR THE HEARING EXAMINER PROGRAM AS REQUESTED BY
- 9 SUPERVISORS ANTONOVICH AND RIDLEY-THOMAS. THIS IS ANOTHER
- 10 RECEIVE AND FILE, PLEASE.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY
- 13 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NUMBER 12, IT'S A JOINT REPORT BY OUR OFFICE
- 16 AND DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND REC ON THE FOLLOWING ITEMS. AND IT
- 17 SPEAKS TO FUNDS FOR THE ADULT AND SENIOR RECREATION AND
- 18 NATURAL AREAS AND ALSO OF RESTORING THE SENIOR PROGRAMS
- 19 THROUGH WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR
- 20 SERVICES. AND ALSO WHETHER OR NOT WE ESTABLISH NEW OR
- 21 INCREASE, COULD FULLY OR PARTIALLY RESTORE ALL THE
- 22 AFOREMENTIONED -- THIS IS A RECEIVE AND FILE.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 2 YAROSLAVSKY. CHAIR WILL SECOND TO RECEIVE AND FILE. SO
- 3 ORDERED.

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: 13 IS A REPORT BY OUR OFFICE. THIS IS ANOTHER
- 6 RECEIVE AND FILE, PLEASE, WORKING WITH PARKS AND REC AND
- 7 COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES ON THE FEASIBILITY OF UTILIZING
- 8 WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT YOUTH GRANTS FROM THE A.R.R.A.
- 9 PROGRAM TO CREATE AN ALTERNATIVE YOUTH PROGRAMMING IN REC AND
- 10 PARKS. RECEIVE AND FILE.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY
- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. RECEIVE
- 14 AND FILE, ITEM NUMBER 13.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE LAST ITEMS, NOW THAT WE'VE APPROVED THE
- 17 BUDGET, STARTING WITH 14, APPROVE THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT IN
- 18 THE TOTAL ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS, SUBJECT TO LIMITATION FOR
- 19 FISCAL YEAR 2009.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE APPROVAL.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: 15, APPROVE THE REVISED FIGURES IN THE FINAL
- 2 BUDGET.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, I BELIEVE WE NEED TO GO BACK
- 5 TO ITEM NUMBER 4 BEFORE WE PROCEED. THERE IS A COUPLE OF ITEMS
- 6 THAT WEREN'T --

7

8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE APPROVED 4.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE WERE A COUPLE OF ITEMS THAT DID NOT
- 11 GET APPROVAL, THAT WERE LEFT OFF.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHAT? POINT THEM OUT TO US, PLEASE.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SURE.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO WE'RE NOT CONFUSED.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: JUST BROUGHT IT TO THE C.E.O.'S ATTENTION.

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THEY SAID THAT THERE WAS NO MOTION, DEALING
- 22 WITH THE MERGER OF THE L.A. COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING--

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES, THERE WAS. THAT WAS BY YAROSLAVSKY.



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE NEXT ONE DOWN.

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NUMBER 6?

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THIS IS TO AUTHORIZE OUR OFFICE TO EXECUTE
- 6 FUNDING AGREEMENTS TO PROVIDE GRANTS FOR THE PLANNING --

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY
- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU.

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I THOUGHT WE HAD A MOTION FOR THE A.A.A.
- 14 THING.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE DID HAVE. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND
- 17 SUPERVISOR KNABE HAD A JOINT MOTION. --

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE DIDN'T HAVE A MOTION ON NUMBER 6.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE RIGHT. YOU'RE RIGHT.

22

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: 15 NOW. APPROVE THE FINAL FIGURES, THE REVISED
- 24 FIGURES FOR OUR FINAL BUDGET.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS.
- 2 THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NEXT IS SOME MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS ON 16. I'M
- 5 NOT SURE IF YOU WANT ME TO READ EVERYTHING, BUT IT'S JUST SOME
- 6 HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS, PLEASE.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD JUST ASK THE MEMBERS IF THEY HAD
- 9 ANY COMMENTS ON ITEM 16. IT OBVIOUSLY IS A NUMBER OF THINGS
- 10 THAT WE'VE ALL BEEN THROUGH, IF ANYTHING THEY WANTED POINT OUT
- 11 OR PULL OUT OF ITEM NUMBER 16.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T KNOW WHAT ITEM 16 IS.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, HER BUDGET.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. OKAY. WITH THAT, THEN,
- 18 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: LAST IS 17. THIS IS TO ADOPT A FISCAL YEAR
- 22 BUDGET RESOLUTION AS PRESENTED BY THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL YOURS.



- 1 JOHN NAIMO: GOOD AFTERNOON. JOHN NAIMO, ASSISTANT AUDITOR-
- 2 CONTROLLER. OUR OFFICE HAS ENTERED THE CHANGES TO THE BUDGET.
- 3 THE BUDGET IS IN BALANCE AND IT'S READY FOR THE BOARD'S
- 4 CONSIDERATION.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S ACTUALLY ON TIME AGAIN, HUH? WOW.
- 7 WHAT A UNIQUE CONCEPT.

8

9 JOHN NAIMO: IT'S EARLY.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S EARLY. OH MY GOSH. WE DIDN'T HAVE
- 12 TO STOP A CLOCK OR ANYTHING. THIS IS THE BUDGET.

13

14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NUMBER 17.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO THIS IS THE BUDGET APPROVAL
- 17 COMPLETELY?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THEN I'M GOING TO ASK FOR
- 22 RECONSIDERATION ON AN ITEM. AND I THINK IT'S ITEM NUMBER 8.
- 23 AND I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE AS TO WHY WE NEED
- 24 TO CONTINUE IT. SO DO I FIRST MOVE RECONSIDERATION? IS THAT
- 25 THE APPROPRIATE WAY? 8? SACHI?

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES? (OFF-MIKE). 3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YES. WE GOT SOME NEW INFORMATION. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: MOVE TO CONTINUE IT. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING FOR, 6 7 RECONSIDERATION OF THE CONTINUANCE TO DISCUSS IT NOW. IS THERE 8 A SECOND? 9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SURE. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: ANY OBJECTION? 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS IT? FOR RECONSIDERATION? 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SHE JUST MOVED FOR RECONSIDERATION. SHE ASKED FOR RECONSIDERATION OF NUMBER 8. INSTEAD OF CONTINUING 17 18 IT TO SEPTEMBER. 19 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, WE CAN STILL CONTINUE IT. SHE JUST WANTS TO ASK WHY. 21 22 23 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT WE WILL. I'M HOPING.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 8, THE ONLY ITEM
- 2 BEING RECONSIDERED.

3

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: RIGHT. MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN THE DEPUTY C.E.O.
- 5 COME AND CLARIFY A MATTER THAT HAS COME TO OUR ATTENTION IN
- 6 TERMS OF THE POTENTIAL SAVINGS. THAT'S THE SPECIFIC POINT
- 7 UNDER CONSIDER HERE -- CONSIDERATION THAT WE WERE NOT
- 8 COGNIZANT OF AS A BOARD.

9

- 10 MIGUEL SANTANA: MIGUEL SANTANA, DEPUTY C.E.O. THE
- 11 RECOMMENDATION BEFORE YOU PROJECTS A \$21 MILLION SAVINGS TO
- 12 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES BECAUSE OF THE FIVE
- 13 INITIATIVES THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING. MOVING PEOPLE FROM G.R.
- 14 TO S.S.I., AS WELL AS HELPING STRENGTHEN THE WORK COMPONENT
- 15 FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE TEMPORARILY DISABLED, AND SO
- 16 THOSE SAVINGS START ONCE WE IMPLEMENT THAT PROGRAM. IN
- 17 ADDITION TO THAT, WE RECENTLY JUST, LAST WEEK, DID AN INITIAL
- 18 FINDINGS OF HOW MUCH INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ON G.R. COST THE
- 19 SYSTEM, AND THE FORMAL REPORT IS BEING COMPLETED IN THE NEXT
- 20 FEW WEEKS. BUT ON A CURSORY LOOK AT IT, THE POTENTIAL SAVINGS
- 21 TO THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE IS CLOSE TO \$50 MILLION IF YOU PUT
- 22 4,000 PEOPLE FROM G.R. TO S.S.I. MOST OF THOSE SAVINGS ARE IN
- 23 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES.



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, I THINK THE MATERIAL CONCERN
- 2 HERE IS IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT WE ARE DESPERATELY TRYING TO
- 3 BALANCE. THE OVERALL MOTION TO CONTINUE TO SEPTEMBER MAKES
- 4 SENSE ABSENT THE INFORMATION THAT THERE ARE SAVINGS THAT ARE
- 5 IN PLAY. AND SO IS THE REQUEST TO REVIEW THIS IN A WEEK?

6

7 MIGUEL SANTANA: NO. I THINK THE MOTION WAS TO CONTINUE IT.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MY MOTION WAS TO CONTINUE IT TO THE
- 10 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCUSSIONS IN SEPTEMBER.

11

- 12 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: RIGHT, BUT THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, CONTINUED
- 13 FOR A WEEK. FOR WHAT PURPOSE AGAIN?

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE'S NO MOTION TO CONTINUE IT A WEEK.

16

17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IT'S CONSIDERATION HERE.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH. WHO MADE THAT?

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: LET ME UNDERSTAND THIS CORRECTLY. AS I
- 22 UNDERSTAND, THE MOTION WAS TO CONTINUE THIS UNTIL SEPTEMBER.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ITEM NUMBER 8, YES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT. AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE WAY
- 2 THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AND CARRIED OUT, THERE IS A
- 3 HUGE AMOUNT OF POTENTIAL SAVINGS UPON IMPLEMENTATION. NOW,
- 4 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS PUT IN A MOTION THAT INSTEAD OF
- 5 CREATING NEW POSITIONS, WHICH I, TOO, AM OPPOSED TO, THEN
- 6 LET'S ABSORB THEM WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT. AND SO TO FIND A WAY
- 7 TO DO THAT, I COULD SEE THAT BEING DISCUSSED. BUT WHEN YOU'RE
- 8 CONTINUING THE WHOLE THING FROM EVER STARTING, THAT'S THE
- 9 POINT I DON'T UNDERSTAND, BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME TIME
- 10 TO RATCHET THIS UP. YOU KNOW, THE ISSUES OF G.R. ARE SO
- 11 COMPLEX. I WAS HERE WHEN WE DID THE LAST CUT AND WHERE WE
- 12 SUPPLEMENTED AND DID A LOT OF THE HEALTH NONSENSE THAT SORT OF
- 13 GOES ON WITH IT, AND I'VE ALSO BEEN FROM WHEN I GOT HERE IN
- 14 1991 ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW, WHY WE DON'T QUALIFY MORE
- 15 PEOPLE FOR S.S.I. A BIG PART OF IT IS THE INCAPABILITY OF THE
- 16 CLIENT TO GET THEMSELVES TO THAT LEVEL. WE NEED TO DO A WHOLE
- 17 PROACTIVE SET OF OUTREACH BECAUSE IT REQUIRES -- USUALLY YOU
- 18 DON'T GET APPROVED THE FIRST TIME. IT'S A VERY EXTENSIVE SET
- 19 OF INOUIRIES AND INFORMATION THAT YOU HAVE TO PUT TOGETHER IN
- 20 ORDER TO PRESENT TO THE STATE THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE QUALIFIED.
- 21 SO CONSEQUENTLY, YOU HAVE PEOPLE WALKING AROUND WITH ALL KINDS
- 22 OF ISSUES THAT ARE LIVING ON WHAT? 221? IS THAT HOW MUCH THEY
- 23 GET?

24

25 MIGUEL SANTANA: \$221, YES.



- 2 SUP. MOLINA: \$221. I MEAN, COME ON. WE ALL KNOW THAT DOESN'T
- 3 BUY YOU A WHOLE LOT OF ANYTHING. AND WITHOUT ANY CONNECTION TO
- 4 REAL SERVICES. SO YOU KIND OF, YOU KNOW, YOU GET WHATEVER YOU
- 5 CAN GET WHEREVER YOU CAN GET IT, YOU TAKE A LITTLE HERE, YOU
- 6 GO AND DROP OFF HERE, YOU GO SLEEP THERE THIS NIGHT. SO HERE
- 7 WE'RE LOOKING AT A COMPREHENSIVE POTENTIAL APPROACH THAT I
- 8 THINK WITH THE RIGHT, AND IT LOOKS LIKE YOU'VE DONE SOME OF
- 9 THIS, WITH THE RIGHT KIND OF SUPPORTIVE SERVICES, NOT ONLY ARE
- 10 YOU CREATING POTENTIALLY A SET OF SAVINGS. BUT MORE
- 11 SIGNIFICANTLY, YOU'RE CREATING A REAL CONNECTION BY GETTING
- 12 AND BEING, CREATING A TEAM, A STAFF OF PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO
- 13 ASSIST THESE FOLKS TO QUALIFY FOR A MUCH MORE EXPANSIVE SET OF
- 14 SERVICES. CORRECT? SO IT SEEMS LIKE WE WOULD BE HELPING
- 15 OURSELVES FINANCIALLY, WE WOULD BE HELPING THE CLIENT IN AN
- 16 UNBELIEVABLE MANNER. WE WOULD, AT THE END OF THE DAY, ALSO BE
- 17 HELPING ALL OF THE OTHER SERVICES THAT THESE -- THAT ARE KNOWN
- 18 AS FREOUENT FLIERS GO AROUND TO ALL OF THESE OTHERS THAT COST
- 19 US AND ALL DEPARTMENTS, WHETHER THEY'RE BEING PROSECUTED FOR
- 20 SOMETHING, SPENDING SOME TIME IN OUR JAILS, GOING TO THE
- 21 EMERGENCY ROOM, ALL KINDS OF ISSUES WHICH, YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES
- 22 WHEN THEY RUN OUT OF THAT 221, FOLKS ARE JUST PRETTY DESPERATE
- 23 TO GET A MEAL SOMETIMES AND SOMETIMES THAT'S THE ONLY WAY TO
- 24 GET THERE. SO I JUST THINK THAT -- I APPRECIATE, YOU KNOW,
- 25 WANTING TO GATHER MORE INFORMATION. BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT



- 1 THERE'S A NEED TO DELAY THIS WHEN IT HAS SO MANY POSITIVE
- 2 ASPECTS. COULD PEOPLE NOT GET TOGETHER IN THE NEXT WEEK OR SO
- 3 AND RAISE ALL THEIR ISSUES AND RESOLVE ALL THAT? I MEAN, WHAT
- 4 WOULD BE -- I MEAN, THE EXPECTATIONS ARE THERE. JUST EVEN IF
- 5 YOU JUST DID IT IN MAKE BELIEVE, AND THAT IS IF YOU CAN GET A
- 6 G.R. RECIPIENT QUALIFIED FOR S.S.I., THAT'S A BIG ONE. IT
- 7 DOESN'T HAPPEN AUTOMATICALLY. IT'S A BIG ONE. THE SAVINGS FOR
- 8 THAT PERSON NOW BEING ON S.S.I. GIVES THEM A WHOLE ARRAY OF
- 9 SERVICES THAT YOU'RE NOT PAYING FOR, THE EMERGENCY ROOM, OR
- 10 ANYTHING. SO I'M CONCERNED ABOUT DELAYING THIS PROGRAM WHEN
- 11 YOU CAN START KICKING IT INTO GEAR. I APPRECIATE SUPERVISOR
- 12 RIDLEY-THOMAS'S EFFORT TO SAY, INSTEAD OF CREATING NEW
- 13 POSITIONS, LET'S FIND A WAY TO FIND IT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT.
- 14 THAT MIGHT TAKE YOU A LITTLE WHILE, BUT I JUST THINK BY THIS
- 15 BOARD NOT SIGNALING THAT WE WANT AN APPROACH LIKE THAT, I
- 16 CAN'T IMAGINE WHAT IT IS THAT WE NEED TO KNOW. NOW, ARE THERE
- 17 GOING TO BE BETTER OUTCOMES? ABSOLUTELY, IF YOU CAN GET IT
- 18 DONE. ABSOLUTELY. BECAUSE THERE'S NO POSSIBLE FAILURE. OTHER
- 19 THAN AT THE END OF THE DAY THEY'RE JUST NOT GOING TO GET THE
- 20 221 CHECK, THEY'RE GOING TO GET MUCH MORE THAN THAT. NOW,
- 21 IMPLEMENTING THIS PROGRAM I THINK IS GOING TO BE A TOUGH ONE.
- 22 THERE ARE A LOT OF ATTITUDES OUT THERE THAT NEED TO CHANGE.
- 23 THIS HAS BECOME -- THIS 221 HAS BECOME AN ENTITLEMENT PROGRAM.
- 24 PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GET ANGRY, "WHERE IS MY CHECK, I NEED
- 25 THIS." BUT WE REALLY ARE TRYING TO GET THEM CONNECTED TO A



- 1 DIFFERENT SET OF SERVICES. AND THESE PEOPLE, AGAIN, THEY NEED
- 2 TO BE CONNECTED. I DON'T WANT TO SAY THEY NEED A CARETAKER OR
- 3 CAREGIVER, BUT IN SOME INSTANCES, THEY NEED GUIDANCE, AND SO
- 4 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS CREATE A WHOLE SET OF GUIDANCE
- 5 COUNSELORS FOR SOME OF THESE FOLKS, SO I WOULD LIKE TO
- 6 RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT WE ACCEPT SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS'S
- 7 MOTION THAT FIRST SAYS IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM BUT DO IT WITHIN
- 8 THE FRAMEWORK OF THE PEOPLE -- LOOKING FOR NEW PEOPLE INSTEAD
- 9 OF CREATING NEW POSITIONS. AND THEN MOVE FORWARD ON THIS. NOW,
- 10 WHAT IS IT THAT PEOPLE WANT TO KNOW? WHAT ARE OUTSTANDING
- 11 QUESTIONS THAT YOU THINK PREVENTS US FROM MAKING THIS DECISION
- 12 TODAY?

13

- 14 MIGUEL SANTANA: I THINK A VERY LEGITIMATE QUESTIONS THAT WAS
- 15 RAISED IS THE ADDITIONAL POSITIONS. AND SO WE LOOKED INTO HOW
- 16 WE COULD ABSORB THESE POSITIONS, NOT JUST IN D.P.S.S., BUT THE
- 17 OTHER DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED. AND CAN SUPPORT SUPERVISOR MARK
- 18 RIDLEY-THOMAS'S MOTION TO NOT ADD ANY ADDITIONAL POSITIONS BUT
- 19 RATHER FILL VACANT POSITIONS OR ORDINANCE POSITIONS THAT EXIST
- 20 WITHIN THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN OR CANNOT?

23

24 MIGUEL SANTANA: CAN. WE CAN DO THAT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DIDN'T YOU PROPOSE IT IN THE FIRST
- 2 PLACE?

3

- 4 MIGUEL SANTANA: BECAUSE YOUR OFFICES RAISED A GOOD ISSUE, WHY
- 5 NOT ABSORB THEM? AND WE LOOKED INTO THAT AND WE FOUND THAT
- 6 THERE WAS ENOUGH VACANCIES WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 7 SOCIAL SERVICES AS WELL AS THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS, THAT WE CAN.
- 8 SO IT WAS A GOOD ISSUE RAISED BY YOUR OFFICE AND SEVERAL
- 9 OTHERS.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK A QUESTION?

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD. I HAVE A COUPLE MYSELF.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NUMBER ONE, THIS IS NOT READY FOR PRIME
- 16 TIME, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. I DIDN'T SEE IT, THIS IS A
- 17 MAJOR REFORM, IF MAY BE AN EXCELLENT REFORM. BUT I'M NOT A
- 18 RUBBER STAMP FOR ANYBODY AND YOU DON'T DROP SOMETHING LIKE
- 19 THIS, IT'S KIND OF A MAJOR REALIGNMENT OF OUR OWN GENERAL
- 20 RELIEF PROGRAM ON FRIDAY OR THURSDAY AND EXPECT ME TO VOTE FOR
- 21 IT ON MONDAY. AND I HAVE TOO MANY QUESTIONS PERSONALLY, NOT TO
- 22 MENTION MY STAFF, ABOUT THIS PROGRAM AND HOW IT'S GOING TO BE
- 23 IMPLEMENTED. AND I'M NOT GOING TO GET INTO IT NOW. AND I'M NOT
- 24 GOING TO GET INTO IT IN A WEEK. MY STAFF HAS NOT HAD A CHANCE
- 25 TO GET INTO THIS. THE QUESTIONS YOU HAD FROM MY STAFF WERE AS



- 1 A RESULT OF A SPEED-READING OF THIS 60-PAGE OR WHATEVER IT WAS
- 2 PROPOSAL AND THEY SHOT OFF A BUNCH OF QUESTIONS. THEY DON'T
- 3 BEGIN TO RAISE THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN THIS FOR US.
- 4 NAMELY HOW -- THE GOAL AS STATED IS GREAT. I'D LIKE TO SEE US
- 5 GO AND BORROW \$21 MILLION IN MONEY BASED ON THE PROMISE THAT
- 6 THERE'S GOING TO BE \$21 MILLION IN SAVINGS. THERE MAY OR MAY
- 7 NOT. I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE WILL BASED ON THE WAY IT'S BEEN
- 8 STRUCTURED HERE. MAYBE IT WILL. BUT YOU CANNOT ASK A MEMBER OF
- 9 THE BOARD TO -- AT LEAST THIS MEMBER OF THE BOARD TO TAKE ON
- 10 FAITH THAT YOU -- NOT YOU PERSONALLY, BUT YOUR SHOP, IS THE
- 11 FONT OF ALL WISDOM, HAS WORKED THIS OUT SO WELL, SO QUIETLY
- 12 THAT NONE OF US KNEW ABOUT IT, AND THEN EXPECT US TO RUBBER
- 13 STAMP IT BECAUSE YOU SAY SO. I JUST WOULDN'T SPEND THE \$21
- 14 MILLION IN SAVINGS ALL IN ONE PLACE YET, MR. BROWNING. I WANT
- 15 TO SEE HOW YOU'RE GOING TO JUSTIFY, RATIONALIZE IT, JUSTIFY
- 16 IT, HOW OUR QUESTIONS ARE GOING TO BE ANSWERED, HOW YOU'RE
- 17 GOING TO REACH INTO ALL SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY OF THE
- 18 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THERE'S A WHOLE HOST OF THINGS, WHY
- 19 WE'RE DOING IT IN-HOUSE AND NOT USING OUR CONTRACTORS, WHO ARE
- 20 ALREADY DOING THIS VERY WORK. PROJECT 50 IS DOING THIS VERY
- 21 WORK, SIGNING UP G.R. PEOPLE FOR S.S.I. WHEN WE WANTED TO
- 22 EXPAND IT TO 500, HAD TO CONTINUE IT FOR 60 DAYS BECAUSE THERE
- 23 WERE SO MANY UNANSWERED QUESTIONS, AND THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL
- 24 REFORM OR REALIGNMENT OF THE G.R. PROGRAM. ITS MOTIVATION IS
- 25 GOOD, ITS OBJECTIVE IS SOUND, I JUST DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE'RE



- 1 GETTING -- WHERE YOU WANT TO GO WITH THIS, AND IF IT'S SO
- 2 GOOD, WHY NOT VET IT IN THE NORMAL WAY THAT YOU VET THINGS
- 3 AROUND HERE WITH THE FIVE POLICY MAKERS, GIVE THEM A CHANCE TO
- 4 -- AND THEIR STAFFS, TO RAISE QUESTIONS, TRY TO POKE HOLES IN
- 5 IT, YOU DEFEND IT, SEE HOW GOOD IT IS. ONE QUESTION FROM SOME
- 6 OF OUR STAFFS AND YOU ALREADY SAY YOU WERE GOING TO MAKE A
- 7 RECOMMENDATION TO MODIFY THE RECOMMENDATION TO HAVE TO HIRE
- 8 ADDITIONAL STAFF. THERE ARE PROBABLY 100 OTHER QUESTIONS. SO
- 9 ANYWAY, JUST AS MS. MOLINA IS UPSET THAT SHE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT
- 10 THE PUBLIC HEALTH, AS I WAS AS WELL, I WAS QUITE UPSET TO
- 11 LEARN ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL WHEN IT GOT DROPPED ON MY DESK
- 12 WITHOUT ANY -- MY STAFF DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT, I
- 13 DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT. AND I DO THINK WE NEED THE TIME
- 14 TO VET IT.

15

- 16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MAY I MAKE ANOTHER MOTION AND HAVE A
- 17 COMMENT, OR -- MR. CHAIR?

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S FINE. I HAD SOME OTHER QUESTIONS,
- 20 TOO.

21

22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: PLEASE PROCEED.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I MEAN, PART OF THIS PROCESS HERE IS I
- 25 RECEIVED -- WE TALKED ABOUT THE EARLIER ONE WHERE IT WAS TWO



- 1 YEARS LATE. I HAVE YET TO RECEIVE ON MY MOTION ON THE SAN
- 2 FRANCISCO PROGRAM, YOU KNOW, CARE NOT CASH KIND OF SITUATION.
- 3 SO THERE'S BEEN A NUMBER OF MOTIONS THAT RELATE TO THIS
- 4 PARTICULAR ITEM THAT HAVE NOT BEEN VETTED, THE REPORTS HAVE
- 5 NOT BEEN DELIVERED TO US. AND SO, I MEAN, I JUST -- I THINK IT
- 6 WOULD BE, IN ALL FAIRNESS, CONCEPTUALLY HERE, I THINK THAT WE
- 7 NEED TO CONTINUE THE ITEM A LOT MORE THAN A WEEK AND THE
- 8 APPROPRIATE TIME BECAUSE POTENTIAL COST ITEMS WOULD BE
- 9 SUPPLEMENTAL IN SEPTEMBER. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK YOU MAKE A GOOD POINT. BE REMINDED
- 12 OF THE FACT THAT THE ISSUE WAS READ IN FOR THE PURPOSES OF
- 13 GIVING THE APPROPRIATE STAFF DIRECTION AS IT RELATES TO
- 14 THINKING THIS PIECE THROUGH. IT DOES NOT INSTRUCT NOR DOES IT
- 15 SEEK TO CAUSE THE BOARD TO IMPLEMENT. I WOULD THINK THAT IF
- 16 THERE ARE COST SAVINGS TO BE REALIZED, THAT WE WOULD WANT TO -
- 17 NO ONE PAY ATTENTION TO THAT. THAT'S ESSENTIALLY WHY IT CAME
- 18 BACK FOR RECONSIDERATION. MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU TAKE NOTE OF THE
- 19 FACT THAT I SUPPORTED YOUR MOTION AND ESSENTIALLY SAID THAT
- 20 THIS MATTER BE SEEN IN THAT CONTEXT. IT'S CERTAINLY
- 21 APPROPRIATE IN MY MIND IF THERE ARE OTHER ITEMS TO BE
- 22 EVALUATED IN JUXTAPOSITION TO DO SO. YOU MAKE REFERENCE TO ONE
- 23 OF THE ITEMS THAT YOU HAVE ADVANCED. SEPTEMBER STRIKES ME AS
- 24 BEING MUCH LONGER THAN WHAT MIGHT BE REASONABLE IF WE CAN'T
- 25 EXHAUSTIVELY CONCLUDE, CERTAINLY THERE OUGHT TO BE A



- 1 PRELIMINARY FEEDBACK SESSION OR REPORT BACK NO MORE THAN THREE
- 2 WEEKS SO THAT IF THIS IS A REAL DISCUSSION ABOUT SAVINGS, WE
- 3 DO THAT. NOW, LET ME BE CLEAR. I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE
- 4 HAVE TO DEFINITIVELY MAKE A DETERMINATION AT THAT TIME,
- 5 ULTIMATELY IN SEPTEMBER. BUT A REPORT BACK MINIMALLY SO THAT
- 6 WE HAVE A SENSE OF CLEARING THE DECKS HERE. FOUR WEEKS IS FINE
- 7 SO THAT WE CAN BEGIN TO SAY THIS IS USEFUL OR NOT.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THAT'S FAIR.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AND AT THAT MATTER, YOUR ITEM CAN COME
- 12 FORWARD TOO.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S FAIR.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SECOND.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: REPORT BACK IN FOUR WEEKS, THEN.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: REPORT BACK.

21

22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YES.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ITEM NUMBER 8, FOUR WEEKS.



1 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT, WAIT, WAIT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S AN INTERIM, HE CALLED IT AN INTERIM --
- 4 OR INTERMEDIATE REPORT. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I ASK SOME QUESTIONS IN THAT REGARD? AGAIN, I
- 7 THINK THIS PROGRAM IS VERY VALUABLE. THERE IS NO DOUBT IF, IN
- 8 FACT, IT HASN'T BEEN VETTED THROUGH THE PROCESS, LET'S LET
- 9 THAT HAPPEN. BUT LET'S FIND A MIDDLE GROUND TO SEE WHAT IT
- 10 WOULD TAKE TO GET IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS PROGRAM. I'M WORRIED
- 11 ABOUT SEPTEMBER. EVERYTHING'S COMING BACK IN SEPTEMBER. AND
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO GET HIT BETWEEN THE EYES BEFORE THAT, SO
- 13 THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT MORE THAT'S GOING TO BE ON THE TABLE
- 14 AT THAT POINT IN TIME, A WHOLE LOT OF PROGRAMS GOING TO MERIT
- 15 A LOT OF DISCUSSION. IF WE CAN FIND THE TIME DURING THIS
- 16 PERIOD OF TIME TO SIT DOWN. I DON'T KNOW WHAT SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY'S CONCERNS ARE. I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THEY ARE, IF
- 18 THEY HAVEN'T BEEN STATED.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WILL.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: I TAKE IT I WILL. BUT DOES IT TAKE US UNTIL
- 23 SEPTEMBER TO BEGIN THAT?



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THE FOUR
- 2 WEEKS. AND THAT ADDRESSES YOUR ISSUE IMPLEMENTATION.

3

4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: EXACTLY.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT SHOULD BE IN THAT AS WELL. BECAUSE
- 7 THAT'S A BIG ISSUE TO US.

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW. AND THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING FOR THE
- 10 CLARIFICATION THAT IS IT THE INTENT OF SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-
- 11 THOMAS TO SAY THAT INSTEAD OF JUST COMING BACK WITH A REPORT,
- 12 HERE IT IS, THAT WE HAVE SOME DISCUSSIONS WITH THE OFFICES,
- 13 WITH OTHER FOLKS, WITH THE STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED IN ANY OF
- 14 THIS IS THIS AS IF THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THIS HAS EVER BEEN
- 15 DISCUSSED WITH ANYONE. CERTAINLY IT'S SOMETHING THAT, I'M
- 16 SURPRISED THE DEPARTMENT, IT'S SOMETHING WE DISCUSSED WITH THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT OVER 12 YEARS AGO, AND THEY NEVER DID ANYTHING WITH
- 18 IT IN ANY WAY, PARTICULARLY THE S.S.I. PORTION OF IT, WHICH WE
- 19 THOUGHT THEY SHOULD HAVE OUTREACH WORKERS GOING AND FINDING
- 20 PEOPLE AND TRYING TO QUALIFY THEM FOR S.S.I. AND EVERYBODY
- 21 SAID, NO, THEY JUST WOULDN'T DO THAT AT THAT TIME, AND WE
- 22 NEVER WENT ANYWHERE WITH IT. SO IN FOUR WEEKS, WE'RE TALKING
- 23 ABOUT HOPEFULLY EVERYBODY LAYING THEIR CONCERNS ON THE TABLE,
- 24 TRYING TO GET RESOLUTION TO ALL OF THOSE ISSUES IN AN EFFORT
- 25 TO SEE IF WE CAN IMPLEMENT THIS AND HAVE THE KIND OF OUTCOMES



- 1 THAT AUTOMATICALLY SHOULD HAPPEN. SO IT ISN'T JUST MOVING UP A
- 2 DISCUSSION THERE, BUT HOPEFULLY, BY THAT TIME HAVING A SERIES
- 3 OF DISCUSSIONS, DEPUTIES' MEETINGS, YOU KNOW, INTERFACE WITH
- 4 THE SUPERVISORS, GETTING ANSWERS TO ANY OF THE REPORTS, ANY
- 5 INFORMATION THEY MAY NEED.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL THE CLUSTERS WHO ARE -- HOMELESS
- 8 CLUSTERS SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN THIS. BECAUSE THERE'S A
- 9 HOMELESS PIECE TO THIS.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IF YOU NEED AN OMNIBUS ONE, A SPECIAL ONE,
- 12 PULL IT TOGETHER AND DO IT. AND I THINK THE C.E.O. OUGHT TO
- 13 MAKE THAT HAPPEN.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK THE INDULGENCE OF THE BOARD? I'M
- 16 NOT HERE ON THE 21ST, CAN WE MAKE IT FIVE WEEKS TO THE 28TH?
- 17 FOR THE INTERIM REPORT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M NOT HERE THE LAST WEEK OF JULY.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. THEN LEAVE IT THE WAY IT IS.

22

23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I SO MOVE, MR. CHAIR.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.



```
1
   FOUR WEEKS.
2
3
   >>SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: FOUR WEEKS.
4
5
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: FOUR WEEKS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
6
7
   ORDERED.
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?
10
11
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES?
12
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FIVE WEEKS. MR. ANTONOVICH IS OKAY WITH FIVE
14
    WEEKS.
15
16
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RECONSIDER THE MOTION, CHANGE IT TO FIVE
    WEEKS, BECAUSE THEY'LL BOTH BE THERE ON THE 28TH. YOU'RE
17
18
    THINKING ABOUT THE 21ST, YOU BOTH WON'T BE HERE, RIGHT?
19
20
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S THE WEEK I'M NOT HERE. WHAT IS THE
   LAST WEEK OF--
21
22
23
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE 28TH OF JULY.
24
```



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE LAST WEEK -- THE LAST WEEK IS JULY
- 2 28TH, AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND SUPERVISOR KNABE ARE BOTH
- 3 ABSENT FROM THE MEETING.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M ABSENT? [LAUGHTER]

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH DAY?

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: JULY 28.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: COOL. AM I GOING ON VACATION?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LEAVE IT ON THE 21ST, THEN.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: ANOTHER VACATION?

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. RIGHT. I WISH. OKAY, TO 21ST,
- 18 THEN. OKAY? WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED ON ITEM NUMBER 8.
- 19 OKAY. NOW WE CAN GO BACK TO ITEM NUMBER 17. WITH THE
- 20 EXCEPTIONS OF ITEM NUMBER 8 AND THE PIECE ON PUBLIC HEALTH,
- 21 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 22 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. HOW ABOUT
- 23 ANYTHING ELSE, MR. C.E.O.? WE DO HAVE -- I DON'T THINK WE HAVE
- 24 ANY GREEN SHEET ITEMS, BUT WE DO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.



1	C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I WAS GOING TO SAY, I'M WELL DONE.
2	
3	SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE WELL DONE, ALL RIGHT. ETHEL
4	AUSTIN. IF SHE WOULD COME FORWARD PLEASE. ETHEL, ARE YOU STILL
5	HERE? IS ETHEL STILL HERE? WE MUST HAVE ANSWERED ALL HER
6	QUESTIONS. OKAY. ONE MORE TIME. ETHEL AUSTIN? OKAY. THAT
7	CONCLUDES PUBLIC COMMENTS. WE WILL PARDON ME? YOU HAVE TO READ
8	SOMETHING? OKAY. YOU DON'T HAVE TO? CAN WE ADJOURN?
9	
10	CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE CAN ADJOURN.
11	
12	SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: TOMORROW'S MEETING WILL BE AT 9:30 A.M.
13	
14	CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TOMORROW IS THE REGULAR MEETING STARTING AT
15	9:30 A.M.
16	
17	SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM EXECUTIVE
18	OFFICER. IT'S REALLY APPRECIATED FOR THE REMINDER.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5	Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 22, 2009,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9	in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor in anywise
14	interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	25th day of June 2009, for the County records to be used only
17	for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	